

STEENROD OPERATIONS IN CHOW THEORY

PATRICK BROSANAN

ABSTRACT. An action of the Steenrod algebra is constructed on the mod p Chow theory of varieties over a field of characteristic different from p answering a question posed in Fulton's *Intersection Theory*. The action agrees with the action of the Steenrod algebra used by Voevodsky in his proof of the Milnor conjecture. However, the construction uses only basic functorial properties of equivariant intersection theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complete complex algebraic variety, let $H_*^{BM}(X)$ denote its Borel-Moore homology with coefficients in the field \mathbf{F}_p , and let $S_\bullet : H_*^{BM}(X) \rightarrow H_*^{BM}(X)$ be the total Steenrod p -th power operation in Borel-Moore homology. In [4] (Example 19.1.8), Fulton gives a short proof of a theorem of Kawai [6] which says that S_\bullet preserves algebraic classes.

Fulton's argument is as follows: Consider a subvariety V of X . By Hironaka, there is a resolution of singularities $\pi : M \rightarrow V$. If μ_M is the orientation class of M , then the cycle class $\text{cl}(V)$ is equal to $\pi_*\mu_M$. Let $\psi : H^*M \rightarrow H^*(TM, TM - 0)$ be the Thom isomorphism, and let S^\bullet be the total Steenrod operation in singular cohomology. Let $w(TM) = \psi^{-1}S^\bullet\psi(1)$. Fulton gives a formula

$$(1) \quad S_\bullet(\text{cl}(V)) = \pi_*S_\bullet(\mu_M) = \pi_*(w(TM)^{-1} \cap \mu_M).$$

As w can be expressed in terms of the Chern classes of TM [7], it is tempting to use (1) as a definition of S_\bullet in the mod p Chow groups $A_*X \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$. The problem, as Fulton notes, is whether $\pi_*(w(TM)^{-1} \cap \mu_M)$ is independent of the resolution M .

In this paper, S_\bullet is defined for quasi-projective varieties over a field k of characteristic not equal to p using the equivariant extension of Fulton-MacPherson intersection theory developed by Edidin and Graham [3]. (The definition actually works for any algebraic space over k with an injective morphism into a smooth algebraic space, and we extend it to all varieties over k by using a Chow envelope argument.) The construction loosely follows the construction of cohomology operations given by Steenrod and Epstein [8]. The definition is then shown to agree with (1) proving that $\pi_*(w(TM)^{-1} \cap \mu_M)$ is indeed independent of M . The paper ends with a demonstration of the Adem relations in the "algebraic Steenrod algebra" generated by the graded components of S_\bullet . One aspect of our method that may be interesting is that, while Fulton's question definitely involves resolutions of singularities, our construction of S_\bullet does not.

It should be noted that Voevodsky has defined similar operations in the context of motivic cohomology [11, 10]. To the extent that motivic cohomology is an extension of the Chow groups, Voevodsky's operations are more general than the ones defined here. However, the construction in this paper fits into the Fulton-MacPherson

¹2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* Primary 14C25, Secondary 55N91

framework for intersection theory, and, thus, avoids the technicalities inherent in any discussion of motivic cohomology.

1.1. **Outline.** I now describe the organization of this paper. Sections 2 and 3 collect some generalities concerning equivariant intersection theory. Unfortunately, to discuss some of the functorial properties of equivariant intersection theory that are needed in the construction of Steenrod operations, it is convenient (if not necessary) to reformulate the definition of the equivariant Chow groups given in [3]. Given this more transparently functorial definition, the properties we need are straightforward but tedious to prove. The reader willing to take these properties for granted may want to skip or skim these two sections.

In section 4, the notion of the equivariant cycle class of an equivariant cycle is defined. In section 5 this notion is applied to the class $Z^{\times p} \in A_{pk}^{S(p)} X^p$ where Z is a dimension k cycle in a variety X and $A_{pk}^{S(p)} X^p$ represents the dimension pk equivariant Chow group of X^p with the symmetric group $S(p)$ acting on X^p by permutations. This equivariant cycle class and its restriction to the equivariant Chow group $A_{pk}^{C(p)} X^p$ (where $C(p)$ is the cyclic group of order p) determine the action of the Steenrod operations on the class of Z .

If X were smooth, we would proceed by pulling back the class $Z^{\times p} \in A_{pk}^{C(p)} X^p$ to $A_*^{C(p)} X$ (where here $C(p)$ acts trivially on X) via the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^p$. This is essentially the step that was taken by Steenrod and Epstein [8]. However, when X is not smooth, we can not generally pull back via the diagonal map on X . Fulton-MacPherson intersection theory allows us to remedy the problem using the following trick: Embed X in a smooth space W and form the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X^p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \longrightarrow & W^p. \end{array}$$

We can then pull back from $A_{pk}^{C(p)} X^p$ to $A_*^{C(p)} X$ via the refined Gysin map $\Delta_W^!$. In section 6 this construction is described in detail.

To analyze the resulting pull-back class $\Delta_W^! Z^{\times p} \in A_*^{C(p)} X$, we need to understand the equivariant Chow group $A_*^{C(p)} X$. Fortunately, these are very simple. For $k = \mathbf{C}$, $A_*^{C(p)} X = A_* X \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[l]$. In section 7 we state this result (which is given in [9]) and make the appropriate modification for arbitrary fields k .

In section 8, we decompose $\Delta_W^! Z^{\times p}$ as a polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_p[l]$ with coefficients in $A_* X$. Reindexing the coefficients, we arrive at a total Steenrod power $S_\bullet^W[Z]$. The one defect of this power operation is that it depends on the smooth space W . Fortunately, this dependence can be explicitly described in terms of Chern classes of W . Thus, we can factor it out and obtain the class $S_\bullet[Z]$ — the total Steenrod operation in Chow theory.

In section 9, we study S_\bullet and show that it agrees with the topological S_\bullet on Borel-Moore homology. Section 10 extends the definition of S_\bullet to varieties X which do not admit an embedding $X \rightarrow W$ with W smooth. The Chow envelope argument that allows us to do this is almost identical to an argument used by Fulton in [4] in connection with the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. Finally, section 11 proves the Adem relations.

1.2. Conventions. If Λ is a commutative ring, the Chow group (resp. the Chow ring) with coefficients in Λ is simply $A_*X \otimes \Lambda$ (resp. $A^*X \otimes \Lambda$). Until section 8, all work will be over an arbitrary ring Λ . Mention of Λ will however be suppressed from the notation with A_*X written instead of $A_*X \otimes \Lambda$. In section 8, a prime p will be chosen and Λ will then be set to \mathbf{F}_p .

All schemes (or algebraic spaces) will be assumed to be over a field k whose spectrum will be written pt . We will use the notation $|X|$ to denote the maximum of the dimensions of the connected components of an algebraic space X .

1.3. Acknowledgments. Most of the work described in this paper was done at the University of Chicago as part of my 1998 thesis. I would like to thank S. Bloch and B. Totaro for guidance and encouragement there. I would also like to apologize for the long delay in publishing the final version of this paper and to thank A. Merkuriev for catching an error in a previous version of this paper.

2. EQUIVARIANT INTERSECTION THEORY I

The goal of this section is to review the equivariant intersection theory of Totaro-Edidin-Graham [9, 3] and to rephrase it slightly in a form more convenient for studying issues of functoriality which arise in the construction of Steenrod powers. Recall that the Borel construction defines the equivariant cohomology of a topological space X with G -action as $H^*(EG \times X/G)$ where EG is a null-homotopic space on which G acts freely and $EG \times X/G$ is the quotient of the diagonal G action on $EG \times X$.

The idea of Totaro [9] is to replace the the topologist's EG by a suitable algebraic analogue. Let G now denote a linear algebraic group over a field k and suppose that V is a linear representation of G defined over k . Let $U \subset V$ be a G -equivariant open subset of V also defined over k on which G acts freely, and let $S = V - U$. Then, as long as the codimension r of S in V is sufficiently large, U is an appropriate replacement for EG . In fact, we have the following theorem which is Definition-Proposition 1 of [3].

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be an algebraic space with G action, and let G act diagonally on the product $U \times X$. Then the groups*

$$A_{k+|U|-|G|}(U \times X)/G$$

are independent of U up to isomorphism as long as $r \gg 0$.

Edidin and Graham define the equivariant Chow group $A_k^G X$ to be the group $A_{k+|U|-|G|}(U \times X)/G$ of the theorem provided that the codimension of S in V is sufficiently large. The inconvenient aspect of this definition for our purposes is that it only defines the group $A_k^G X$ as a group up to isomorphism. This is not a serious mathematical problem, but it makes the discussion of maps between equivariant cohomology groups somewhat awkward. Therefore, we intend to replace this definition up to isomorphism with an equivalent definition of $A_*^G X$ as a limit along the lines of Totaro's definition of A^*BG (see Theorem 1.3 of [9]). This new definition will specify the set $A_*^G X$ uniquely.

2.1. Algebraic Spaces. We need to recall a few results from [3] concerning algebraic spaces. Suppose that G is a linear algebraic group over a field k and X is an algebraic space with a free G action. Let $[X/G]$ be the functor whose sections over

an algebraic space B are the principle G -bundles $p : E \rightarrow B$ together with an equivariant map $f : E \rightarrow X$. By theorems of Artin, Deligne and Mumford [2, 1], there is an algebraic space Y representing the functor $[X/G]$. Moreover the canonical map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a principle G -bundle. (As a natural transformation of functors, π sends a morphism $h : B \rightarrow X$ to the principle G -bundle $p : G \times B \rightarrow B$ given by projection on the second factor along with the G -equivariant map $f : G \times B \rightarrow X$ given by $f(g, b) = gh(b)$.) The proof of the existence of Y and the fact that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a principle G -bundle is sketched in [3].

We will use the notation X_G to denote the algebraic space Y . Note, however, that this notation conflicts with that of [3] where X_G is used to denote the space $[U \times X/G]$ for U as in Theorem 2.1.

2.2. Intersection Theory. In [3], Edidin and Graham also show how the intersection theory of Fulton [4] extends to the category of algebraic spaces. In particular, they show that refined Gysin homomorphisms are defined for l.c.i. morphisms of algebraic spaces.

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map between algebraic spaces with free G actions. Let $f_G : X_G \rightarrow Y_G$ denote the map induced by f on the quotient spaces. Let P be any one of the following properties: proper, flat, smooth, regular embedding, or l.c.i. morphism. Then, if f has property P , so does f_G . The proof is essentially the same as the one used to establish Proposition 2.3.2 of [3]. Namely, note that the morphism $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow Y_G$ is faithfully flat and $X \cong X_G \times_{Y_G} Y$. Thus by descent f_G has property P whenever f does.

Similarly, suppose X is an algebraic space with free G action and $p : E \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant vector bundle morphism. (That is, E is the total space of a G -equivariant vector bundle). Then $p_G : E_G \rightarrow X_G$ is also a G -equivariant vector bundle morphism. Again, the proof is identical to that of the analogous result from [3], in this case Lemma 2.4.1: The map $p_G : E_G \rightarrow X_G$ is an affine bundle which is locally trivial in the étale topology because its smooth base change to X is trivial. Since the transition functions are trivial when pulled back to X , $p_G : E_G \rightarrow X_G$ is a vector bundle.

2.3. Categorical Substitutes for EG. Let $\text{Univ} = k^{\mathbb{N}}$, a vector space on countably many generators. For any linear algebraic group G over k , let $\text{Rep } G$ denote the category of finite dimensional subspaces $V \subset \text{Univ}$ together with homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$. Morphisms are G -equivariant linear maps.

Let EG be the category whose objects are non-empty open subsets $U \subset V$ for V a representation in $\text{Rep } G$ such that G acts freely on U . The morphisms of EG are G -equivariant maps of schemes. Let EG_r denote the full subcategory of EG consisting of all U such that the complement $S = V - U$ has codimension $\geq r$ in V . Remark 1.4 of [9] shows that EG_r is never empty; that is, for any r we can find a $U \subset V$ such that $V - U$ has codimension $\geq r$ in V .

Let $\text{FSE}/_X G$ denote the category of all algebraic spaces E with free G -actions equipped with a smooth morphism $p_E : E \rightarrow X$ of fixed relative dimension n_E . In other words, we assume that the map $p_E : E \rightarrow X$ is equidimensional. Morphisms in $\text{FSE}/_X G$ are G -equivariant maps $f : E \rightarrow F$ such that $p_E = p_F \circ f$.

Now let $i_X : \text{EG} \rightarrow \text{FSE}/_X G$ be the functor sending U to $U \times X$ with the diagonal G -action and with $p_{U \times X}$ given by projection on the second factor. Clearly, if f is a morphism having property P for P any of the properties in subsection 2.2, then

$i_X(f) = f \times \text{id}$ also has property P . Moreover, note that any morphism $f : E \rightarrow F$ in $\text{FSE}/_X G$ is an l.c.i. morphism of codimension $n_F - n_E$. This is because the graph morphism $G_f : E \rightarrow E \times_X F$ is a regular embedding of codimension n_F and the projection $p_2 : E \times_X F \rightarrow F$ is smooth of relative dimension n_E . It follows then from the results of subsection 2.2 that, for any morphism $f : E \rightarrow F$ in $\text{FSE}/_X G$, f_G is an l.c.i. morphism, also of relative dimension $n_E - n_F$.

Since f_G is an l.c.i. morphism, there is a pull-back morphism $f_G^! : A_k F_G \rightarrow A_{k+n_E-n_F} E_G$. To simplify the indices that we have to consider, we make the following convention: For E an algebraic space in $\text{FSE}/_X G$,

$$(2) \quad \text{CH}_k^G E := A_{k+n_E-|G|} E_G$$

where $|G|$ is the dimension of G . Then for any morphism $f : E \rightarrow F$ in $\text{FSE}/_X G$, there is a corresponding Gysin morphism

$$(3) \quad f_G^! : \text{CH}_k^G F \rightarrow \text{CH}_k^G E.$$

In other words, CH_k^G is a contravariant functor from $\text{FSE}/_X G$ to the category of abelian groups.

