

# LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF COHERENT SYSTEMS ASSOCIATED TO LATTICES

ULRIK ENSTAD AND JORDY TIMO VAN VELTHOVEN

ABSTRACT. This note considers the finite linear independence of coherent systems. We show by simple arguments that lattice coherent systems of amenable groups are linearly independent whenever the associated twisted group ring does not contain any nontrivial zero divisors. We verify the latter for discrete locally indicable groups, which includes lattices in nilpotent Lie groups. For the particular case of time-frequency translates of Euclidean space, our main result recovers the Heil–Ramanathan–Topiwala (HRT) conjecture for subsets of arbitrary lattices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a point  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , the associated time-frequency translation  $\pi(x, \xi)$  is the unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  given by

$$\pi(x, \xi)g(t) = e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t} g(t - x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.1)$$

The famous Heil–Ramanathan–Topiwala (HRT) conjecture [21, Conjecture] states that for any nonzero  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and any finite subset  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , the system

$$\pi(\Lambda)g = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \quad (1.2)$$

is linearly independent in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . See the surveys [20, 22] for an overview of the background, motivation and many partial results on the conjecture obtained so far.

One of the most important partial results on the HRT conjecture is the following theorem proved in [25].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$  be a finite subset of a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \leq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . For any nonzero  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the system  $\pi(\Lambda)g$  is linearly independent.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 given in [25] uses group von Neumann algebras and analytic versions of the zero divisor conjecture [24]. For dimension  $d = 1$ , alternative proofs of Theorem 1.1 with more analytic arguments have been given in [1, 4, 9]. See also [29] for an approach towards Theorem 1.1 through analysis on the Heisenberg group and representation theory.

The first aim of the present note is to show that the linear dependence of a system as in Theorem 1.1 implies the existence of nontrivial zero divisors in a twisted group ring of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Since no such zero divisors exist (see Example 3.3), this provides a simple and self-contained proof of Theorem 1.1. The relation (or lack thereof) between the HRT conjecture and the zero divisor conjecture for the ordinary group ring of the Heisenberg group has been the topic of the survey [22]. Our second aim is to obtain extensions of Theorem 1.1 that cover new classes of groups and projective unitary representations. We expand on both aspects in the next subsections.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C40.

*Key words and phrases.* Coherent system, lattice, linear independence, Nilpotent Lie group, Zero divisor.

**1.1. Proof strategy.** The approach towards Theorem 1.1 used in this note is based on a relation between linear independence of orbits of discrete subgroups (1.2) and the nonexistence of zero divisors for twisted convolution. To be more explicit, let  $\sigma$  be the 2-cocycle coming from the composition rule for time-frequency translates

$$\pi(z)\pi(z') = \sigma(z, z')\pi(z + z'), \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

that is,

$$\sigma(z, z') = e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi'}, \quad z = (x, \xi), z' = (x', \xi'). \quad (1.3)$$

For a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \leq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , the  $\sigma$ -twisted convolution of two finitely supported, complex-valued sequences  $a, b$  on  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$(a *_\sigma b)(\gamma') = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a(\gamma)b(\gamma' - \gamma)\sigma(\gamma, \gamma' - \gamma), \quad \gamma' \in \Gamma.$$

The set of finitely supported sequences on  $\Gamma$  equipped with  $\sigma$ -twisted convolution forms an algebra  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ , which is often called a *twisted group ring* of  $\Gamma$ . An element  $a \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  is said to be a *zero divisor* if there exists nonzero  $b \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  such that  $a *_\sigma b = 0$ .

Our proof method for Theorem 1.1 consists of showing that the lack of nontrivial zero divisors in the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  implies the linear independence of the system  $\pi(\Gamma)g$  for any nonzero  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Arguing by contraposition, we show that the existence of a nonzero function with linearly dependent time-frequency translates would imply the existence of a nonzero function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  with a linearly dependent set of  $\sigma$ -twisted translates (Lemma 2.1). This further implies the existence of a nonzero sequence in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  with linearly dependent twisted translates (Lemma 2.2), which ultimately implies the existence of a nontrivial zero divisor in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  (Proposition 2.3). We provide a simple direct proof for the nonexistence of zero divisors in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  in Example 3.3.

For ordinary (nontwisted) convolution, several methods used in this note can already be found in the literature as pointed out throughout the text. In particular, the relation between linear independence of translates and zero divisors for convolution appears already in [26], and the reduction from linear independence of (nontwisted) translates in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  to the existence of nontrivial zero divisors in the (nontwisted) group ring  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  is contained in [11]. These results were used in [26, Proposition 6.3] to prove a special case of Theorem 1.1 for discrete subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  generated by finitely many points  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  such that  $a_k \cdot b_{k'} \in \mathbb{Q}$  for  $1 \leq k, k' \leq n$ . However, it appears that the general version of Theorem 1.1 for arbitrary discrete subgroups cannot be deduced from [11, 26].

