

**MATH 603, SPRING 2011**  
**HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT #10 ON DIMENSION THEORY:**  
**SOLUTIONS**

JONATHAN ROSENBERG

(1) Is there a purely topological proof of the fact that Noetherian rings have the descending chain condition on prime ideals? In other words, answer the following questions:

(a) What property of a Noetherian topological space  $X$  corresponds to the descending chain condition on prime ideals of a Noetherian ring  $R$  when  $X = \text{Spec } R$ ?

*Solution.* If  $X = \text{Spec } R$ , then prime ideals of  $R$  are just points of  $X$ . For prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}'$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}'$  lies in the closure of  $\mathfrak{p}$  (as points in the topological space  $\text{Spec } R$ ). So the DCC on prime ideals corresponds to the following: given a sequence of points  $\{x_j\}$  in  $X$  with  $x_j$  in the closure of  $x_k$  whenever  $j \leq k$ , the sequence must be eventually constant.  $\square$

(b) Does every Noetherian topological space  $X$  have the above property? Give a proof or a counterexample.

*Solution.* No, this property is not automatic. Here is a counterexample. Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ , the non-negative integers, with the non-empty open sets consisting of the intervals  $[n, \infty)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Thus the closed sets, aside from  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  itself, are the intervals  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .) The sequence  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  has the property that each point is in the closure of the points that follow it, and this sequence is not eventually constant. On the other hand,  $X$  is Noetherian by the characterization that appeared in a previous homework: every open subspace is quasi-compact. Indeed, if  $U \subseteq X$  is open and non-empty, then  $U = [n, \infty)$  for some  $n$ , so  $U$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  itself. So it's enough to show that  $X$  is quasi-compact. But given any open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ ,  $0$  must lie in one set of the covering, and this set is necessarily equal to  $X$  itself, so  $\mathcal{U}$  has a finite (in fact a singleton) subcover.  $\square$

(2) Compute the Hilbert function of the graded ring  $R = K[x, y, z]/(x^2z - y^3 - yz^2)$ , where  $K$  is a field and  $x, y, z$  each have degree 1.

*Solution.* Observe that  $f = x^2z - y^3 - yz^2$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3. So if  $S = K[x, y, z]$  is the polynomial ring, we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow S_n \xrightarrow{f} S_{n+3} \rightarrow R_{n+3} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $H_S(n+3) = H_R(n+3) + H_S(n)$ , and multiplying by  $t^{n+3}$  and summing, we get  $(1-t^3)P_S(t) = P_R(t)$ . Since  $P_S(t) = (1-t)^{-3}$ ,  $P_R(t) = (1-t^3)(1-t)^{-3} = (1+t+t^2)(1-t)^{-2}$ , from which we can read off the Hilbert function:

$$H_R(n) = \text{coefficient of } t^n \text{ in } (1+t+t^2)(1-t)^{-2} = (n+1) + n + (n-1) = 3n, \quad n > 0.$$

Of course,  $H_R(0) = 1$ , so the case  $n = 0$  is exceptional. Note that the proof makes no use at all of the specific form of the polynomial  $f$ , other than the fact that it's homogeneous of degree 3.  $\square$

- (3) Show that a regular Noetherian local ring  $R$  of dimension  $d$  is integrally closed.

*Solution.* Recall that we proved in class that  $R$  must be an integral domain. The proof is by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 0$ ,  $R$  is a field and this is obvious. So suppose  $d > 0$  and the result is known for smaller values of  $d$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ , let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$  be a prime ideal of height  $d - 1$ , generated by  $d - 1$  elements of a system of parameters for  $R$ . Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular local ring of dimension  $d - 1$ , also with field of fractions  $K$ , so  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed in  $K$ . Thus if an element of  $K$  is integral over  $R$ , it must lie in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so we can write it in the form  $x^{-n}y$ , where  $y \in R$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$ . ( $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is obtained from  $R$  by inverting non-units, i.e., elements of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , that don't lie in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .) But now  $x$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  must span  $\mathfrak{m}$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^2$ , so  $(x)$  must be prime of height 1. ( $R/(x)$  is a local ring of dimension  $d - 1$  with a maximal ideal generated modulo its square by  $d - 1$  elements.) Consider  $R_{(x)}$ ,  $R$  localized at  $(x)$ . This is a local ring with the same field of fractions  $K$  with a principal maximal ideal generated by  $x$ . So this is a DVR and is integrally closed. Thus  $x^{-n}y$  lies in  $R_{(x)}$ , which can only happen if it lies in  $R$ .  $\square$