We intend to use the categories EG and $\text{FSE}/_X G$ as substitutes for the topologist's space EG . The advantage of EG is that it is a small category and, thus, limits over EG are well-defined sets. The advantage of $\text{FSE}/_X G$ is that it is large enough to conveniently hold several important constructions.

If E and F are two spaces in $\text{FSE}/_X G$, then $E \times_X F$ is naturally a space in $\text{FSE}/_X G$ if we agree to let G act on the product diagonally. If U is in EG and E is in $\text{FSE}/_X G$, then $U \times E = i_X(U) \times_X E$ with the diagonal action is also naturally a space in $\text{FSE}/_X G$.

Recall the convention that, if X is an algebraic space, then $|X|$ denotes the maximum of the dimensions of the irreducible components of X . We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let U be a scheme in EG_r and let E be an algebraic space in $\text{FSE}/_X G$. Let $\pi : U \times E \rightarrow E$ be the projection. Then*

$$\pi_G^! : \text{CH}_k^G E \rightarrow \text{CH}_k^G U \times E$$

is an isomorphism as long as $r > |X| - k$.

Proof. Let $U \subset V$ with V a representation in $\text{Rep } G$, and let $q : V \times E \rightarrow E$ be the projection. This projection induces a vector bundle morphism $q_G : (V \times E)_G \rightarrow E_G$, and it follows that $q_G^* : A_j E_G \rightarrow A_{j+|V|} (V \times E)_G$ is an isomorphism for all j . Therefore $q_G^! : \text{CH}_*^G E \rightarrow \text{CH}_*^G V \times E$ is an isomorphism.

Let $i : U \times E \rightarrow V \times E$ be the inclusion. By our assumption on U , the complement S of U in V has codimension $\geq r$. Therefore $|S \times E| \leq |V| - r + n_E + |X|$ and, since G acts freely on $|S \times E|$,

$$|(S \times E)_G| \leq |V| - r + n_E + |X| - |G|.$$

It follows from the short exact sequence in Chow groups that

$$(4) \quad i_G^* : A_j (V \times E)_G \rightarrow A_j (U \times E)_G$$

is an isomorphism as long as

$$j > |V| - r + n_E + |X| - |G|.$$

Therefore, by (2) and the fact that $n_{U \times E} = |V| + n_E$,

$$(5) \quad i_G^! : \mathrm{CH}_k^G V \times E \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times E$$

is an isomorphism whenever

$$k + |V| + n_E - |G| > |V| - r + n_E + |X| - |G|.$$

After cancellation, we see that $i_G^! : \mathrm{CH}_k^G V \times E \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times E$ is an isomorphism whenever $r > |X| - k$.

Now, since CH_k^G is a contravariant functor and $\pi = q \circ i$, $\pi_G^! : \mathrm{CH}_k^G E \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times E$ is an isomorphism as long as $r > |X| - k$. \square

For U and E as above, consider the diagram

$$(6) \quad U \times X \xleftarrow{h_E} U \times E \xrightarrow{\pi_E} E$$

where $h_E = \mathrm{id} \times p_E$. By Lemma 2.2, as long as $r > |X| - k$, it makes sense to define a map

$$(7) \quad t_{U,E} : \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G E$$

by setting $t_{U,E}\alpha = ((\pi_E)_G^!)^{-1}(h_E)_G^!\alpha$. In fact, as we will now see, $t_{U,E}$ is natural in E .

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose U is in EG_r with $r > |X| - k$ and $f : E \rightarrow F$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{FSE}/_X G$. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_k U \times X$,*

$$(8) \quad f_G^! t_{U,F} = t_{U,E}.$$

Proof. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X & \xrightarrow{(h_E)_G^!} & \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times E & \xleftarrow{(\pi_E)_G^!} & \mathrm{CH}_k^G E \\ \mathrm{id} \uparrow & & (\mathrm{id} \times f)_G^! \uparrow & & f_G^! \uparrow \\ \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X & \xrightarrow{(h_F)_G^!} & \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times F & \xleftarrow{(\pi_F)_G^!} & \mathrm{CH}_k^G F \end{array}$$

is commutative by the functoriality of CH_k^G . It is then a simple diagram chase to verify the lemma. \square

We now state the main theorem of the section.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose U is in EG_r . Let \mathcal{C} be any subcategory of $\mathrm{FSE}/_X G$ such that*

- (i) $U \times X$ is an object in \mathcal{C} .
- (ii) For any object E in \mathcal{C} , $U \times E$ is an object in \mathcal{C} .
- (iii) The maps $h_E : U \times E \rightarrow U \times X$ and $\pi_E : U \times E \rightarrow E$ are morphisms in \mathcal{C} .

Then, for $k > |X| - r$,

$$(9) \quad \varinjlim_{E \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G E \cong \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X.$$

Moreover, the isomorphism $R : \varinjlim_{E \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G E \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X$ can be taken to be

the restriction morphism sending an assignment $E \rightsquigarrow \alpha_E \in \mathrm{CH}_k^G E$ to the element $\alpha_{U \times X}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, the first statement of the theorem will be proved once we show that for each assignment $E \rightsquigarrow \alpha_E$ satisfying the condition that

$$(10) \quad f_G^! \alpha_F = \alpha_E \quad \text{for } f : E \rightarrow F \text{ a morphism in } \mathbf{C}$$

there is a unique $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X$ such that $\alpha_E = t_{U,E} \alpha$. To prove the second statement it then suffices to show that this unique α is in fact $\alpha_{U \times X}$. We will prove both statements simultaneously.

Let $E \rightsquigarrow \alpha_E$ be an assignment satisfying condition (10). Then from the diagram

$$(11) \quad \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X \xrightarrow{h_G^!} \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times E \xleftarrow{\pi_G^!} \mathrm{CH}_k^G E$$

we see that $h_G^! \alpha_{U \times X} = \pi_G^! \alpha_E$; thus,

$$(12) \quad \alpha_E = t_{U,E} \alpha_{U \times X}.$$

A particular case of (12) is that $\alpha_{U \times X} = t_{U,U \times X} \alpha_{U \times X}$. Suppose then that β is any element of $\mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X$ such that $t_{U,E} \beta = \alpha_E$ for all E in \mathbf{C} . Then

$$t_{U,U \times X} (\alpha_{U \times X} - \beta) = 0.$$

However, $t_{U,U \times X}$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism. Thus $\beta = \alpha_{U \times X}$. \square

Definition 2.5. If X is a G -equivariant algebraic space, then set

$$(13) \quad A_k^G X = \varinjlim_{U \in \overline{EG}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X.$$

These groups are the G -equivariant Chow groups. By Theorem 2.4, they agree up to isomorphism with the groups defined in [3].

Note that another consequence of Theorem 2.4 is a natural isomorphism

$$(14) \quad A_k^G X \cong \varinjlim_{E \in \overline{\mathrm{FSE}}/X G^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G E.$$

It will be useful to introduce another category which can be thought of as lying between \overline{EG} and $\overline{\mathrm{FSE}}/X G$. For an algebraic group G , let $\mathrm{CE} G$ denote the category of all smooth G -equivariant spaces with free G -actions. Morphisms in $\mathrm{CE} G$ are G -equivariant morphisms. There is an inclusion of categories $j : \overline{EG} \rightarrow \mathrm{CE} G$, and for any G -space X , a functor $\iota_X : \mathrm{CE} G \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{FSE}}/X G$ sending U in $\mathrm{CE} G$ to $U \times X$ with G acting diagonally. Moreover the composition $\iota_x \circ j = i_X$, thus, we have a chain of inclusions

$$(15) \quad \overline{EG} \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{CE} G \xrightarrow{\iota_X} \overline{\mathrm{FSE}}/X G.$$

By Theorem 2.4, (15) induces a chain of isomorphisms

$$(16) \quad \varinjlim_{E \in \overline{\mathrm{FSE}}/X G^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G E \cong \varinjlim_{U \in \overline{\mathrm{CE}} G} \mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times X \cong A_k^G X.$$

We will use the isomorphism freely to identify the three groups.

3. FUNCTORIAL PROPERTIES OF EQUIVARIANT INTERSECTION THEORY

Following Edidin and Graham ([3] Proposition 2.3.3), we can now show that equivariant intersection theory enjoys essentially the same properties with respect to pushforward and pullback as ordinary non-equivariant intersection theory. We will also show that equivariant intersection has two ‘‘change-of-group’’ maps, restriction and transfer, which are analogous to restriction and transfer in equivariant cohomology.

3.1. Pushforward and Pullback. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism of G equivariant spaces. Then f induces a proper morphism

$$(17) \quad (\mathrm{id}_U \times f)_G : (U \times X)_G \rightarrow (U \times Y)_G$$

for any $U \in \mathrm{EG}$. Thus, from proper pushforward, we obtain morphisms

$$[(\mathrm{id}_U \times f)_G]^* : \mathrm{CH}_k U \times X \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k U \times Y,$$

and, in the limit over all U in EG , these morphisms induce a map

$$(18) \quad f_{G*} : A_k^G X \rightarrow A_k^G Y,$$

the *equivariant proper pushforward*.

3.1.1. The Refined Gysin Homomorphism. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivariant l.c.i. morphism and that

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram with all morphisms G -equivariant. Then, given any U in EG , the diagram

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (U \times X')_G & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}_U \times f')_G} & (U \times Y')_G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U \times X)_G & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}_U \times f)_G} & (U \times Y)_G \end{array}$$

is also a pullback diagram and $(\mathrm{id}_U \times f)_G$ is an l.c.i. morphism by the results of Edidin-Graham quoted in section 2.2. Thus, from Fulton's intersection theory, we obtain a morphism

$$(21) \quad (\mathrm{id}_U \times f)_G^! : \mathrm{CH}_k U \times Y' \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{k-c} U \times X'$$

where c is the codimension of f as an l.c.i. morphism. Taking the limit over all U in EG , we obtain a morphism

$$(22) \quad f_G^! : A_k^G Y' \rightarrow A_{k-c}^G X',$$

the *equivariant Gysin homomorphism*.

Suppose that $\alpha \in A_k^G Y'$, and E is in $\mathrm{FSE}/_X X'$. Using the identification (16), we obtain a class $(f_G^! \alpha)_E \in \mathrm{CH}_{k-c} E$. Unfortunately we do not have a description of this class except through the identification 16. However, if $E = U \times X'$ for $U \in \mathrm{CEG}$, then we obtain a diagram as in (20), and

$$(23) \quad (f_G^! \alpha)_{U \times X'} = (\mathrm{id}_U \times f)_G^! \alpha_{U \times Y'}$$

where $\alpha_{U \times Y'}$ is the class in $\mathrm{CH}_k^G U \times Y'$ induced via from α via (16).

3.2. Chern Classes. If $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant vector bundle and E is a space in $\text{FSE}/_X G$ then $p : V \times_X E \rightarrow E$ is also a G -equivariant vector bundle with G acting diagonally on the factors. As noted in section 2.2, this implies that $p_G : (V \times_X E)_G \rightarrow E_G$ is a vector bundle. We thus obtain Chern classes, $c_i(V \times_X E)_G$ operating on $A_*(E_G)$. If $f : E \rightarrow F$ is a morphism in $\text{FSE}/_X G$, then $V \times_X E = f^*(V \times_X F)$. Thus, $(V \times_X E)_G = f_G^*(V \times_X F)_G$. Therefore, if $\alpha \in A_*^G X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(V \times_X E)_G \cap \alpha_E &= c_i(V \times_X E)_G \cap f_G^! \alpha_F \\ &= f_G^!(c_i(V \times_X F)_G \cap \alpha_F). \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore define the equivariant Chern class $c_i^G(V)$ to be the operation on $A_*^G X$ that takes the assignment

$$E \rightsquigarrow \alpha_E$$

to the assignment

$$E \rightsquigarrow c_i(V \times_X E)_G \cap \alpha_E.$$

It is easy to see that this agrees with the definition made by Edidin and Graham in [3].

3.3. Change of Groups. Suppose that $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ is a morphism of linear algebraic groups over k . By analogy with equivariant cohomology, we expect a morphism $\rho^* : A_k^G X \rightarrow A_k^H X$ where X is a G -equivariant space and H acts on X through the morphism ρ . To define this morphism, we use the categories $\text{FSE}/_X H$ and $\text{FSE}/_X G$.

For a space E in $\text{FSE}/_X H$, let $G \times^H E$ denote the quotient of the space $G \times E$ by the action of H given by

$$(24) \quad h(g, e) = (gh^{-1}, he)$$

where here $h \in H(S)$, $g \in G(S)$ and $e \in E(S)$ for an arbitrary scheme S over k . Then G acts on $G \times^H E$ on the left by the action: $g_1(g_2, e) \mapsto (g_1 g_2, e)$, and it is easy to see that this action is free. We equip $G \times^H E$ with a morphism $p_{G \times^H E} : G \times^H E \rightarrow X$ given on points $g \in G(S)$, $e \in E(S)$ by $(g, e) \mapsto gp_E(e)$. This morphism is well-defined because, for $h \in H(S)$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{G \times^H E}(gh^{-1}, he) &= gh^{-1}p_E(he) = gh^{-1}hp_E(e) \\ &= gp_E(e). \end{aligned}$$

The morphism $p_{G \times^H E}$ is clearly G -equivariant, and it is not difficult to check that it is a smooth morphism of relative dimension $n_E + |G| - |H|$ where n_E is the relative dimension of p_E . Thus $G \times^H E$ is an algebraic space in $\text{FSE}/_G X$. In fact, the association $E \rightsquigarrow G \times^H E$ is the map on objects of a functor $\rho_+ : \text{FSE}/_H X \rightarrow \text{FSE}/_G X$ defined by setting $\rho_+(f)$, for $f : E \rightarrow F$ a morphism in $\text{FSE}/_H X$, equal to the map induced by $\text{id}_G \times f$.