Our main contribution is to show that by using twisted convolution (instead of ordinary convolution) a streamlined proof of the general version of Theorem 1.1 can be obtained. It is expected that the proof presented here is accessible to all interested readers.

**1.2. Extensions.** The overall proof approach outlined in Section 1.1 works naturally in the general setting of amenable locally compact groups. This allows us to also obtain extensions of Theorem 1.1 to more general groups and projective representations. Such extensions are of interest in view of comments made on [21, p. 2790], where it is written that understanding the linear independence problem more generally would be of great interest.

One natural setting to which Theorem 1.1 extends is the class of square-integrable projective representations of nilpotent Lie groups. The time-frequency translations given in Equation (1.1) provide the easiest example of such a projective representation, and generally any such representation  $\pi$  can be realized to act in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by means of generalized modulations and translations, cf. [7]. This class of representations has recently been used for various forms of generalized time-frequency analysis, see, e.g., [2, 12, 14, 16–18].

Our main result for nilpotent Lie groups is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group and let  $\pi$  be an irreducible, square-integrable, projective unitary representation on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ . For any finite subset  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$  of a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \leq G$  and any nonzero  $g \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ , the coherent system*

$$\pi(\Lambda)g = \{\pi(\lambda)g : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

*is linearly independent.*

The linear independence of subsystems of orbits of square-integrable representations of locally compact groups has been studied earlier in [26]. However, the paper [26] treats only genuine (nonprojective) representations that are square-integrable in the strict sense, which do not exist for (simply connected) nilpotent Lie groups. To treat projective representations, the use of twisted convolutions as explained in Section 1.1 appears to be essential.

It is a natural question to what generality the statements of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be extended. Our most general statement, Theorem 4.1, from which Theorem 1.2 (and Theorem 1.1) are obtained, states that for a  $\sigma$ -projective unitary representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  with admissible vectors, the coherent system  $\pi(\Gamma)g$  ( $\Gamma \subseteq G$  discrete subgroup and  $g \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  nonzero) is linearly independent whenever the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  contains no nontrivial zero divisors. Thus, the problem of linear independence is reduced to the algebraic problem of zero divisors in twisted group rings. For the trivial 2-cocycle  $\sigma \equiv 1$ , the famous zero divisor conjecture predicts that the ordinary (nontwisted) group ring  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  contains no nontrivial zero divisors whenever  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free. More generally, one can ask whether  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  contains no nontrivial zero divisors for a torsion-free group  $\Gamma$ , where  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary 2-cocycle on  $\Gamma$  (see Question 3.2). A positive answer to this question would imply, via Theorem 4.1, the linear independence of  $\pi(\Gamma)g$  for all discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  of torsion-free, amenable groups  $G$ .

**Notation.** The support of a sequence  $a : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is denoted by  $\text{supp}(a) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : a(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ . The space of all complex-valued sequences on  $\Gamma$  with finite support is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the sequence  $\delta_\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\delta_\gamma(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\delta_\gamma(\gamma') = 0$  for  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ .

## 2. LINEAR DEPENDENCE OF TWISTED LEFT TRANSLATIONS

The purpose of this section is to reduce the problem of linear dependence of an orbit of a square-integrable projective representation into determining the linear dependence of twisted translations of finite sequences on a discrete subgroup. The results in this section are inspired by corresponding results in [11, 26].

Throughout, let  $G$  be a second-countable locally compact group with identity element  $e$ . A 2-cocycle on  $G$  is a measurable function  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  that satisfies the following properties:

$$\sigma(x, y)\sigma(xy, z) = \sigma(x, yz)\sigma(y, z), \quad x, y, z \in G, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sigma(e, e) = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

A  $\sigma$ -projective unitary representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  is a measurable map  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  satisfying

$$\pi(x)\pi(y) = \sigma(x, y)\pi(xy), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G,$$

for some function  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which is necessarily a 2-cocycle.

The projective representation  $\pi$  will always be assumed to have an *admissible vector*, that is, a vector  $h \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  such that the coefficient transform  $V_h : \mathcal{H}_\pi \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$  given by

$$V_h f(x) = \langle f, \pi(x)h \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_\pi,$$

defines an isometry into  $L^2(G)$ . If  $\pi$  is irreducible, i.e., if  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  are the only closed subspaces  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  such that  $\pi(x)\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  for any  $x \in G$ , then any nonzero vector  $h \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$

satisfying  $V_h h \in L^2(G)$  is (a multiple of) an admissible vector by the orthogonality relations [5, 10, 19]. See also [8, 13, 28] for various classes of possibly reducible representations.

The significance of a  $\sigma$ -projective representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  admitting an admissible vector is that it is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of the *twisted left-regular representation*  $(\lambda_G^\sigma, L^2(G))$ , which is defined by the action

$$(\lambda_G^\sigma(x)F)(y) = \sigma(x, x^{-1}y)F(x^{-1}y), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (2.3)$$

for  $F \in L^2(G)$ . Indeed, if  $h \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  is admissible, then  $V_h : \mathcal{H}_\pi \rightarrow V_h(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \subseteq L^2(G)$  unitarily intertwines  $\pi$  and  $\lambda_G^\sigma$ , in the sense that

$$V_h(\pi(x)f)(y) = \sigma(x, x^{-1}y)V_h f(x^{-1}y) = (\lambda_G^\sigma(x)V_h f)(y), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (2.4)$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ .

**2.1. Linear dependence of translates in  $L^2(G)$ .** The covariance relation (2.4) immediately yields the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Gamma \subseteq G$ . If there exist a nonzero  $g \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  such that  $\pi(\Gamma)g$  is linearly dependent, then there exists a nonzero  $F \in L^2(G)$  such that  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\Gamma)F$  is linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  be nonzero and suppose that there exist constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , not all zero, and points  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \pi(\gamma_k)g = 0$ . Let  $h$  be an admissible vector. Then  $F := V_h g$  is a nonzero element of  $L^2(G)$ , and hence, by Equation (2.4),

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma_k)F = V_h \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \pi(\gamma_k)g \right) = 0,$$

as desired.  $\square$

**2.2. Linear dependence of translates in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ .** The aim of this subsection is to reduce the problem of linear dependence of twisted translates on  $L^2(G)$  along a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  to twisted translations on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ . For this, we denote by  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma$  the  $\sigma$ -projective left regular representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  (cf. Equation (2.3)), where the 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  on  $G$  is restricted to  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Gamma \leq G$  be a discrete subgroup. If there exists a nonzero  $F \in L^2(G)$  such that  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\Gamma)F$  is linearly dependent, then there exists a nonzero  $c \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$  such that  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\Gamma)c$  is linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* By [27, Lemma 1.1] or [3, Proposition B.2.4], there exists a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ , that is, a Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq G$  such that  $G$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $\gamma\Omega$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Consequently any  $F \in L^2(G)$  can be represented by the norm convergent series

$$F = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\gamma\Omega}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  denote the closed subspace of  $L^2(G)$  consisting of functions whose essential support is contained in  $\Omega$ , and choose an orthonormal basis  $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ . Then, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the set  $(\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ , which consists exactly of the functions in  $L^2(G)$  that are essentially supported on  $\gamma\Omega$ . Since  $\gamma\Omega$  and  $\gamma'\Omega$  are disjoint for  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ , it follows that  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  and  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma')\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  have trivial intersection when  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ . In combination with Equation (2.5), this shows that  $(\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)e_i)_{\gamma \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ .

For fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)e_i : \gamma \in \Gamma\}}.$$

Then  $L^2(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{K}_i$ . Furthermore, each  $\mathcal{K}_i$  is invariant under the operators  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , so the orthogonal projection  $P_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  onto  $\mathcal{K}_i$  commutes with these operators. Let also  $T_i: \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$  be the surjective linear isometry given by sending  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma)\delta_e$  to  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)e_i$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Evidently,  $T_i\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma) = \lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma)T_i$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For proving the claim, suppose that  $F \in L^2(G)$  is nonzero and that there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  not all equal to zero and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma_k)F = 0$ . Since  $F \neq 0$ , there exists  $i' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_{i'}F \neq 0$ . Set  $c = T_{i'}^{-1}P_{i'}F$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma_k)c = T_{i'}^{-1}P_{i'} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \lambda_G^\sigma(\gamma_k)F \right) = 0,$$

as required.  $\square$

The above proof employs the standard technique of decomposing  $\lambda_G^\sigma|_\Gamma$  into a countable direct sum with  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma$  as summands. In [26, Proposition 5.1], the same technique was used for ordinary (nonprojective) representations.

**2.3. Linear dependence of translates in  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ .** The purpose of this section is to reduce the linear dependence of twisted translates in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  even further to finitely supported sequences on  $\Gamma$  (see Proposition 2.3). We start by recalling the notion of a Følner sequence in an amenable group.

A countable discrete group  $\Gamma$  is called *amenable* if it admits a (right) *Følner sequence*, that is, a sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of finite subsets  $F_n \subseteq \Gamma$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \triangle F_n\gamma|}{|F_n|} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $A \triangle B$  denotes the symmetric difference of two sets  $A$  and  $B$ . In particular, all abelian and nilpotent groups are amenable. An explicit Følner sequence in  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$  is given by the sets  $F_n = \{-n, -n+1, \dots, 0, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following result shows that the existence of a nonzero linearly independent orbit in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  implies the existence of such an orbit in  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is an amenable group. If there exists nonzero  $c \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$  whose orbit  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\Gamma)c$  is linearly dependent, then there also exists nonzero  $c' \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  such that  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\Gamma)c'$  is linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\Gamma)c$  is assumed to be linearly dependent, there exists nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  such that  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a(\gamma)\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma)c = 0$ . Throughout the proof, we fix such a sequence  $a$  and define the operator  $C_a: \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \ell^2(\Gamma)$  by

$$C_a b = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a(\gamma)\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma)b.$$

Then for showing the claim it suffices to prove there exists nonzero  $c' \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  such that  $C_a c' = 0$ .