Now note that $(G \times^H E)_G \cong E_H$. To see this, let $\text{pr}_2 : (G \times^H E) \rightarrow E_H$ be the map induced by the projection on the second factor. If $g \in G(S)$, $e \in E(S)$ and $h \in H(S)$ for some base scheme S , then $\text{pr}_2(gh^{-1}, he) = he$. Thus it is easy to see that pr_2 induces a map $p_2 : (G \times^H E)_G \rightarrow E_H$. To define an inverse morphism, let $\text{inc}_2 : E \rightarrow (G \times^H E)_G$ be the map induced by the inclusion on the second factor. Then $\text{inc}_2(he) = (1, he) = (h^{-1}, e) = (1, e)$. Thus inc_2 induces a morphism $i_2 : E_H \rightarrow (G \times^H E)_G$. It is easy then easy to check that p_2 and i_2 are inverses.

3.3.1. *Restriction.* It is also easy to check that the maps p_2 and i_2 are natural in E . Thus the functors $E \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^H E$ and $E \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G \rho_+ E$ are naturally isomorphic. Explicitly $p_2^* : \mathrm{CH}_k^H E \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^G \rho_+ E$ is an isomorphism with inverse i_2^* . Keeping in mind the natural isomorphism (14), we can thus define the *restriction homomorphism* $\rho^* : A_k^G X \rightarrow A_k^H X$ via the composition

$$(25) \quad \varinjlim_{E \in \mathrm{FSE}/_G X^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G E \rightarrow \varinjlim_{E \in \mathrm{FSE}/_H X^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^G \rho_+ E \xrightarrow{i_2^*} \varinjlim_{E \in \mathrm{FSE}/_H X^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{CH}_k^H E$$

where the first morphism is restriction.

3.3.2. *Functoriality of restriction.* To show that restriction is functorial, we need to show (a) that $\mathrm{id}^* = \mathrm{id}$ when $\mathrm{id} : G \rightarrow G$ is the identity morphism and (b) that $(\rho \circ \sigma)^* = \sigma^* \circ \rho^*$ when $\sigma : L \rightarrow H$ and $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ are two group homomorphisms and X is a G -space. Before verifying (a) and (b) we prove a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a G -space, $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ a homomorphism and E a space in $\mathrm{FSE}/_X G$. Then then multiplication morphism $m : G \times^H E \rightarrow E$ given on points $g \in G(S), e \in E(S)$ by $m(g, e) = ge$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{FSE}/_X G$.*

Proof. The morphism m is well-defined because

$$(26) \quad m(gh^{-1}, he) = ge = m(g, e).$$

It is clearly G -equivariant, and it commutes with the maps to X because

$$\begin{aligned} p_E \circ m(g, e) = p_E(ge) &= gp_E(e) \\ &= p_{G \times^H E}(g, e). \end{aligned}$$

□

To prove statement (a), let X be a G -space, $\alpha \in A_k^G X$, and E a space in $\mathrm{FSE}/_X G$. Then, according to (25), $(\mathrm{id}^* \alpha)_E = i_2^* \alpha_{G \times^G E}$. Let $m : G \times^G E \rightarrow E$ be the morphism of Lemma 3.1. Since it is a morphism in $\mathrm{FSE}/_X G$, $\alpha_{G \times^G E} = m_G^! \alpha_E$. Therefore,

$$(27) \quad (\mathrm{id}^* \alpha)_E = i_2^* m_G^! \alpha_E = (m_G \circ i_2)^! \alpha_E.$$

Now, let $e \in E(S)$ for a scheme S . Then

$$(28) \quad m_G \circ i_2(e) = m_G(1, e) = e;$$

thus, $m_G \circ i_2 = \mathrm{id}$. It follows that $(\mathrm{id}^* \alpha)_E = \alpha_E$. Thus $\mathrm{id}^* \alpha = \alpha$.

(b). To check that $(\rho \circ \sigma)^* = \sigma^* \circ \rho^*$, the important point to note is that the functors $\rho_+ \circ \sigma_+$ and $(\rho \circ \sigma)_+$ are naturally isomorphic.

Explicitly, let

$$\begin{aligned} i_a : E_L &\rightarrow (H \times^L E)_H, & i_b : E_L &\rightarrow (G \times^L E)_G, \\ \text{and } i_c : (H \times^L E)_H &\rightarrow (G \times^H (H \times^L E))_G \end{aligned}$$

be the isomorphisms induced by inclusion on the second factor. Let

$$\mathrm{inc}_{13} : G \times^L E \rightarrow G \times^H (H \times^L E)$$

be the inclusion on the first and third factors, an isomorphism in $\text{FSE}/_G X$; and let $i_{13} = (\text{inc}_{13})_G : (G \times^L E)_G \rightarrow (G \times^H (H \times^L E))_G$ be the induced isomorphism on quotient spaces. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_L & \xrightarrow{i_a} & (H \times^L E)_H \\ i_b \downarrow & & \downarrow i_c \\ (G \times^L E)_G & \xrightarrow{i_{13}} & (G \times^H (H \times^L E))_G. \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha \in A_k^G X$ be an equivariant cycle and let $E \in \text{FSE}/_L X$. Then, by (25),

$$(30) \quad (\sigma^* \rho^* \alpha)_E = i_a^* i_c^* \alpha_{G \times^H (H \times^L E)},$$

and

$$(31) \quad ((\rho \circ \sigma)^* \alpha)_E = i_b^* \alpha_{G \times^L E}.$$

However, since inc_{13} is a G -space morphism,

$$(32) \quad \alpha_{G \times^L E} = i_{13}^* \alpha_{G \times^H (H \times^L E)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\rho \circ \sigma)^* \alpha)_E &= i_b^* i_{13}^* \alpha_{G \times^H (H \times^L E)} \\ &= i_a^* i_c^* \alpha_{G \times^H (H \times^L E)} \\ &= (\sigma^* \rho^* \alpha)_E \end{aligned}$$

by commutativity of diagram (29).

3.4. Transfer. Suppose now that $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ is an inclusion of linear algebraic groups such that the quotient space G/H is proper of dimension d . Then, if X is a G -space, there is a homomorphism $\text{tr}_H^G : A_k^H X \rightarrow A_{k+d}^G X$ (or $\rho_* : A_k^H X \rightarrow A_{k+d}^G X$) called the transfer.

To define the transfer, first note that, since ρ is an inclusion, there is a restriction functor $\rho^+ : \text{FSE}/_G X \rightarrow \text{FSE}/_H X$ given by viewing a space $E \in \text{FSE}/_G X$ as an H -equivariant space via ρ . If $E \in \text{FSE}/_G X$, then the quotient map $q : E_H \rightarrow E_G$ is proper of relative dimension d . Thus proper pushforward induces a map $q_* : A_k E_H \rightarrow A_k E_G$. Taking into account the re-indexing of the Chow groups of (2), we see that the same proper pushforward induces a map

$$(33) \quad t_H^G : \text{CH}_k^H E \rightarrow \text{CH}_{k+d}^G E.$$

We can thus define the transfer through the composite

$$(34) \quad \varprojlim_{E \in \text{FSE}/_H X^{\text{op}}} \text{CH}_k^H E \rightarrow \varprojlim_{E \in \text{FSE}/_G X^{\text{op}}} \text{CH}_k^H \rho^+ E \xrightarrow{t_H^G} \varprojlim_{E \in \text{FSE}/_G X^{\text{op}}} \text{CH}_{k+d}^G E.$$

It is an easy exercise to show that the transfer is functorial. That is, if $L \subset H \subset G$ is a sequence of inclusions of groups such that H/L and G/H are both proper, then $\text{tr}_L^G = \text{tr}_H^G \circ \text{tr}_L^H$.

3.5. Relations between Functors. There are several relations between the functors of proper pushforward, l.c.i. pullback, the restriction homomorphism and transfer. The most important for our purposes is the relation between restriction and transfer when $H \subset G$ is a subgroup of finite index. To deduce this relation, we first prove a more general lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ is an injection, and let $\alpha \in A_k^G X$ be given by the assignment $E \rightsquigarrow \alpha_E$ for $E \in \text{FSE}/_X G$. Then, for each E , the quotient map $q_E : E_H \rightarrow E_G$ is flat, and the restriction map is given in terms of q_E by the equation*

$$(35) \quad (\rho^* \alpha)_{\rho^+ E} = q_E^* \alpha_E.$$

Proof. The map $q_E : E_H \rightarrow E_G$ is flat because its pullback to E through the faithfully flat morphism $p_E : E \rightarrow E_G$ is a product. That is, $E_H \times_{E_G} E \cong G/H \times E$. The map p_E is faithfully flat because it is locally a product in the étale topology.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$(36) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_H & \xrightarrow{i_2} & (G \times^H E)_G \\ q_E \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ E_G & \xleftarrow{q_E} & E_H \end{array}$$

where i_2 is the isomorphism given by inclusion of the second factor, and p_2 is its inverse, the projection on the second factor.

By definition (25), $(\rho^* \alpha)_{\rho^+ E} = i_2^! \alpha_{G \times^H \rho^+ E}$. On the other hand, the multiplication map $m : G \times^H E \rightarrow E$ of Lemma 3.1 is a morphism in $\text{FSE}/_X G$. Thus, since $G \times^H E = G \times^H \rho^+ E$, $\alpha_{G \times^H \rho^+ E} = m^! \alpha_E$. It thus follows that

$$(37) \quad (\rho^* \alpha)_{\rho^+ E} = i_2^! m^! \alpha_E.$$

We now claim that $m_G = q_E \circ p_2$. To see this, we compute: $q_E \circ p_2(g, e) = e$ (modulo G) while $m_G(g, e) = ge$ (modulo G); thus, $q_E \circ p_2(g, e) = m_G(g, e)$. Therefore

$$(38) \quad (\rho^* \alpha)_{\rho^+ E} = i_2^! p_2^* q_E^* \alpha_E = q_E^* \alpha_E$$

by the commutativity of (36). \square

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose X is a G -space and $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion morphism of a subgroup of index N . Then, for $\alpha \in A_k^H X$, $\rho^* \text{tr}_H^G \alpha = N \alpha$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in A_k^H X$ be a class given by the assignment $F \rightsquigarrow \alpha_F$ with $F \in \text{FSE}/_H X$. Then $\text{tr}_H^G \alpha$ is given by the assignment $E \rightsquigarrow q_E^* \alpha_{\rho^+ E}$ for $E \in \text{FSE}/_G X$. It follows then from Lemma 3.2 that, for E in $\text{FSE}/_G X$,

$$(39) \quad (\rho^* \text{tr}_H^G \alpha)_{\rho^+ E} = q_E^* (\text{tr}_H^G \alpha)_E = q_E^* q_E^* \alpha_{\rho^+ E}.$$

Since H has index N in G , q_E is a degree N morphism. Thus

$$(40) \quad q_E^* q_E^* \alpha_{\rho^+ E} = N \alpha_{\rho^+ E}.$$

Therefore $(\rho^* \text{tr}_H^G \alpha)_{\rho^+ E} = N \alpha_{\rho^+ E}$ for all E in $\text{FSE}/_G X$.

Now take $E = U \times X$ where $U \in \text{EG}_r$ for $r > |X| - k$. After restriction of the G -action to H , U is in EH_r . Thus

$$A_k^H X = \text{CH}_k^H U \times X = \text{CH}_k^H \rho^+ E.$$

Thus, by (40), $\rho^* \text{tr}_H^G \alpha_F = N \alpha_F$ for all F in $\text{FSE}/_H X$, and the proposition follows. \square

3.5.1. *Restriction and Pull-back.* Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an l.c.i. morphism equivariant for the action of a linear algebraic group G , and let $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of algebraic groups. Then, if

$$(41) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

is a G -equivariant pullback diagram, the diagram

$$(42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_*^G Y' & \xrightarrow{f_G^!} & A_*^G X' \\ \rho^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^* \\ A_*^H Y' & \xrightarrow{f_H^!} & A_*^H Y' \end{array}$$

commutes.

To see this, let $\alpha \in A_*^G Y'$ and let U be a space in $\text{CE } G$.

$$(43) \quad (\rho^* f_G^! \alpha)_{U \times X'} = i_2^*(f_G^! \alpha)_{G \times^H (U \times X')}.$$

Now there is an isomorphism

$$(44) \quad s(X) : G \times^H (U \times X') \rightarrow (G \times^H U) \times X'$$

of spaces in $\text{FSE}/_{X'} G$ given on the points $g \in G(S), u \in U(S), x \in X'(S)$ for S a k -scheme by

$$(45) \quad (g, u, x) \mapsto (g, u, gx).$$

Thus

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} (\rho^* f_G^! \alpha)_{U \times X'} &= i_2^* s(X')^!_G (f_G^! \alpha)_{(G \times^H U) \times X'} \\ &= i_2^* s(X')^!_G (\text{id} \times f)^!_G \alpha_{(G \times^H U) \times Y'} \end{aligned}$$

where for the last equation we have used the fact that $G \times^H U$ is a space in $\text{CE } G$ and thus (23) holds.