We will use the  $\sigma$ -twisted right regular representation  $(\rho_\Gamma^\sigma, \ell^2(\Gamma))$  of  $\Gamma$ , given by

$$(\rho_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma)c)(\gamma') = \overline{\sigma(\gamma', \gamma)}c(\gamma'\gamma), \quad c \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma.$$

A basic fact is that  $\rho_\Gamma^\sigma$  commutes with  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma$ , that is,  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma)\rho_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma') = \rho_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma')\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ . Consequently,  $C_a$  commutes with  $\rho_\Gamma^\sigma$ , so that the kernel  $\mathcal{N}(C_a)$  of  $C_a$  is invariant under  $\rho_\Gamma^\sigma$ .

Set  $K := \text{supp}(a)$  and let  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Følner sequence in  $\Gamma$ . For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $\text{int}_K(F_n) := \{\gamma \in F_n : K\gamma \subseteq F_n\}$ , and define the subspaces

$$V_n = \{c \in \ell^2(\Gamma) : \text{supp}(c) \subseteq F_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad V'_n = \{c \in \ell^2(\Gamma) : \text{supp}(c) \subseteq \text{int}_K(F_n)\}.$$

Note that  $\text{supp}(C_a c) \subseteq \text{supp}(a) \text{supp}(c)$  for all  $c \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ . Since  $K \text{int}_K(F_n) \subseteq F_n$ , this implies that  $C_a(V'_n) \subseteq V_n$ . Hence we consider the restriction of  $C_a$  to  $V'_n$  as a map  $C_a^n := C_a|_{V'_n} : V'_n \rightarrow V_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}(C_a^n) \subseteq V'_n$  denote the kernel of  $C_a^n$ .

The proof will be split into two steps.

**Step 1.** In this step, it will be shown that the orthogonal projections  $P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}(C_a)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)$ , respectively, satisfy the identity

$$\|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_e\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

For this, note that, given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the kernel  $\mathcal{N}(C_a^n) \subseteq V_n \cap \mathcal{N}(C_a) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(C_a)$ , so  $\|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\| \leq \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_\gamma\|$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . In addition, since  $\mathcal{N}(C_a)$  is invariant under  $\rho_\Gamma^\sigma$ , it follows that

$$\|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_\gamma\| = \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\rho_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma^{-1})\delta_e\| = \|\rho_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma^{-1})P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_e\| = \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_e\|, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Combining both observations yields

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_\gamma\|^2 = \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)}\delta_e\|^2, \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly, since the range  $\mathcal{R}(C_a^n) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(C_a)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(C_a)$  is  $\rho_\Gamma^\sigma$ -invariant, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\|^2 \leq \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a)}\delta_e\|^2. \quad (2.9)$$

Since both  $\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)$  are contained in  $V_n$ , it follows that  $\|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\| = \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\| = 0$  whenever  $\gamma \notin F_n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)}\delta_\gamma\|^2 &= \frac{\text{tr}(P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)}) + \text{tr}(P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)})}{|F_n|} \\ &= \frac{\dim(\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)) + \dim(\mathcal{R}(C_a^n))}{|F_n|} \\ &= \frac{|\dim(V'_n)|}{|F_n|} \\ &= \frac{|\text{int}_K(F_n)|}{|F_n|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\text{int}_K(F_n)|}{|F_n|} = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

To see this, note that  $\text{int}_K(F_n) = \bigcap_{k \in K \cup \{e\}} F_n k^{-1}$ , so that

$$F_n \setminus \text{int}_K(F_n) = \bigcup_{k \in K \cup \{e\}} F_n \cap F_n^c k^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in K \cup \{e\}} F_n \Delta F_n k^{-1}.$$

Hence, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{|\text{int}_K(F_n)|}{|F_n|} \right| = \frac{|F_n \setminus \text{int}_K(F_n)|}{|F_n|} \leq \sum_{k \in K \cup \{e\}} \frac{|F_n \Delta F_n k^{-1}|}{|F_n|} \rightarrow 0,$$

which proves the claim (2.11).

Combining Equation (2.10) and Equation (2.11) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)} \delta_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)} \delta_\gamma\|^2 = 1.$$

On the other hand,  $\|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)} \delta_e\|^2 + \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a)} \delta_e\|^2 = \|\delta_e\|^2 = 1$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^n)} \delta_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a^n)} \delta_\gamma\|^2 = \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a)} \delta_e\|^2 + \|P_{\mathcal{R}(C_a)} \delta_e\|^2.$$

Using Equation (2.8) and Equation (2.9), the claim (2.7) follows.

**Step 2.** Note that the linear span of the elements  $\{\rho_\Gamma^\sigma(\gamma) \delta_e : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is dense in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ . Therefore, since  $C_a$  is nonzero, linear, and commutes with  $\rho_\Gamma^\sigma$ , we must have  $C_a \delta_e \neq 0$ . By Equation (2.7), there exists  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|F_{n'}|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in F_{n'}} \|P_{\mathcal{N}(C_a^{n'})} \delta_\gamma\|^2 > 0$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{N}(C_a^{n'}) \neq \{0\}$ . Thus, there exists nonzero  $c' \in V_{n'} \cap \mathcal{N}(C_a)$  which satisfies  $c' \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  and  $C_a c' = 0$ .  $\square$

The above argument is the same one as given in [11, Theorem], but extended to twisted left regular representations of  $\Gamma$ .

### 3. ZERO DIVISORS IN TWISTED GROUP RINGS

This section is devoted to the study of zero divisors for twisted convolution. In particular, it will be shown that such nontrivial zero divisors do not exist for twisted convolutions on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , and more generally the class of locally indicable groups. In combination with the results obtained in Section 2, this will allow us to provide the proofs of the main theorems in Section 4.

Throughout this section,  $\Gamma$  will denote a countable discrete group with identity element  $e$  and  $\sigma$  will denote a 2-cocycle on  $\Gamma$  (cf. Equation (2.1)). The  $\sigma$ -twisted convolution of two sequences  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  is the sequence  $a *_\sigma b$  in  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  defined by

$$(a *_\sigma b)(\gamma') = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1} \gamma') a(\gamma) b(\gamma^{-1} \gamma'), \quad \gamma' \in \Gamma.$$

Equipped with twisted convolution  $*_\sigma$ , the vector space  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  is a complex algebra which will be denoted by  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  to emphasize the dependence on  $\sigma$ . The algebra  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  is called a *twisted group ring* or *twisted group algebra* of  $\Gamma$ . As a vector space,  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  is spanned by the elements  $\{\delta_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , which are easily seen to satisfy the convolution relation

$$\delta_\gamma *_\sigma \delta_{\gamma'} = \sigma(\gamma, \gamma') \delta_{\gamma\gamma'}, \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma. \quad (3.1)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ , the  $n$ -fold twisted convolution product of  $a$  is denoted by  $a^{*\sigma(n)}$ .

**3.1. Zero divisors.** An element  $a \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  is called a *zero divisor* if there exists a nonzero  $b \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  such that  $a *_\sigma b = 0$ . The zero sequence is always a zero divisor, the so-called *trivial zero divisor*. We will be concerned with when  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  has no zero divisors apart from the trivial one.

First, recall that  $\Gamma$  is called *torsion-free* if whenever  $\gamma^n = e$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and positive integer  $n$ , then  $\gamma = e$ . The following extends a well-known observation for usual (nontwisted) group rings.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  has no nontrivial zero divisors, then  $\Gamma$  must be torsion-free.*

*Proof.* We give a proof by contraposition. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be nontrivial and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be the least natural number such that  $\gamma^n = e$ . Note that by iterating (3.1), it follows that

$$\delta_\gamma^{*\sigma(n)} = \sigma(\gamma, \gamma)\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^2) \cdots \sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{n-1})\delta_e,$$

where  $\delta_\gamma^{*\sigma(n)}$  denotes the  $n$ -fold twisted convolution product of  $\delta_\gamma$ . Set

$$\alpha := \sigma(\gamma, \gamma)\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^2) \cdots \sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad a := \alpha^{-1/n}\delta_\gamma.$$

Then  $a^{*\sigma(n)} = \delta_e$ , and by expanding brackets one sees that

$$(\delta_e + a + a^{*\sigma(2)} + \cdots + a^{*\sigma(n-1)}) *_\sigma (a - \delta_e) = a^{*\sigma(n)} - \delta_e = 0.$$

Note that for each  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , the support of the power  $a^{*\sigma(k)}$  equals  $\{\gamma^k\}$ , and since each of these elements are distinct this implies that  $\delta_e + a + a^{*\sigma(2)} + \cdots + a^{*\sigma(n-1)}$  is nonzero. This shows that  $a - \delta_e$  is a nontrivial zero divisor in  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ .  $\square$

For ordinary (nontwisted) group rings, the converse to Lemma 3.1 is the well-known *zero divisor conjecture*, and is currently an open problem. More generally one can ask the following question.

**Question 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free group and let  $\sigma$  be a 2-cocycle on  $\Gamma$ . Does the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  contain no nontrivial zero divisors?

The following example answers Question 3.2 affirmatively for  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$  and let  $\sigma$  be any 2-cocycle on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We give a direct proof that the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}^d, \sigma)$  does not contain any nontrivial zero divisors. Let  $e_i$  denote the  $i$ th basis vector of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and set  $u_i = \delta_{e_i}$ . Note that elements  $a \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}^d, \sigma)$  can be written as multivariate polynomials

$$a = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} u_1^{* \sigma(i_1)} \cdots u_d^{* \sigma(i_d)}$$

where the coefficients  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \in \mathbb{C}$  are zero for all but finitely many indices. The multiplication is not commutative like in an ordinary polynomial ring, but instead governed by the basic noncommutative relations  $u_i u_j = z_{i,j} u_j u_i$  where  $z_{i,j} = \sigma(e_i, e_j)\sigma(e_j, e_i)$ .