On the other hand,

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_H^! \rho^* \alpha)_{U \times X'} &= (\text{id} \times f)^!_H (\rho^* \alpha)_{U \times Y'} \\ &= (\text{id} \times f)^!_H i_2^* \alpha_{G \times^H (U \times Y')} \\ &= (\text{id} \times f)^!_H i_2^* s(Y')^!_G \alpha_{(G \times^H U) \times Y'}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the functoriality of the refined Gysin homomorphism ([4] Theorem 6.5), we can commute the terms in (46) and (47) to show that (42) commutes.

The commutativity of (42) leads us to define a category of *pairs* (G, Y) consisting of a linear algebraic group acting on a space Y . A morphism $\phi : (H, X) \rightarrow (G, Y)$ in the category of pairs is a pair (ρ, f) consisting of a homomorphism $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ and a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that, for any space S and points $h \in H(S), x \in X(S)$,

$$(48) \quad f(gx) = \rho(g)f(x).$$

We say that ϕ is an l.c.i. morphism if f is.

If ϕ is l.c.i., we define $\phi^! : A_*^G(Y) \rightarrow A_*^H(X)$ by setting

$$(49) \quad \phi^! = f_H^! \circ \rho^* = \rho^* \circ f_G^!.$$

If $\psi = (\sigma, g) : (G, Y) \rightarrow (K, Z)$ is another l.c.i. morphism of pairs, then, using (42), it is easy to see that $(\psi \circ \phi)^! = \phi^! \circ \psi^!$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \phi)^! &= (g \circ f)_H^! \circ (\sigma \circ \rho)^* \\ &= f_H^! \circ g_H^! \circ \rho^* \circ \sigma^* \\ &= f_H^! \circ \rho^* \circ g_G^! \circ \sigma^* \\ &= \phi^! \circ \psi^!. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(G, X) \rightsquigarrow A_*^G(X)$ is a contravariant functor from the category of pairs with l.c.i. morphisms to the category of abelian groups.

3.6. Transfer and Pullback. If $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ is an inclusion of linear algebraic groups such that G/H is proper of dimension d and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an l.c.i. morphism, then it is easy to see that

$$(50) \quad f_G^! \circ \text{tr}_H^G = \text{tr}_H^G \circ f_H^!.$$

This follows from the fact that pullback through an l.c.i. morphism commutes with pushforward through a proper morphism ([4] Theorem 6.2 (a) and Proposition 1.7).

3.6.1. Restriction and Chern Classes. Let $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of linear algebraic groups, and let V be a G -equivariant vector bundle over X . Let $\rho^*(V)$ denote V viewed as an H -equivariant bundle through the homomorphism ρ . Then, for $\alpha \in A_*^G X$,

$$(51) \quad \rho^*(c_i^G(V) \cap \alpha) = c_i^H(\rho^*(V) \cap \alpha).$$

The proof of this is similar to the proof of the commutativity of (42).

3.7. Automorphisms. An *automorphism* of a pair (G, X) is a morphism $\phi = (\rho, f) : (G, X) \rightarrow (G, X)$ which has an inverse. We say that ϕ is *inner* if there is an $h \in G(k)$ such that, for any k -space S and any two S -valued points $g \in G(S)$ and $x \in X(S)$,

$$(52) \quad \rho(g) = h_S g h_S^{-1}, \quad f(x) = h_S x.$$

Note that inner automorphisms are always l.c.i. morphisms.

Proposition 3.4. *If $\phi : (G, X) \rightarrow (G, X)$ is an inner automorphism of a pair (G, X) , then $\phi^! : A_k^G X \rightarrow A_k^G X$ is the identity.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $(\phi^! \alpha)_U = \alpha_U$ for any $\alpha \in A_k^G X$ and any $U \in \mathbf{EG}$. Let $\phi = (\rho, f)$ and let $h \in G(k)$ be the group element such that f is multiplication by h . Let $G \times^G U$ denote the quotient of $G \times U$ by the diagonal action given on S -points by

$$(53) \quad g_1(g_2, u) = (g_2 h_S g_1^{-1} h_S^{-1}, g_1 u)$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in G(S)$. Let $i_2 : U_G \rightarrow (G \times^G U)_G$ denote the isomorphism induced by inclusion on the second factor. Then, since $\phi^! = \rho^* \circ f^!$, we have $(\phi^! \alpha)_U = i_2^!((f^! \alpha)_{G \times^G U})$. Pulling out the f , we have

$$(54) \quad (\phi^! \alpha)_U = f_G^! i_2^! (\alpha_{G \times^G U}).$$

To prove the proposition, we want to compute $\alpha_{G \times^G U}$ in terms of α_U . To do this, we define a G -equivariant morphism $l : G \times^G U \rightarrow U$ given on scheme-theoretic points $g \in G(S)$, $u \in U(S)$ by

$$(55) \quad l(g, u) = gh_S u.$$

Since G acts on the right on $G \times^G U$, it is obvious that l is G -equivariant provided that it is well-defined. That this is so can be easily checked using (53).

Since l is G -equivariant, $\alpha_{G \times^G U} = l_G^! \alpha_U$. We thus have

$$(\phi^! \alpha)_U = f_G^! i_2^! l_G^! \alpha_U.$$

Now consider the composition r in the diagram

$$(56) \quad U \times X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times f} U \times X \xrightarrow{i_2 \times \text{id}} (G \times^G U) \times X \xrightarrow{l \times \text{id}} U \times X.$$

For $u \in U(S)$, $x \in X(S)$ two S -valued points, r is given by $(u, x) \mapsto (h_S u, h_S x)$. Thus $r_G = \text{id}$. It follows that

$$f_G^! i_2^! l_G^! \alpha_U = r_G^! \alpha_U = \text{id}.$$

□

4. EQUIVARIANT CYCLE CLASS

In this section, a few general results on Chow groups necessary for the construction of S_\bullet are collected. There are two main goals: (i) to explain why equivariant cycles give classes in equivariant Chow groups (this fact is mentioned in the proof of [3] Proposition 1), and (ii) to show that two equivariant cycles are equivalent if they belong to the same equivariant rational family.

Definition 4.1. The group $Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1; \Lambda)$ is the subgroup of $(k+1)$ -dimensional cycles $\sum_i \lambda_i [V_i]$ in $X \times \mathbf{P}^1$ such that all V_i map dominantly to \mathbf{P}^1 .

Following the Conventions of (1.2), the ring Λ will be suppressed in the notation, and the group of Λ -cycles $Z_k(X; \Lambda) = Z_k X \otimes \Lambda$ will be written as $Z_k X$.

Following the notation in [4], for $P \in \mathbf{P}^1$ and V a variety mapping dominantly to \mathbf{P}^1 , let $V(P)$ denote the fiber above P . The definition is extended to $Z_*(X|\mathbf{P}^1)$ by linearity.

Both $Z_k(-)$ and $Z_k(-|\mathbf{P}^1)$ can be viewed as presheaves in the étale topology. That $Z_k(-)$ is actually a sheaf in the étale topology is proved in [5] (page 211) for $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}$. The argument is easily extended to the case of arbitrary ring Λ . It is also easily extended to show that $Z_k(-|\mathbf{P}^1)$ is sheaf.

Proposition 4.2. *Two cycles α_0 and α_∞ in $Z_k X$ are rationally equivalent \Leftrightarrow there is a cycle $\beta \in Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)$ with $\beta(0) = \alpha_0$ and $\beta(\infty) = \alpha_\infty$.*

Proof. First consider the case $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}$. By [4] Example 1.6.2, α_0 and α_∞ are equivalent if and only if there is a positive cycle $Z \in Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)$ and a positive cycle γ on X with

$$Z(0) = \alpha_0 + \gamma \quad Z(\infty) = \alpha_\infty + \gamma.$$

To prove (\Rightarrow) , set $\beta = Z - \gamma \times [\mathbf{P}^1]$. To prove (\Leftarrow) , write β as $\beta^+ - \beta^-$ where each term either positive or 0. Then, setting $Z = \beta^+$, shows that $\alpha_0 + \beta^-(0) \sim \alpha_\infty + \beta^-(\infty)$. This shows that α_0 and α_∞ are equivalent.

For arbitrary Λ , the proposition is equivalent to the statement that the sequence

$$Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow Z_k X \rightarrow A_k X \rightarrow 0$$

is exact with the first map given by $\beta \mapsto \beta(\infty) - \beta(0)$. Thus, since the proposition holds for $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}$, it holds for arbitrary Λ by the right exactness of \otimes . \square

Suppose a linear algebraic group G acts on X with action map $a : G \times X \rightarrow X$. Let $p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Note that both p_2 and the action map are automatically flat morphisms.

4.3. If F is a G -equivariant presheaf of abelian groups in the étale topology, then $a^*F = p_2^*F$ and the fixed sections of F are defined as

$$F^G = \ker(F(X) \xrightarrow{a^* - p_2^*} F(G \times X)).$$

In particular, we can consider the groups $Z_*(X)^G$ and $Z_*(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$. Now assume that G acts freely on X . Then there is a quotient Y . Moreover, the quotient morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat. Thus we have flat pullback maps $\pi^* : Z_k(Y) \rightarrow Z_k(X)^G$ and $\pi^* : Z_k(Y|\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$.

Now assume that G is finite and étale as a group scheme over the base field. It follows then that the quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an étale morphism. This implies that $\pi^* : Z_k Y \rightarrow Z_k(X)^G$ and $\pi^* : Z_k(Y|\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$ are in fact isomorphisms. When $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}$, we can easily give the inverse map. Note that if G is finite and étale, $\pi_* \pi^*$ is multiplication by the order $\#G$. Thus $\frac{1}{\#G} \pi_*$ restricted to $(Z_k X)^G$ (resp. to $Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$) is inverse to π^* .

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a finite, étale group scheme acting freely on X . Two cycles α_0 and α_∞ in $(Z_* X)^G$ determine the same class in $A_*(X/G)$ if there is a cycle $\beta \in Z_*(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$ such that $\beta(0) = \alpha_0$ and $\beta(\infty) = \alpha_\infty$*

Proof. This is simply a matter of noticing that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_k(Y|\mathbf{P}^1) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ Z_k Y & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & (Z_k X)^G \end{array}$$

commutes where σ is either $\beta \mapsto \beta(0)$ or $\beta \mapsto \beta(\infty)$. \square

4.5. Now assume that G acts properly, but not necessarily freely, on X . Let α be a cycle in $(Z_k X)^G$ (resp. $Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$). For any $U \in EG$ of dimension d , $\alpha \mapsto [U] \times \alpha$ gives a map $(Z_k X)^G \rightarrow Z_{k+d}(U \times X)^G$ (resp. $Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G \rightarrow Z_{k+d}(U \times X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$). But, since the action of G on $U \times X$ is free, $(Z_* U \times X)^G \cong Z_*((U \times X)_G)$ (resp. $(Z_* U \times X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G \cong Z_*((U \times X)_G|\mathbf{P}^1)$). Thus, to every $\alpha \in Z_k(X)^G$, we have a class $(\pi^*)^{-1}([U] \times \alpha) \in Z_{k+d}(U \times X)_G$. In the limit, these classes give a cycle class $\text{cl}^G(\alpha) \in A_k^G X$.

4.6. It follows from Proposition 4.4, that if $\beta \in Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$, then $[U] \times \beta(0)$ and $[U] \times \beta(\infty)$ represent the same class in $A_{k+d}(X \times U)_G$ and thus in $A_k^G X$. This implies that two equivariant cycles α_0 and α_∞ in $(Z_k X)^G$ have the same G -equivariant cycle class if there is a cycle $\beta \in Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$ with $\beta(0) = \alpha_0$ and $\beta(\infty) = \alpha_\infty$. In other words, equivariant rational equivalences induce equivalences in $A_*^G X$.

We will need the following basic proposition to prove that Steenrod operations are additive.

Proposition 4.7. *Let G be a finite, constant group scheme over k . If $Z \in Z_k X$, then*

$$(57) \quad \mathrm{tr}_1^G \mathrm{cl}^1(Z) = \mathrm{cl}^G\left(\sum_{g \in G} [gZ]\right).$$

Here we write 1 for the trivial group.

Proof. Let U be any object in EG . Since G is finite and constant, it is étale. Thus the map $\pi : U \times X \rightarrow (U \times X)_G$ is étale and induces an isomorphism

$$\pi^* : Z_*(U \times X)_G \rightarrow (Z_*(U \times X))^G.$$

By definition $(\mathrm{tr}_1^G \mathrm{cl}^1(Z))_{U \times X}$ is the class in $\mathrm{CH}_k^G(U \times X)$ of

$$(58) \quad \pi_*[U \times Z] \in Z_{k+|U|}(U \times X)_G.$$

The right-hand side of (57) is the class in $\mathrm{CH}_k^G(U \times X)$ of

$$(59) \quad (\pi^*)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} [U \times gZ] \in Z_{k+|U|}(U \times X)_G.$$

The proposition follows by applying π^* to (58) and (59) and noting the equality of the results. \square

Remark 4.8. The proof above uses the fact that the result of applying π^* to (58) is equal to the result of applying π^* to (59) *before* passing to rational equivalence. In fact, the proof makes essential use of the fact that $\pi^* : Z_k Y \rightarrow (Z_k X)^G$ is an isomorphism when $Y = X/G$ for G a finite, étale group scheme acting freely on X . However, it is not in general true that $\pi^* : A_k Y \rightarrow (A_k X)^G$ is an isomorphism in this situation. For example, if E is an elliptic curve over \mathbf{C} and G is the group of 2-torsion points, then $E/G = E$ with $\pi : E \rightarrow E$ represented by the multiplication-by-two map. If we take $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}/2$, then $\pi^* : A_0 E \rightarrow (A_0 E)^G$ is trivial.

We also remark that, by the obvious identification of $A_k^1 X$ with $A_k X$, $\mathrm{cl}^1(Z)$ is simply the cycle class $[Z] \in A_k X$.