Let us call  $a$  non-negative if  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} = 0$  whenever  $i_k < 0$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . We define the degree of a non-negative, nonzero element  $a$  to be the maximum of the numbers  $i_1 + \cdots + i_d$  where  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \neq 0$ , and set  $\deg(0) = -1$ . One can then verify as with usual polynomial multiplication that  $\deg(a *_\sigma b) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$  for non-negative elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}^d, \sigma)$ .

Letting now  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}^d, \sigma)$  be nonzero, so that  $\deg(a) \geq 0$  and  $\deg(b) \geq 0$ , we wish to prove that  $a *_\sigma b \neq 0$ . Note that by multiplying with a high enough power of  $u_1 \cdots u_d$  we may assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are non-negative. But then  $\deg(a *_\sigma b) = \deg(a) + \deg(b) \geq 0$  which implies that  $a *_\sigma b \neq 0$ .

**3.2. Locally indicable groups.** Our next result (Proposition 3.4) shows that Question 3.2 is affirmative for locally indicable groups. This extends a classical result [23] to the twisted setting. We start by introducing the relevant notions and terminology. Readers only interested in the case  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$  and Theorem 1.1 may skip this subsection.

A *degree map* on  $\Gamma$  is a surjective group homomorphism  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Given  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we refer to  $\phi(\gamma)$  as the *degree* of  $\gamma$  (relative to  $\phi$ ). An element  $a \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  is called *homogeneous of degree  $k$*  if  $\phi(\gamma) = k$  for all  $\gamma \in \text{supp}(a)$ . Note that if  $a$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$  and  $b$  is homogeneous of degree  $l$ , then  $a *_\sigma b$  is homogeneous of degree  $k + l$ : Indeed, if  $\gamma \in \text{supp}(a *_\sigma b)$  then  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$  for some  $\gamma_1 \in \text{supp}(a)$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \text{supp}(b)$ , which means that  $\phi(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma_1) + \phi(\gamma_2) = k + l$ . Every element of  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  can be written as a sum of homogeneous



elements. If  $a$  is a sum of homogeneous elements  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  of degrees  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  and  $b$  is a sum of homogeneous elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  of degrees  $l_1, \dots, l_n$ , then  $a *_{\sigma} b$  is the sum of the homogeneous elements  $a_i *_{\sigma} b_j$  of degrees  $k_i + l_j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

The group  $\Gamma$  is said to be *locally indicable* if every nontrivial, finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  admits a degree map.

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $\Gamma$  is locally indicable, then  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  contains no nontrivial zero divisors.*

*Proof.* We will prove the following statement by induction on  $n$ : For every nonzero  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  with  $|\text{supp}(a)| + |\text{supp}(b)| = n$ , the twisted convolution  $a *_{\sigma} b$  is nonzero. For the base case  $n = 2$  we have that  $|\text{supp}(a)| = |\text{supp}(b)| = 1$ , say  $\text{supp}(a) = \{\gamma_1\}$  and  $\text{supp}(b) = \{\gamma_2\}$ , so that  $a *_{\sigma} b = a(\gamma_1)b(\gamma_2)\sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ . Then  $(a *_{\sigma} b)(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = a(\gamma_1)b(\gamma_2)\sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \neq 0$ , which means that  $\gamma_1\gamma_2 \in \text{supp}(a *_{\sigma} b)$ . Hence, the base case is proved.

For the induction step, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and assume that the statement holds for all  $k < n$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  be nonzero with  $|\text{supp}(a)| + |\text{supp}(b)| = n$ . For any  $\gamma_1 \in \text{supp}(a)$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \text{supp}(b)$ , consider  $a' = \delta_{\gamma_1^{-1}} *_{\sigma} a$  and  $b' = b *_{\sigma} \delta_{\gamma_2^{-1}}$ . Note that  $a *_{\sigma} b = 0$  if and only if  $a' *_{\sigma} b' = 0$  and that  $e \in \text{supp}(a') \cap \text{supp}(b')$ . Hence, by replacing  $a$  with  $a'$  and  $b$  with  $b'$  respectively, it may be assumed that  $e$  is contained in the support of both  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)$ . Since  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  is nontrivial, so there exists a degree map  $\phi: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . We claim that  $a$  and  $b$  cannot both be homogeneous (relative to  $\phi$ ). Indeed, if both  $a$  and  $b$  were homogeneous, then they would be homogeneous of degree 0 since  $e \in \text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b)$ , which would imply that  $\phi(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)$ , hence  $\phi \equiv 0$ . This contradicts the surjectivity of  $\phi$ .