5. THE FUNDAMENTAL OPERATION

Let $S(n)$ denote the symmetric group on n letters and $C(n)$ denote the cyclic group with n elements viewed as a subgroup in the obvious way. Let \tilde{R} denote the standard $S(n)$ -representations on k^n and let R denote the reduced regular representation, i.e., the cokernel of the map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \tilde{R}$ from the trivial representation. One can view these representations as bundles over $BS(n)$.

For a cycle $\alpha \in Z_k X$ let $\alpha^{\times n} \in Z_{nk} X^n$ denote its n -fold exterior product with itself ([4] 1.10). As $\alpha^{\times n}$ is $S(n)$ invariant, it defines a class $[\alpha^{\times n}] \in (Z_{nk} X^n)^G$ for any $G \leq S(n)$.

5.1. If $[V] \in Z_i(X|\mathbf{P}^1)$ and $[W] \in Z_j(Y|\mathbf{P}^1)$ are two classes corresponding to subvarieties V and W , then V and W are both flat over \mathbf{P}^1 . This implies that $V \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} W$ is flat over \mathbf{P}^1 . Thus every component of $V \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} W$ maps dominantly to \mathbf{P}^1 . Consequently $[V \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} W] \in Z_{i+j}(X \times Y|\mathbf{P}^1)$. And linearity gives a product

$$Z_i(X|\mathbf{P}^1) \otimes Z_j(Y|\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow Z_{i+j}(X \times Y|\mathbf{P}^1)$$

written $\beta \otimes \gamma \mapsto \beta \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} \gamma$. In particular, any $\beta \in Z_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)$ can be crossed with itself to obtain a class $\beta_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\times n} \in Z_{nk}(X^n|\mathbf{P}^1)$.

Proposition 5.2. *The map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\times n}$ factors through rational equivalence to give a map $P_G^n : A_k X \rightarrow A_{nk}^G X^n$ for any $G \leq S(n)$.*

Proof. Two cycles α_0 and α_∞ are equivalent iff there a cycle $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_k(X|\mathbf{P}^1)$ with $\beta(0) = \alpha_0$ and $\beta_\infty = \alpha_\infty$. In this case, $\beta_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\times n}$ is a cycle in $Z_{nk}(X^n|\mathbf{P}^1)^G$, with $\beta_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\times n}(0) = \alpha_0^{\times n}$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\times n}(\infty) = \alpha_\infty^{\times n}$. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Consider a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a cycle $\alpha \in A_k Y$. Let $f_G^{\times n}$ denote the obvious G -equivariant morphism from X_G^n to Y_G^n .*

- (i) *For f proper, $f_G^{\times n}$ is also proper, and $(f_G^{\times n})_* P_G^n(\alpha) = P_G^n(f_* \alpha)$.*
- (ii) *For f is flat, $f_G^{\times n}$ is also flat, and $(f_G^{\times n})^* P_G^n(\alpha) = P_G^n(f^* \alpha)$.*
- (iii) *For f a regular embedding (resp. l.c.i. morphism), $f_G^{\times n}$ is also a regular embedding (resp. l.c.i. morphism), and $(f_G^{\times n})^! P_G^n(\alpha) = P_G^n(f^! \alpha)$. (Here $f^!$ is Fulton's refined Gysin homomorphism [4].)*

Proof. (i) is easy as it is true on the level of cycles, that is, in $Z_{nk}(X^n)$. (ii): If f is flat then it is easy to see that $f^{\times n}$ is also. Thus $f_G^{\times n}$ is also flat by the results of [3] recalled in section 2.2. It is easy to see that the required commutativity actually holds on the level of cycles.

Once (iii) is proved for regular embeddings, the statement for l.c.i. morphisms will be a consequence of (ii). So assume f is a regular embedding of codimension d . Let N be the normal bundle, and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

be a pull-back diagram. Let $N' = N|_{X'}$.

The refined Gysin homomorphism of [4], $f^! : A_k Y' \rightarrow A_{k-d} X'$, is constructed as the composition of the specialization homomorphism $\sigma : A_k Y' \rightarrow A_k N'$ with the isomorphism $(\pi^*)^{-1} : A_k N' \rightarrow A_{k-d} X'$.

The map σ is defined on the level of cycles by the rule $\sigma[V] = [C_{V \cap X} V]$. (Here $[C_{V \cap X} V]$ is the normal cone of $V \cap X$ in V — a subscheme of N' .) One then sees that σ commutes with P_G^n on the level of cycles, i.e., the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_k Y' & \longrightarrow & Z_{nk} Y'^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_k N' & \longrightarrow & Z_{nk} N'^n \end{array}$$

commutes.

On the other hand, the isomorphism $\pi^* : A_{k-d} X' \rightarrow A_k N'$ is simply a flat pull-back. Thus the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{k-d} X' & \longrightarrow & A_{n(k-d)} X'^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_k N' & \longrightarrow & A_{nk} N'^n \end{array}$$

also commutes by (ii). The result then follows by splicing the two diagrams together. \square

If $V \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank r , let $P_G^n(V)$ denote the product of n -copies of V over n copies of X as a G -equivariant bundle.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\alpha \in A_*X$ and let $c_{\text{top}}(V)$ denote the top Chern class class $c_r(V)$ of V , that is, the top Chern class of V . Then $P_G^n(\alpha \cap c_{\text{top}}(V)) = P_G^n(\alpha) \cap c_{\text{top}}(P_G^n V)$.*

Proof. Let $i : X \rightarrow V$ be the inclusion of the 0-section. Then $\alpha \cap c_{\text{top}}(V) = i^* i_* \alpha$. The corollary then follows from the successive application of (ii) and (iii) of the previous proposition. \square

6. CONSTRUCTION

Consider a pair (W, X) where $X \rightarrow W$ is an embedding of X into a smooth connected algebraic space W of dimension d . Set $m = (n - 1)d$, and form the $S(n)$ -equivariant pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & W^n \end{array}$$

where $S(n)$ is acting on W^n and on X^n by permuting the factors. We let $S(n)$ act trivially on W and on X , and we take Δ to be the diagonal embedding.

Definition 6.1. For $G \leq S(n)$, $d_G^W : A_G^k X^n \rightarrow A_{k-m}^G X$ is the map given by $d_G^W(\alpha) = \Delta_G^! \alpha$. We will write D_G^W for $d_G^W \circ P_G^n$.

Remark 6.2. One way to keep track of the degrees in the definition is to reindex the groups. For a pair (W, X) , define $A_G^k[W, X] = A_{d-k}^G X$. Then the re-indexed map $d_G^W : A_G^k[W^n, X^n] \rightarrow A_G^k[W, X]$ preserves the degree, and D_G^W maps $A^k[W, X]$ to $A_G^k[W, X]$. This is useful for proving the Adem relations but for most of this paper we prefer to keep the usual grading.

The next proposition allows us to control the dependence of d_G^W on the smooth space W . Eventually, we will be able to use it to “factor out” the W dependence from our definition of the Steenrod operation S_\bullet .

Proposition 6.3. *Let $j_i : X \rightarrow W_i$ be two embeddings of X into two smooth spaces W_i . Then*

$$(60) \quad c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes TW_2|_X) \cap d_G^{W_1} = c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes TW_1|_X) \cap d_G^{W_2}.$$

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, we have diagrams

$$(61) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & X^n \\ j_i \downarrow & & \downarrow j_i^{\times n} \\ W_i & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{W_i}} & W_i^n. \end{array}$$

Since $R \otimes TW_i|_X = j_i^* N_{W_i} W_i^{\times n}$, we need to show that

$$(62) \quad c_{\text{top}} j_1^* N_{W_1} W_1^{\times n} \cap \Delta_{W_2}^! = c_{\text{top}} j_2^* N_{W_2} W_2^{\times n} \cap \Delta_{W_1}^!.$$

This equality is a special case of the following lemma. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let X and Y be two G -equivariant spaces and, for $i = 1, 2$, let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ g_i \downarrow & & \downarrow h_i \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y_i \end{array}$$

be G -equivariant pullback diagrams with the maps $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ regular embeddings. Let $N_i = g_i^* N_{X_i Y_i}$, and let $n_i = \text{rk } N_i$. Then

$$(63) \quad c_{n_1} N_1 \cap f_2^! \alpha = c_{n_2} N_2 \cap f_1^! \alpha$$

for any class $\alpha \in A_*^G Y$.

Proof. The proof uses the notion of an excess normal bundle and Fulton's Excess Intersection formula ([4] Theorem 6.3).

Form the diagram

$$(64) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ (g_1, g_2) \downarrow & & (g_1, f_2 \circ g_2) \downarrow & & (h_1, h_2) \downarrow \\ X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{X_1} \times f_2} & X_1 \times Y_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1 \times \text{id}_{Y_2}} & Y_1 \times Y_2 \\ & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \\ & & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \end{array}$$

The squares in (64) are pullbacks. For the two squares on the right side, this is automatic. For the one on the left, we need to use the fact that f is an embedding and this follows from the assumption that the f_i are embeddings.

Now let α be a class in $A_*^G Y$. Since $f_1 \times \text{id}_{Y_2}$ and f_1 are both regular embeddings of the same codimension (namely n_1), the excess intersection bundle for the right two squares is trivial. Thus

$$(65) \quad (f_1 \times \text{id}_{Y_2})^! \alpha = f_1^! \alpha.$$

On the other hand, note that the left hand side of (64) fits into a larger couple of pullback diagrams

$$(66) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X \\ (g_1, g_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow (g_1, f_2 \circ g_2) \\ X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{X_1} \times f_2} & X_1 \times Y_2 \\ \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

where again the excess intersection bundle for the bottom square is trivial. Moreover, the top horizontal arrow of (66), id_X , is a regular embedding. Thus, for $\beta \in A_*(X)$,

$$(67) \quad (\text{id}_{X_1} \times f_2)^! \beta = f_2^! \beta = c_{n_2} N_2 \cap \beta.$$

The last equality follows from the excess intersection formula applied to the top and bottom rows of the diagram.

Finally consider the diagram

$$(68) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ (g_1, g_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow (h_1, h_2) \\ X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} & Y_1 \times Y_2. \end{array}$$

This is the composition of the top two squares of (64); thus,

$$(69) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_1 \times f_2)^! \alpha &= (\mathrm{id}_{X_1} \times f_2)^! (f_1 \times \mathrm{id}_{Y_2})^! \alpha \\ &= c_{n_2} N_2 \cap f_1^! \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows from the functoriality theorem for the refined Gysin (Theorem 6.5 of [4]), and the second follows by combining equations (65) and (67).

Now we can interchange the roles of f_1 and f_2 in the proof of equation (69), and, when we do, we see that

$$(70) \quad (f_1 \times f_2)^! \alpha = c_{n_1} N_1 \cap f_2^! \alpha.$$

Thus we obtain the desired result, equation (63), by equating the right hand sides of equations (69) and (70). \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{j} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of injective maps with the horizontal maps open embeddings and with W (and thus V) smooth.

- (i) *For $\alpha \in A_*^G X^n$, $j^{\times n*}(d_G^W \alpha) = d_G^V(j^{\times n*} \alpha)$.*
- (ii) *For $\alpha \in A_* X$, $j^*(D_G^W \alpha) = D_G^V(j^* \alpha)$.*

Proof. (i) implies (ii) by Proposition 5.3. In the case $V = W$, (i) follows from Theorem 6.2 (a) of [4] — the commutativity of flat pull-back with the refined Gysin. The general case then follows from Theorem 6.3 of [4] (the Excess Intersection Formula). \square

7. CHOW THEORY OF CYCLIC GROUPS

Let n be an integer not divisible by $\mathrm{char}(k)$, and let μ_n be the linear algebraic group of n -th roots of unity. (As an algebraic variety, this is $\mathrm{Spec} k[t]/(t^n - 1)$.) The canonical one-dimensional representation of μ_n gives rise to an equivariant line bundle L over pt_k . Set $l = c_1(L) \in A^1 B\mu_n$. The following result is given in [9] for $k = \mathbf{C}$. Here we give a proof of the (certainly well-known) general case.

Theorem 7.1. *(i) $A^* B\mu_n = \Lambda[l]/(nl)$. (ii) If X is an algebraic space with trivial μ_n action, then $A_*^{\mu_n} X = A_* X \otimes A^* B\mu_n$.*

Proof. Let $E = \mathbf{A}^{r+1} - \{0\}$ with the diagonal action of μ_n . Write $B = E/\mu_n$, and note that $\mathbf{P}^r = E/\mathbf{G}_m$. Now let \mathbf{G}_m act on $\mathbf{A}^1 \times E$ on the right by the formula: $(a, x)\lambda = (\lambda^n a, \lambda x)$. The resulting quotient $(\mathbf{A}^1 \times E)/\mathbf{G}_m$ is then the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(n)$ on \mathbf{P}^r . The space B embeds as an open subset of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ via the map $j : e \mapsto (1, e)$. The complement of $j(B)$ is the 0-section which we will simply write as \mathbf{P}^r . For any X we then have an exact sequence of groups

$$A_i(\mathbf{P}^r \times X) \rightarrow A_i(\mathcal{O}(n) \times X) \rightarrow A_i(B \times X) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $X = \text{pt}$ the theorem follows from the elementary computation of the map on the left hand side: Both of the groups are Λ for $i \in [0, r]$ and the maps are multiplication by n . The generating class $h \in A_r \mathcal{O}(n)$ is the first Chern class of the pull-back of the canonical line bundle from \mathbf{P}^r . Since the representation of \mathbf{G}_m restricts to the canonical representation of μ_n , h restricted to B is l . This proves (i).

For X arbitrary, there are Kunnetth formulas $A_*(\mathbf{P}^r \times X) = A_* \mathbf{P}^r \otimes A_* X$ and $A_*(\mathcal{O}(n) \times X) = A_* \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes A_* X$. (ii) follows from (i) and the application of these formulas. \square

7.2. We now make two assumptions that will be in force for the rest of the paper. Pick a prime p not equal to the characteristic of k , and set $\Lambda = \mathbf{F}_p$. Chow groups written without explicit coefficients will thus be taken modulo p . Now, if k^\times contains the group $\mu_p(\bar{k})$ then $C(p) \cong \mu_p$. But of course the two groups are not naturally isomorphic. The isomorphisms themselves are in correspondence with the primitive p -th roots of unity. Therefore let us assume that such a primitive root ζ as been chosen in \bar{k} . We can then write $A^* BC(p)_{\bar{k}} = \mathbf{F}_p[l]$.

Remark 7.3. Note that even without choosing ζ there is a natural correspondence between one-dimensional representations of $C(p)$ and μ_p . The first Chern class then gives a correspondence between μ_p and $A^1 BC(p)$. In other words, over a field containing the p -th roots of unity, $A^1 BC(p) = \mathbf{Z}/p(1)$. This implies that $A^k BC(p) = \mathbf{Z}/p(k)$.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $r = [k(\mu_p) : k]$ be the index of the extension obtained by adjoining the p -th roots of unity to k . Then $A^* BC(p) \cong \mathbf{F}_p[\epsilon]$ where ϵ is the top Chern class of an r -dimensional $C(p)$ representation.*

Proof. Let $M = k(\mu_p)$ and set $G = \text{Gal}(M/k)$. The action of G on μ_p gives an identification of G with a subgroup of $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^\times$.

The representation R splits over M into a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} L^{\otimes i}$ — here L is the one-dimensional representation associated to ζ . Under the natural identification of $A^1 BC(p)_M$ with μ_p , $c_1(L^{\otimes i}) = \zeta^i$. Let S be a coset of $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^\times$ modulo G . The r -dimensional representation $V = \bigotimes_{i \in S} L^{\otimes i}$ is defined over k as it is fixed by G . All of the Chern classes of V_S vanish except for the top class which is nontrivial and thus a generator of $A^r BC(p)$. We claim that $\epsilon = c_{\text{top}}(V)$ generates $A^* BC(p)$.

Let $\rho : A^* BC(p)_k \rightarrow A^* BC(p)_M$ be the pull-back, and let $N : A^* BC(p)_M \rightarrow A^* BC(p)_k$ be the norm map. Then $N \circ \rho$ is simply multiplication by r . As r is relatively prime to p , ρ is a split injection. We use this injection to consider $A^* BC(p)$ as a subgroup of $A^* BC(p)_M$. Clearly ϵ maps to some non-zero multiple of l^r . Thus to prove the proposition it is enough to show that $A^* BC(p) \subset F_p[l^r]$.

Now, under the identification of G with an order r subgroup of $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^\times$, the action of an element α on $l^i \in A^i BC(p)_M$ is simply multiplication by α^i . It follows that $(A^* BC(p)_M)^G = \mathbf{F}_p[l^r]$. Thus $A^* BC(p) \subset F_p[l^r]$. \square

Remark 7.5. If X is a space on which $C(p)$ acts trivially, $A_*^{C(p)} X$ is a direct summand of $A_*^{C(p)} X_M$. This follows from the same norm argument used in the proof of the proposition.

Let V be a vector bundle on X and assume that both V and X have trivial $C(p)$ actions. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ be the Chern roots of V , and let $c_*(V) = \prod(1 + \lambda_i)$. (We will employ the standard abuses of the splitting principle here and in the sequel.)

Set $w(V) = \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + \lambda_i^{p-1})$. This corresponds to the class w of the introduction.

In order to keep track of the degrees, we also consider the polynomial $w(V, t) = \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + t\lambda_i^{p-1})$.

It is convenient to localize the Chow ring $A^* BC(p)$ by inverting the element ϵ . Note that, as ϵ is a non-zero divisor, $A^* BC(p)$ injects into $(A^* B\mu_p)_\epsilon$. Under the assumption that X has trivial $C(p)$ action, ϵ is also a non-zero divisor on $A_*^{C(p)} X$. Let η denote the top Chern class $c_{\text{top}}(R)$. By Wilson's Theorem, it follows that $\eta = -l^{p-1}$. Note that localizing by η always has the same effect as localizing by ϵ . As it is generally harmless, we will sometimes write a formula in the localized groups without mentioning explicitly which group we are working in.

Proposition 7.6. *With V and X be as above,*

$$c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes V) = \eta^d w(V, 1/\eta).$$

Proof. The Chern roots of R are simply $l, 2l, \dots, (p-1)l$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes V) &= \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{a=1}^{p-1} (\lambda_i + al) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i^{p-1} - l^{p-1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i^{p-1} + c_{\text{top}}(R)) \\ &= c_{\text{top}}(R)^d w(V, c_{\text{top}}(R)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

In the sequel, let $c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes V)(t)$ be the (unique) polynomial in t with coefficients in $A^* X$ such that $c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes V)(\eta) = c_{\text{top}}(R \otimes V)$.

8. DEFINITION AND BASIC PROPERTIES

In what follows, we will write C for $C(p)$ considered as a subgroup of $S = S(p)$ in the obvious way. We will also write D^W for D_C^W . Note that, if $C \leq G \leq S(p)$, the restriction map $A_*^G X \rightarrow A_*^C X$ is a split injection. (The splitting is given up to a factor of $[G : C]$ by the transfer). Thus to compute D_G for all such G it suffices to compute D^W .

We first show that D^W is a group homomorphism, beginning with the Chow group analogue of a lemma of Steenrod. Here and for the remainder of the paper, X will be a space with trivial C action and $S(p)$ will act on X^p via permutations.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $T : A_*^C X^p \rightarrow A_* X^p$ be the transfer. Then $\Delta_C^! T = 0$.*

Proof. By (50), there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_* X^p & \xrightarrow{T} & A_*^C X^p \\ \downarrow \Delta^! & & \downarrow \Delta_C^! \\ A_*^C X & \xrightarrow{\rho^*} & A_* X \xrightarrow{T} A_*^C X \end{array}$$

It follows from the computation of $A_*^C X$ that ρ^* is surjective. However $T\rho^* = 0$ (because it is multiplication by p). Therefore the T on the bottom row is 0. The result then follows from the commutativity of the diagram. \square

Theorem 8.2. *D^W is a group homomorphism.*

Proof. Let Z_0 and Z_1 be two cycles.

$$(Z_0 + Z_1)^{\times p} = Z_0^{\times p} + Z_1^{\times p} + \Gamma$$

where Γ lies in the image of T (by Proposition 4.7). Therefore

$$\Delta_C^!((Z_0 + Z_1)^{\times p}) = \Delta_C^!(Z_0^{\times p}) + \Delta_C^!(Z_1^{\times p})$$

by the lemma. The theorem then follows from the definition of D^W . \square

Now we can view $D^W(\alpha)$ as a polynomial $\sum b_i l^i$ in the variable l with coefficients in $A_* X$. We can do this even if k does not contain all of the p -th roots of unity because $A_*^C X \subset A_*^C X_{\bar{k}}$. Following Steenrod, we have the following

Theorem 8.3. *All terms of $b_i l^i$ of $D^W(\alpha)$ with i not divisible by $p-1$ are 0.*

Proof. Let G be the normalizer of C in S . Then $G \cong C(p-1) \times C$ with $C(p-1)$ acting through the identification $C(p-1) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/p)^*$. Thus $C(p-1)$ acts on $A^* BC$. Under the above identification, with $k \in (\mathbf{Z}/p)^*$, $k_* l = kl$. Thus $k_* l^i = k^i l^i$. This gives the action of $C(p-1)$ on $A^i BC$. Note that the action is only trivial if i is a multiple of $p-1$.

Now recall that $d_C^W = \Delta_C^! \alpha^{\times p}$ is the restriction of $\Delta_G^! \alpha^{\times p}$. Therefore $C(p-1)$ must act trivially on $D_C^W(\alpha)$ (by Proposition 3.4). Hence, all terms $b_i l^i$ with i not a multiple of $p-1$ must be 0. \square

8.4. Now $D^W(\alpha)$ can be viewed as a polynomial in the top Chern class class $\eta = c_{\text{top}}(R)$. To better keep track of degrees, we let $D^W(\alpha, t)$ be the polynomial in $A_* X \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[t]$ such that $D^W(\alpha, \eta) = D^W(\alpha)$.

Definition 8.5. The total Steenrod operation series of the pair (W, X) is the Laurent series (with finitely many terms)

$$S_{\bullet}^W \alpha(t) = t^{d-k} D^W \alpha(1/t).$$

with $d = \dim W$ and $\alpha \in A_k X$. We simply write $S_{\bullet}^W \alpha$ for $S_{\bullet}^W \alpha(1)$. This is the total Steenrod operation. We define individual operations S_i^W by setting $S_{\bullet}^W \alpha(t) = \sum S_i^W(\alpha) t^i$. Note that S_i^W lowers the degree of α by $(p-1)i$.

Remark 8.6. To agree with the topological notation, we define $P_i^W \alpha = S_i^W \alpha$ for $p \neq 2$. For $p = 2$, we define $Sq_{2i}^W \alpha = S_i^W$. The odd order operations obviously do not exist in the context of Chow groups (although they definitely do exist in the context of motivic cohomology) because the cycle class map sends Chow groups to even dimensional cohomology.

Remark 8.7. Since $D^W \alpha$ is a polynomial in t , $S_i^W \alpha = 0$ for $i > d - k$.

Remark 8.8. We can also formulate the definition for $\alpha \in A^k[W, X]$ by following through the reindexing. We write $S_W^\bullet \alpha(t) = t^k D^W \alpha(1/t)$ and set $S_W^\bullet \alpha(t) = \sum S_W^i t^i$. Then, of course, $S_W^i \alpha \in A^{k+(p-1)i}[W, X]$.

From Proposition 6.5, we have

Proposition 8.9. *If $j : U \rightarrow X$ is an inclusion of a Zariski open set, then $j^*(S_\bullet^W \alpha) = S_\bullet^W(j^* \alpha)$.*

Proposition 8.10. *If W_1 and W_2 are two smooth spaces containing X , then*

$$S_\bullet^{W_1} \alpha \cap w(TW_2) = S_\bullet^{W_2} \alpha \cap w(TW_1)$$

Proof. The proposition follows by applying successively Proposition 6.3, Proposition 7.6, and the definitions. \square

Definition 8.11. If X is smooth, let $S^\bullet \alpha$ denote $S_\bullet^X \alpha$. It is natural to consider this as an operation on $A^* X$ so that S^i raises degrees by $(p-1)i$.

Remark 8.12. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of smooth varieties. Then from Proposition 5.3 and the functoriality of the Gysin it follows that $f^! D^Y(\alpha) = D^X(f^! \alpha)$ for $\alpha \in A_* Y$. Therefore,

$$(71) \quad f^! S^\bullet \alpha = S^\bullet f^! \alpha$$

Definition 8.13. For any X embedded in any smooth W , define

$$S_\bullet \alpha(t) = S_\bullet^W \alpha(t) \cap w(TW, t)^{-1}.$$

It follows from Proposition 8.10 that $S_\bullet \alpha$ is independent of W .

9. FUNCTORIALITIES

Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed embedding with Y embedded in a smooth variety W . Then $D_G^W(i_*(\alpha)) = i_*(D_G^W(\alpha))$. This follows from [4], Theorem 6.2. Thus $S_\bullet^W(i_* \alpha) = i_*(S_\bullet^W \alpha)$. From the projection formula, it follows easily that $S_\bullet(i_* \alpha) = i_*(S_\bullet \alpha)$.

Lemma 9.1. *If $X \rightarrow U$ and $Y \rightarrow V$ are embeddings of X and Y into smooth varieties, then*

$$D^{U \times V}(\alpha \times \beta) = D^U \alpha \times D^V \beta.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Example 6.5.2 of [4]. \square

Theorem 9.2 (Cartan Formula). *If $\alpha \in A_* X$ and $\beta \in A_* Y$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\bullet^{U \times V}(\alpha \times \beta) &= S_\bullet^U \alpha \times S_\bullet^V \beta, \\ S_\bullet(\alpha \times \beta) &= S_\bullet \alpha \times S_\bullet \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equation follows directly from the lemma. The second is a consequence of the multiplicative property of w : $w(T(U \times V)) = w(TU) \cup w(TV)$. \square

Applying Remark 8.12 to the embedding $X \rightarrow X \times X$, we have the following theorem as a corollary.

Theorem 9.3 (Cartan Formula). *For X smooth, $D^X(\alpha \cup \beta) = D^X(\alpha) \cup D^X(\beta)$, and $S^\bullet(\alpha \cup \beta) = S^\bullet\alpha \cup S^\bullet\beta$.*

Proposition 9.4. *Let X be a smooth space contained in a smooth space W with normal bundle $N = (TW|_X)/TX$. Let $\alpha \in A^k X$.*

- (i) $D_G^X[X] = [X]$ for any group $G \leq S(n)$.
- (ii) $S^\bullet[X] = [X]$.
- (iii) $S_\bullet^W[X] = [X] \cap w(N)$ and $S_\bullet[X] = [X] \cap w(TX)^{-1}$.
- (iv) $S^i\alpha = \begin{cases} \alpha^p & : i = k \\ 0 & : i > k. \end{cases}$

Proof. (i) is a direct consequence of the following fact: If $j : A \rightarrow B$ is a regular embedding of smooth varieties, then $j^![B] = A$. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) by the definition of S^\bullet and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) by Proposition 8.10.