Let  $k = \min\{\phi(\gamma) : \gamma \in \text{supp}(a)\}$  and  $l = \min\{\phi(\gamma) : \gamma \in \text{supp}(b)\}$ . Let

$$a' = \sum_{\gamma \in \phi^{-1}(k)} a(\gamma)\delta_{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad b' = \sum_{\gamma \in \phi^{-1}(l)} b(\gamma)\delta_{\gamma}.$$

In other words,  $a'$  is the homogeneous element of least degree in the expansion of  $a$  into homogeneous elements, and analogously for  $b'$  with respect to  $b$ . By the previous paragraph either  $\text{supp}(a') \subsetneq \text{supp}(a)$  or  $\text{supp}(b') \subsetneq \text{supp}(b)$ . In either case  $|\text{supp}(a')| + |\text{supp}(b')| < n$ , so by the induction hypothesis  $a' *_{\sigma} b' \neq 0$ . Expanding  $a *_{\sigma} b$  into a sum of homogeneous elements, we obtain

$$a *_{\sigma} b = a' *_{\sigma} b' + R,$$

where  $a' *_{\sigma} b'$  is homogeneous of degree  $k + l$  and  $R$  is a sum of homogeneous elements of degrees strictly bigger than  $k + l$ . Consequently, the supports of  $a' *_{\sigma} b'$  and  $R$  are disjoint, so  $a' *_{\sigma} b' \neq 0$  implies that  $a *_{\sigma} b \neq 0$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

The proof of Proposition 3.4 follows the proof for ordinary (nontwisted) group rings in [23].

Lastly, we provide a simple argument showing that nilpotent groups are locally indicable. Recall that  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent if the upper central series defined recursively by  $Z_0 := \{e\}$  and

$$Z_{n+1} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : [\gamma, \gamma'] \in Z_n \text{ for all } \gamma' \in \Gamma\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

terminates after a finite number of steps, that is,  $Z_n = \Gamma$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The smallest such  $n$  is called the nilpotency class of  $\Gamma$ . Note that  $Z_1 = Z(\Gamma)$ , the center of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Every torsion-free nilpotent group  $\Gamma$  is locally indicable.*

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by induction on the nilpotency class of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  has nilpotency class 1, then it is abelian and torsion-free, hence every finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  is free abelian by the classification of finitely generated abelian groups. For a free abelian group, the projection onto the subgroup generated by any one of its generators is a degree map. This shows that  $\Gamma$  is locally indicable when it has nilpotency class 1.

Next, suppose the result holds for all nilpotent, torsion-free groups of class strictly smaller than  $n$  and let  $\Gamma$  be torsion-free and nilpotent of class  $n$ . Firstly, note that the center  $Z(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is abelian and torsion-free, hence locally indicable by the base case of the induction. Secondly, the quotient  $\Gamma/Z(\Gamma)$  is nilpotent of class strictly smaller than  $n$ , and also necessarily torsion-free (see, e.g., [6, Corollary 2.22]). Thus  $\Gamma/Z(\Gamma)$  is locally indicable by the induction hypothesis. Now that both  $Z(\Gamma)$  and  $\Gamma/Z(\Gamma)$  are locally indicable, it follows from [23, p. 246, Lemma] that  $\Gamma$  is locally indicable. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

The following general theorem shows that the problem of linearly independent orbits of square-integrable representations over discrete subgroups can be reduced to the existence of zero divisors in twisted group rings.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a second-countable, locally compact group and let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  be a  $\sigma$ -projective unitary representation of  $G$  admitting admissible vectors. If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete amenable subgroup of  $G$  such that the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  contains no nontrivial zero divisors, then the coherent system*

$$\pi(\Gamma)g = \{\pi(\gamma)g : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

*is linearly independent for any nonzero vector  $g \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Arguing by contraposition, suppose that the coherent system  $\pi(\Gamma)g$  is linearly dependent. By Lemma 2.1, this implies that there exists nonzero  $F \in L^2(G)$  such that  $\lambda_G^\sigma(\Gamma)F$  is linearly dependent in  $L^2(G)$ . By Lemma 2.2 this implies again that there exists a nonzero  $c \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$  such that  $\lambda_\Gamma^\sigma(\Gamma)c$  is linearly dependent in  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ . Finally, since  $\Gamma$  is assumed amenable, we can apply Proposition 2.3 to conclude that  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  contains a nontrivial zero divisor.  $\square$

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are now a simple consequence of Theorem 4.1:

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . By the orthogonality relations of the short-time Fourier transform [15, Chapter 3], any unit vector  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is admissible. Hence, by Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes the 2-cocycle from Equation (1.3), contains no nontrivial zero divisors. However, a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq 2d$ , hence the twisted group ring  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}^k, \sigma')$  for a 2-cocycle  $\sigma'$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . The nonexistence of nontrivial zero divisors in the latter was established in Example 3.3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since  $G$  is a unimodular group, it follows by the orthogonality relations [5, 10] that any nonzero vector  $g \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  is (a multiple of) an admissible vector. A discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$  is torsion-free and nilpotent, cf. [30, Chapter 2]. Thus,  $\Gamma$  is locally indicable by Lemma 3.5. The claim follows therefore directly from Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