The second line of (iv) follows from Remark 8.7. For the first line, note that $S^k\alpha$ is simply the constant coefficient in $D^X(\alpha, t)$, that is, $S^k\alpha = D_C^X(\alpha, 0)$ where C is the cyclic group with p elements. From the functoriality of D_G^X as a functor of the group G under the restriction map, it follows that $D_C^X(\alpha, 0) = D_{\{1\}}^X(\alpha)$. But $D_{\{1\}}^X(\alpha) = \alpha^p$. \square

Remark 9.5. A consequence of (iv) of the above is that, if $\alpha \in A^1 X$ and X is smooth, $S^\bullet(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p$. This implies that $D^X(\alpha) = \alpha(\eta + \alpha^{p-1})$.

Corollary 9.6. *For any X embedded in a smooth space W of dimension d and $\alpha \in A_k X$, $S_i^W\alpha = 0$ for $i \notin [0, d - k]$ and S_0^W is the identity.*

Proof. That $S_i^W\alpha$ vanishes for $i > d - k$ is Remark 8.7. To prove the rest of the corollary, first note that by linearity it suffices to consider the case $\alpha = [V]$ for V an irreducible subspace. Let V_{sing} be the singular locus of V and let $W_{\text{sm}} = W - V_{\text{sing}}$ (resp. $V_{\text{sm}} = V - V_{\text{sing}}$, $X_{\text{sm}} = X - V_{\text{sing}}$).

The smoothness of V_{sm} and Proposition 9.4 together imply that the corollary holds for $S_i^{W_{\text{sm}}}[V_{\text{sm}}]$ considered as an element of $A_* V_{\text{sm}}$. Then the covariant functoriality of $S_\bullet^{W_{\text{sm}}}$ shows that the corollary holds for $S_i^{W_{\text{sm}}}[V_{\text{sm}}]$ considered to be in $A_* X_{\text{sm}}$.

Let $j : X_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Then by Proposition 6.5, $S_i^{W_{\text{sm}}}[V_{\text{sm}}] = j^*(S_i^W[V])$. The result then follows from the fact that, for $r \geq k$, $A_r X = A_r X_{\text{sm}}$. \square

Lemma 9.7. *Let V be a vector bundle on X of rank r and let $\alpha \in A_* X$. Then $S_\bullet^W(\alpha \cap c_{\text{top}}(V)) = S_\bullet^W(\alpha) \cap c_{\text{top}}(V)w(V)$.*

Proof. Let $P = P_C^n$. By Corollary 5.4, $P(\alpha \cap c_{\text{top}}(V)) = P(\alpha) \cap c_{\text{top}}(P(V))$. It follows from the compatibility of the refined Gysin with pull-back of vector bundles ([4] Proposition 6.3) that $D^W(\alpha \cap c_{\text{top}}(V)) = D^W(\alpha) \cap c_{\text{top}}(V \otimes \tilde{R})$. But, now, $c_{\text{top}}(V \otimes \tilde{R}) = c_{\text{top}}(V)c_{\text{top}}(V \otimes \tilde{R})$, and this is just $c_{\text{top}}(V)\eta^r w(V, 1/\eta)$. By the definition of S_\bullet^W , we thus have $S_\bullet^W(\alpha \cap c_{\text{top}}(V))(t) = S_\bullet^W(\alpha) \cap c_{\text{top}}(V)w(V, t)$ as desired. \square

Remark 9.8. For a line bundle L with $c = c_1(L)$, this reduces to the statement that $S_\bullet^W(\alpha \cap c) = S_\bullet^W(\alpha) \cap (c + c^p)$.

In the next lemma and what follows, we use the usual convention that

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 9.9. $\binom{-1-(p-1)k}{k} = 0$ modulo p unless $k = 0$.

Proof. $\binom{-1-(p-1)k}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{pk}{k}$. □

For a cycle $\alpha \in A_*X$, we write $[\alpha]_k$ for the component in degree k .

Lemma 9.10. $(S_\bullet[\mathbf{P}^n])_0 = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.

Proof. $S_\bullet[\mathbf{P}^n](t) = [\mathbf{P}^n] \cap w(T\mathbf{P}^n, t)^{-1}$. And $w(T\mathbf{P}^n, t) = (1 + th^{p-1})^{n+1}$. Thus, clearly, $(S_\bullet[\mathbf{P}^n])_0 = 0$ unless $n = (p-1)k$ for some integer k . And, in this case, $(S_\bullet[\mathbf{P}^n])_0 = \binom{-n-1}{k}$. The result then follows from Lemma 9.9. □

Proposition 9.11. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ factors as a closed embedding $g : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n \times Y$ followed by the projection $p_2 : \mathbf{P}^n \times Y \rightarrow Y$. Then $S_\bullet(f_*\alpha) = f_*(S_\bullet\alpha)$.

Proof. Since we know that S_\bullet is covariantly functorial for closed embeddings, we need only show that S_\bullet commutes with p_{2*} . Let $\alpha \in A_k(Y \times \mathbf{P}^n)$. We can write $\alpha = \sum_{i+j=k} \beta_i \otimes [\mathbf{P}^j]$. Then $p_{2*}(\alpha) = \beta_k$. Using the Lemma and the Cartan formula, it is easy to see that $p_{2*}(S_\bullet\alpha) = S_\bullet\beta_k$. □

Corollary 9.12. When $k = \mathbf{C}$, the definition of S_\bullet agrees with the topological definition given in the introduction.

Proof. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities, in particular, a projective map with M smooth. Then the functoriality of S_\bullet for projective morphisms and the computation of Proposition 9.4 shows that $S_\bullet[X] = \pi_*([M] \cap w(TM)^{-1})$. □

Of course, the proof also shows that $\pi_*([M] \cap w(TM)^{-1})$ is independent of M .

10. CHOW ENVELOPES

We now have S_\bullet defined for any scheme X which can be embedded in a smooth scheme. In particular, it is defined for any quasi-projective scheme. Moreover, by Proposition 9.11, S_\bullet is covariant for projective morphisms. To extend the definition of S_\bullet to all schemes and show that the extension is covariant for proper morphisms, we use a Chow envelope argument essentially identical to the one used by Fulton [4] to extend the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem from quasi-projective varieties to arbitrary schemes.

We begin by reviewing the facts of Chow envelopes referring the reader to section 18.3 of [4] for details. If X is a scheme, an *envelope* of X is a proper morphism $p : X' \rightarrow X$ such that, for any irreducible subscheme V of X , there is an irreducible subscheme V' of X' such that p maps V' birationally onto V . It follows that $p_* : A_*X' \rightarrow A_*X$ is surjective. An envelope X' is a *Chow envelope* if X' is quasi-projective. By Lemma 18.3 of [4], for any scheme X there is a Chow envelope $p : X' \rightarrow X$ and a closed subscheme Y such that $X - Y$ is dense and p maps $X' - p^{-1}Y$ isomorphically onto $X - Y$.

Suppose that $p : X' \rightarrow X$ is a Chow envelope and that $\alpha \in A_k X$ is a cycle class. Since we can find an $\alpha' \in A_k X'$ such that $p_* \alpha' = \alpha$, the natural inclination is to simply define

$$(72) \quad S_\bullet \alpha = p_* S_\bullet \alpha'.$$

However, we must first prove that this definition is independent of the choice of α' and the choice of X' . Following Fulton, we will say that a map $S : A_* X \rightarrow A_* X$ is *compatible* with p if

$$(73) \quad S p_* \alpha' = p_* S_\bullet \alpha'$$

for any $\alpha' \in A_* X'$. Since $p : X' \rightarrow X$ is an envelope, there can be at most one map S compatible with a given p .

Lemma 10.1. *If $S : A_* X \rightarrow A_* X$ is compatible with a Chow envelope p then, for any proper morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with Y quasi-projective, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_* Y & \xrightarrow{S_\bullet} & A_* Y \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ A_* X & \xrightarrow{S} & A_* X \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, S is compatible with any other Chow envelope.

Proof. By Lemma 18.3 (4) of [4], we can find a Chow envelope $q : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and a proper morphism $f' : Y' \rightarrow X'$ such that $p f' = f q$. Let $\alpha \in A_k Y$. Since Y' is an envelope, we have $\alpha = q_* \alpha'$ for some $\alpha' \in A_k Y'$. Then $f_* S_\bullet \alpha = f_* S_\bullet q_* \alpha' = f_* q_* S_\bullet \alpha'$ since q is projective. The fact that S is compatible with p then implies that $f_* q_* S_\bullet \alpha = p_* f'_* S_\bullet \alpha' = S p_* f'_* \alpha' = S f_* \alpha$. \square

Proposition 10.2. *For any scheme X , there is an $S : A_* X \rightarrow A_* X$ compatible with all Chow envelopes.*

Proof. We prove the proposition by Noetherian induction on X . When the dimension of X is 0, the proposition is trivial. Therefore, assume the proposition holds for all schemes of dimension less than that of X . By Lemma 10.1, it suffices to find a specific envelope p and construct an S compatible with p . We can thus assume that $p : X' \rightarrow X$ is a Chow envelope equipped with a closed subscheme Y such that $X - Y$ is dense and p maps $X' - p^{-1}Y$ isomorphically onto $X - Y$.

We then have a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{j} & X' \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X. \end{array}$$

Note that $q : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is also a Chow envelope by Lemma 18.3 (2) of [4].

By [4] Example 1.8.1, the sequence

$$(74) \quad A_k Y' \xrightarrow{a} A_k Y \oplus A_k X' \xrightarrow{b} A_k X \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $a(\gamma) = (q_* \gamma, -j_* \gamma)$ and $b(\alpha, \beta) = i_* \alpha + p_* \beta$.

From the induction hypothesis, there is a map $S : A_* Y \rightarrow A_* Y$ compatible with q . We can now define $S(i_* \alpha + p_* \beta) = i_* S(\alpha) + p_* S_\bullet(\beta)$. This is well-defined because, for $\gamma \in A_k Y'$, $i_* S(q_* \gamma) - p_* S_\bullet(j_* \gamma) = i_* q_* S_\bullet \gamma - p_* j_* S_\bullet \gamma = 0$. \square

We can thus define $S_\bullet : A_*X \rightarrow A_*X$ to be given by the (necessarily unique) S compatible with all Chow envelopes. By the Lemma 10.1, we know that S_\bullet commutes with proper push-forward from projective schemes. If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is an arbitrary proper morphism, we can (as in the proof of the proposition) find a Chow envelopes $q : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and $p : X' \rightarrow X$, and a proper map $f' : Y' \rightarrow X'$ such that $pf' = fq$. Then, if $\alpha \in A_kY$ is a class, we can find an $\alpha' \in A_kY'$ such that $q_*\alpha' = \alpha$. It follows then that $f_*S_\bullet\alpha = f_*S_\bullet q_*\alpha' = p_*f'_*S_\bullet\alpha' = S_\bullet p_*f'_*\alpha' = S_\bullet f_*\alpha$. We thus have the following

Proposition 10.3. *If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism, then $f_*S_\bullet = S_\bullet f_*$.*

Note that the above proposition together with Proposition 9.4 (iii) characterizes the action of S_\bullet on any variety X which admits an envelope $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with Y smooth. In particular, in characteristic 0 where resolution of singularities is available, S_\bullet is characterized by commutativity with proper morphisms and the fact that $S_\bullet[Y] = [Y] \cap w(TY)^{-1}$ for smooth Y .

Proposition 10.4. *Let X and Y be schemes.*

- (i) For $\alpha, \beta \in A_kX$, $S_\bullet(\alpha + \beta) = S_\bullet\alpha + S_\bullet\beta$.
- (ii) For $\alpha \in A_kX$ and $\beta \in A_jY$, $S_\bullet(\alpha \times \beta) = S_\bullet\alpha \times S_\bullet\beta$.

Proof. To verify (i) chose a Chow envelope $p : X' \rightarrow X$ and let $\alpha', \beta' \in A_kX'$ be classes such that $p_*\alpha' = \alpha$ and $p_*\beta' = \beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_\bullet(\alpha + \beta) &= p_*S_\bullet(\alpha' + \beta') &= p_*S_\bullet\alpha' + p_*S_\bullet\beta' \\ &= S_\bullet\alpha + S_\bullet\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), let $p : X' \rightarrow X$ and $q : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be two Chow envelopes and let $\alpha' \in A_kX'$ and $\beta' \in A_jY'$ be chosen such that $p_*\alpha' = \alpha$ and $q_*\beta' = \beta$. Then $p \times q : X' \times Y' \rightarrow X \times Y$ is a proper morphism and $(p \times q)_*\alpha' \times \beta' = \alpha \times \beta$. Thus, by proposition 10.3 and Theorem 9.2,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\bullet(\alpha \times \beta) &= S_\bullet(p_*\alpha' \times q_*\beta') = (p \times q)_*S_\bullet(\alpha' \times \beta') \\ &= (p \times q)_*S_\bullet\alpha' \times S_\bullet\beta' = p_*S_\bullet\alpha' \times q_*S_\bullet\beta' = S_\bullet\alpha \times S_\bullet\beta. \end{aligned}$$

□

11. ADEM RELATIONS

In the topological setting, the Steenrod powers along with the Bockstein element β generate an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$ known as the Steenrod algebra. This highly non-commutative algebra is the free non-commutative algebra on the Steenrod operations (along with the Bockstein element) modulo the two-sided ideal generated by certain (somewhat complicated) relations known as the *Adem relations*. The fact that the cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbf{F}_p)$ of a topological space X is a module over the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$ has profound consequences for algebraic topology.

In our algebraic setting, we will not have an analogue of the Bockstein element acting on Chow theory because β increases topological degree by 1. (Note however that, in the setting of motivic cohomology, β exists and plays essentially the same role as the Bockstein element in algebraic topology.) Thus we should expect that $\mathcal{A}(p)$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by β will act on the Chow groups. To prove this we must check the Adem relations.

In this section, we verify that the Adem relations (given in equation (76)) hold both for the S_i^W and for the S_i . Most of the proof is formal and some of it is tedious. In general, the proof is very similar to the one given by Steenrod in [8]. I have; therefore, sketched certain arguments referring the reader to Steenrod for the details.

In order to unify the presentation, we use the reindexing of Remarks 6.2 and 8.8. Therefore, we will be considering throughout the groups $A^k[W, X]$ and the operations $S_W^\bullet = t^k D^W \alpha(1/t)$. We will also use the symbol ∞ to stand for an “infinite” W . In practice, this means that we will write $A^k[\infty, X] = A_{-k} X$ and $S_\infty^\bullet(\alpha)$ for the reindexed $S_\bullet(\alpha)$. We will say that W is “finite” if it is not ∞ . When X is smooth, D written without a superscript will mean D^X .

For W finite and $\alpha \in A^k[W, X]$, we have $D^W(\alpha) = \eta^k S_W^\bullet \alpha(1/\eta)$. We generalize this formula by defining $D^\infty(\alpha) = \eta^k S_\infty^\bullet \alpha(1/\eta)$. Note that, while $D^W(\alpha) \in A_C^*[W, X]$ for W finite, $D^\infty(\alpha)$ may only live in the localized module $A_C^*[W, X]_\eta$.

Now let C_1 and C_2 be two copies of the group $C(p)$ and suppose that $E_i \in \mathbf{E}C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ over an algebraically closed field k . (In proving the Adem relations, we can work over an algebraically closed field without loss of generality.) Let $B_i = E_i/C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We suppose that a large integer N has been chosen and that $A^k B_i = A^k BC_i$ for $k \leq N$. Let us write $A^* BC_i = \mathbf{F}[l_i]$. We will also write $\eta_i = -l_i^{p-1}$. Let R'_i be the subalgebra of $A^* BC_i$ generated by η_i . In general, we write R' for the subalgebra of $A^* BC(p)$ generated by η .

We want to compute the map $D : A^k B_1 \rightarrow A^* B_1 \otimes A^* BC_2$. By Remark 9.5, $D(l_1) = l_1(\eta_2 + l_1^{p-1}) = l_1(\eta_2 - \eta_1)$. As D is an algebra homomorphism, this computes D completely. In particular, $D(\eta_1) = \eta_1(\eta_2 - \eta_1)^{p-1}$. These two formulas hold for the varieties B_i . There is then an induced algebra map $D : A^* BC \rightarrow A^* BC \otimes A^* BC$ given by $D(l) = l \otimes 1(l^{p-1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes l^{p-1})$.

Now for any space X embedded in a smooth (finite) space W there is a sequence

$$A^k[W, X] \rightarrow A^{pk}[W \times B_1, X \times B_1] \rightarrow A^{p^2k}[W \times B_1 \times B_2, X \times B_1 \times B_2]$$

obtained by applying D^W and then $D^{W \times B_1}$ in succession. By taking $N > p^2k$, this defines a map $D^2 : A^*[W, X] \rightarrow A^*[W, X] \otimes \mathbf{F}[l_1] \otimes \mathbf{F}[l_2]$. Let s be the automorphism of $R' \otimes R'$ which switches the factors, i.e., $s(l_1) = l_2$, $s(l_2) = l_1$.

Theorem 11.1. *For W finite, $D^2 = s(D^2)$.*

Sketch. Let C^2 be the product of C_1 and C_2 and let sw be the automorphism of C^2 which switches the factors. Then D^2 can be thought of as a map $D^2 : A^*[W, X] \rightarrow A_{C^2}^*[W, X]$. Then $\text{sw}^*(D^2) = D^2$. This is shown in the topological context on pages 116 and 117 of [8]. The proof in the context of equivariant intersection theory uses the same reasoning and the same commutative diagrams. (All of the necessary functorial properties of equivariant intersection theory are at our disposal.) I will omit it.

What is left is to show that $\text{sw}^* = s$. But this is clear from the way sw acts on one-dimensional representations. \square

Let $m : R' \otimes R' \rightarrow R'$ be the multiplication map. Let $R = R'_\eta$. Then R inherits a grading from $A^* BC(p)$ so that η has degree $p - 1$. Extend D , m , and s to R . Then since $D(\eta_1) = \eta_1(\eta_2 - \eta_1)^{p-1}$, the extension of D to R takes values in the ring $(R \otimes R)_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$. (Here we write η_1 for $\eta \otimes 1$, η_2 for $1 \otimes \eta$.) Write $M = A^*[W, X]$ and $D^M = D^W$. The Cartan formula shows us that D^2 can be computed as the

composition

$$(75) \quad M \xrightarrow{D^M} M \otimes R' \xrightarrow{D^M \otimes D} M \otimes R' \otimes R' \otimes R' \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s \otimes 1} M \otimes R' \otimes R' \otimes R' \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes m} M \otimes R' \otimes R'.$$

We can then extend this to a map $D^2 : M \rightarrow (M \otimes R \otimes R)_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$ by extending D to R .

11.2. Let ι be the involution on R taking η to $1/\eta$. Let M be any graded Abelian group with a map $D^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes R$ multiplying degrees by p . We write $D^M(\alpha)(1/\eta)$ for $(\text{id} \otimes \iota) \circ D^M(\alpha)$. Define $S_M(\alpha) = \eta^k D^M(\alpha)(1/\eta)$ and write $S_M(\alpha) = \sum_i S_M^i(\alpha) \eta^i$. Note that this implies that $D^M(\alpha) = \eta^k S_M(\alpha)(1/\eta)$. Define $D^2 : M \rightarrow M \otimes (R \otimes R)_{(\eta_2 - \eta_1)}$ as in (75).

The Adem relations will follow from the following

Theorem 11.3. *With the M as above, suppose that*

- (i) *For any α , $S_M^i(\alpha) = 0$ for $i < 0$,*
- (ii) *$s(D^2) = D^2$.*

Then the S_M^i satisfy the Adem relations. That is, for $0 < b < pc$,

$$(76) \quad S_M^b S_M^c = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor b/p \rfloor} (-1)^{b+i} \binom{(p-1)(c-i)-1}{b-pi} S_M^{b+c-i} S_M^i.$$

Proof. We will write u for the generator of the first factor of R and v for the other so that $R \otimes R = \mathbf{F}[u, v, u^{-1}, v^{-1}]$. In other words, we write u for η_1 and v for η_2 . We will simply write S for S_M . Note that S^i raises degrees by $(p-1)i$.

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(\alpha) &= D(u^k S(\alpha)(1/u)) \\ &= D(u^k \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i(\alpha) u^{-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} D(S^i(\alpha)) D(u^{k-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} v^{k+(p-1)i} \sum_{j \geq 0} S^j S^i(\alpha) v^{-j} D(u^{k-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i, j \geq 0} S^j S^i(\alpha) v^{k+(p-1)i-j} u^{k-i} (v-u)^{(p-1)(k-i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sums here are finite by the assumption that $D : M \rightarrow M \otimes R$.

By assumption (ii), we have that

$$\sum_{i, j \geq 0} S^j S^i(\alpha) (v-u)^{(p-1)(k-i)} [v^{k+(p-1)i-j} u^{k-i} - u^{k+(p-1)i-j} v^{k-i}] = 0.$$

We reduce this by dividing through by $(v-u)^{(p-1)k} v^k u^k$ to obtain the equation

$$\sum_{i, j \geq 0} S^j S^i(\alpha) (v-u)^{-(p-1)i} [v^{(p-1)i-j} u^{-i} - u^{(p-1)i-j} v^{-i}] = 0.$$

We then change variables, writing the equation in terms of $a = u/v$ and v , to obtain

$$\sum_{i, j \geq 0} S^j S^i(\alpha) v^{-i-j} (1-a)^{-(p-1)i} [a^{-i} - a^{pi-i-j}] = 0.$$

Thus, with $m = b + c$,

$$\sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(\alpha)(1-a)^{-(p-1)i} [a^{-i} - a^{pi-m}] = 0.$$

Multiplying through by a^m , we have

$$\sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(\alpha)(1-a)^{-(p-1)i} [a^j - a^{pi}] = 0.$$

In other words,

$$\sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(\alpha)(1-a)^{-(p-1)i} a^j = \sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(\alpha)(1-a)^{-(p-1)i} a^{pi}.$$

Now, multiply both sides through by $(1-a)^{(p-1)c-1} a^{-b}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(\alpha)(1-a)^{(p-1)(c-i)-1} a^{j-b} &= \\ \sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(\alpha)(1-a)^{(p-1)(c-i)-1} a^{pi-b}. \end{aligned}$$

The Adem relations follow from considering the constant coefficient of each side of the equation expanded out as a formal power series in a .

On the left side, the coefficient is simply $S^b S^c$. This follows from Lemma 9.9. Note that on the right the coefficient will be 0 unless $pi \leq b$. Since $0 < b < pc$, this implies that $i < c$. At any rate, the coefficient is

$$\sum_{i+j=m} S^j S^i(-1)^{b-pi} \binom{(p-1)(c-i)-1}{b-pi}.$$

□

The Theorem directly implies the Adem relations for finite W . For $W = \infty$, one needs to know the following

Proposition 11.4. *If D^W satisfies the conditions of Theorem 11.3 for any finite W , then D^∞ does also.*

Proof. Hypothesis (i) of Theorem 11.3 holds automatically since $S_i \alpha$ vanishes for $i < 0$. (This is implied by the vanishing of $S_i^W \alpha$ for $i < 0$.) The proof of (ii) is a combinatorial game with the Chern roots of TW . Writing them as λ_i , we have

$$(77) \quad w(TM) = \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + \lambda_i^{p-1}).$$

Now, $D^W \alpha = \eta^k S_W^\bullet \alpha(1/\eta)$ for $\alpha \in A^k[W, X]$. If λ is the first Chern class of a line bundle L , it follows that from Remark 9.8 that

$$D^W(\alpha \cap \lambda) = D^W(\alpha) \cap D(\lambda)$$

with $D(\lambda) = \lambda(\eta + \lambda^{p-1})$.

Now suppose that $\alpha \in A^k[W, X] = A_{d-k}X = A^{k-d}[\infty, X]$. From the definition of S_\bullet (taking into account the gradings), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D^\infty \alpha &= \eta^{k-d} S_W^\bullet \alpha (1/\eta) \cap \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + \eta^{-1} \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1} \\ (78) \quad &= D^W \alpha \cap \prod_{i=1}^d (\eta + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that D^W is a homomorphism, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} D^\infty D^\infty \alpha &= D^W (D^W \alpha \cap \prod_{i=1}^d (\eta_1 + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1}) \cap \prod_{i=1}^d (\eta_2 + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1} \\ (79) \quad &= D^W D^W \alpha \cap D(\prod_{i=1}^d (\eta_1 + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1}) \cap \prod_{i=1}^d (\eta_2 + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that (ii) holds for W , we know that $D^W D^W \alpha$ is symmetric in η_1, η_2 . Therefore it will suffice to show that the expression

$$D(\prod_{i=1}^d (\eta_1 + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1}) \cap \prod_{i=1}^d (\eta_2 + \lambda_i^{p-1})^{-1}$$

is symmetric in η_1, η_2 . Moreover, since D^W is an algebra homomorphism, we can assume that $d = 1$ so that there is only one $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and we can remove the inverses. That is, we only need to show that

$$D(\eta_1 + \lambda^{p-1})(\eta_2 + \lambda^{p-1})$$

is symmetric for λ a first Chern class.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (80) \quad &D(\eta_1 + \lambda^{p-1})(\eta_2 + \lambda^{p-1}) \\ &= [\eta_1(\eta_2 - \eta_1)^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-1}(\lambda^{p-1} + \eta_2)^{p-1}][\eta_2 + \lambda^{p-1}] \\ &= \eta_1(\eta_2 - \eta_1)^{p-1}(\eta_2 + \lambda^{p-1}) + \lambda^{p-1}(\lambda^{p-1} + \eta_2)^p \\ &= \frac{\eta_1(\eta_2^p - \eta_1^p)(\eta_2 + \lambda^{p-1}) + \lambda^{p-1}(\eta_2 - \eta_1)(\lambda^{p(p-1)} + \eta_2^p)}{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \\ &= \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2 (\eta_2^p - \eta_1^p) + (\eta_2^{p+1} - \eta_1^{p+1}) \lambda^{p-1} + (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \lambda^{p^2-1}}{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \end{aligned}$$

Since both the numerator and the denominator of the last expression are both odd functions of $\eta_2 - \eta_1$, (80) is symmetric. \square

As a corollary, we obtain

Theorem 11.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ be the Steenrod Algebra at the prime p , and let β be the Bockstein element. Then $\mathcal{A}(p)$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by β acts on $A^*[W, X]$ for W either a smooth algebraic space in which X is embedded or $W = \infty$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin. Versal deformations and algebraic stacks. *Invent. Math.*, 27:165–189, 1974.
- [2] P. Deligne and D. Mumford. The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):75–109, 1969.
- [3] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Equivariant intersection theory. *Invent. Math.*, 131(3):595–634, 1998.
- [4] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [5] Henri Gillet. Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and Q -varieties. In *Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983)*, volume 34, pages 193–240, 1984.
- [6] S. Kawai. A note on Steenrod reduced powers of algebraic cocycles. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 375–381. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.
- [7] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.
- [8] N. E. Steenrod. *Cohomology operations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962.
- [9] Burt Totaro. The Chow ring of a classifying space. In *Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997)*, pages 249–281. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [10] V. Voevodsky. The Milnor conjecture. K-theory preprint server.
- [11] Vladimir Voevodsky. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. arXiv:math.AG/0107109.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
E-mail address: pbrosnan@math.ucla.edu