U.E. gratefully acknowledges support from the The Research Council of Norway through project 314048. J.v.V. gratefully acknowledges support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project J-4445.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Antezana, J. Bruna, and E. Pujals. Linear independence of time-frequency translates in  $L^p$  spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 26(4):15, 2020. Id/No 63.
- [2] E. Bédos, U. Enstad, and J. T. van Velthoven. Smooth lattice orbits of nilpotent groups and strict comparison of projections. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(6):48, 2022. Id/No 109572.
- [3] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, and A. Valette. *Kazhdan's property*, volume 11 of *New Math. Monogr.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [4] M. Bownik and D. Speegle. Linear independence of Parseval wavelets. *Ill. J. Math.*, 54(2):771–785, 2010.
- [5] A. L. Carey. Square-integrable representations of non-unimodular groups. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 15:1–12, 1976.
- [6] A. E. Clement, S. Majewicz, and M. Zyman. *The theory of nilpotent groups*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [7] L. J. Corwin and F. P. Greenleaf. *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part 1: Basic theory and examples*, volume 18 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.* Cambridge etc.: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [8] B. Currey and V. Oussa. Admissibility for monomial representations of exponential Lie groups. *J. Lie Theory*, 22(2):481–487, 2012.
- [9] C. Demeter and S. Z. Gautam. On the finite linear independence of lattice Gabor systems. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 141(5):1735–1747, 2013.
- [10] M. Duflo and C. C. Moore. On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 21:209–243, 1976.
- [11] G. Elek. On the analytic zero divisor conjecture of Linnell. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 35(2):236–238, 2003.
- [12] V. Fischer, D. Rottensteiner, and M. Ruzhansky. Heisenberg-modulation spaces at the crossroads of coorbit theory and decomposition space theory. *Preprint. arXiv:1812.07876*, 2018.
- [13] H. Führ. *Abstract harmonic analysis of continuous wavelet transforms*, volume 1863 of *Lect. Notes Math.* Berlin: Springer, 2005.
- [14] J.-P. Gabardo, C.-K. Lai, and V. Oussa. On exponential bases and frames with non-linear phase functions and some applications. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 27(2):24, 2021. Id/No 9.
- [15] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*. Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [16] K. Gröchenig. New function spaces associated to representations of nilpotent Lie groups and generalized time-frequency analysis. *J. Lie Theory*, 31(3):659–680, 2021.
- [17] K. Gröchenig, J. L. Romero, D. Rottensteiner, and J. T. Van Velthoven. Balian-Low type theorems on homogeneous groups. *Anal. Math.*, 46(3):483–515, 2020.
- [18] K. Gröchenig and D. Rottensteiner. Orthonormal bases in the orbit of square-integrable representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 275(12):3338–3379, 2018.
- [19] A. Grossmann, J. Morlet, and T. Paul. Transforms associated to square integrable group representations. I: General results. *J. Math. Phys.*, 26:2473–2479, 1985.
- [20] C. Heil. Linear independence of finite Gabor systems. In *Harmonic analysis and applications. In Honor of John J. Benedetto*, pages 171–206. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [21] C. Heil, J. Ramanathan, and P. Topiwala. Linear independence of time-frequency translates. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 124(9):2787–2795, 1996.
- [22] C. Heil and D. Speegle. The HRT conjecture and the zero divisor conjecture for the Heisenberg group. In *Excursions in harmonic analysis, Volume 3. The February Fourier talks at the Norbert Wiener Center, College Park, MD, USA, 2002–2013.*, pages 159–176. Cham: Birkhäuser/Springer, 2015.
- [23] G. Higman. The units of group-rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 46:231–248, 1940.
- [24] P. A. Linnell. Zero divisors and group von Neumann algebras. *Pac. J. Math.*, 149(2):349–363, 1991.
- [25] P. A. Linnell. von Neumann algebras and linear independence of translates. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(11):3269–3277, 1999.
- [26] P. A. Linnell, M. J. Puls, and A. Roman. Linear dependency of translations and square-integrable representations. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 11(4):945–962, 2017.
- [27] G. W. Mackey. Induced representations of locally compact groups. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 55:101–139, 1952.
- [28] V. Oussa. Admissibility for quasiregular representations of exponential solvable Lie groups. *Colloq. Math.*, 131(2):241–264, 2013.
- [29] V. Oussa. New insights into the HRT conjecture. *Preprint. Researchgate DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.11335.75687/1*, 2018.
- [30] M. S. Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, volume 68 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, SE-106 91 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN.

*Email address:* `ulrik.enstad@math.su.se`

DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, MEKELWEG 4, BUILDING 36, 2628 CD DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS.

*Email address:* `j.t.vanvelthoven@tudelft.nl`