

# First steps towards a noncommutative theory of nonlinear elliptic equations

Jonathan Rosenberg



Special Session on E-Theory, Extensions, and Elliptic Operators  
San Diego, January 9, 2008

# Outline

- 1 Motivation
  - Review of Some Classical Examples
  - Transition to the Noncommutative World
  - Previous Work
- 2 Some New Results
  - The Noncommutative Laplace Equation
  - Harmonic Maps Between Noncommutative Tori
- 3 Conclusion

# Elliptic PDEs in Geometry

Many of the classical elliptic PDEs arise from variational problems in Riemannian geometry.

## Examples:

- Harmonic map equation. Comes from looking for critical points of *energy of a map*  $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ ,

$$E(f) = \int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 d\text{vol}, \quad (1)$$

$M$  and  $N$  Riemannian manifolds.

Special cases:

- $M = \mathbb{R}$ . Geodesics.
- $N = \mathbb{R}$ . Laplace(-Beltrami) equation.
- $m = 2$ ,  $N = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Minimal surfaces.

# Elliptic PDEs in Geometry (cont'd)

## More Examples:

- Hilbert-Einstein equation. When  $n = 4$  comes from looking for critical points of “total scalar curvature.”
- Yamabe equation. The nonlinear elliptic equation that comes from trying to deform a given metric within a given conformal class to achieve constant scalar curvature. Variational formulation using  $\left(\int_M \widetilde{R} d\widetilde{\text{vol}}\right) / \widetilde{\text{vol}}(M)^{2/p}$ .
- Yang-Mills equation. Comes from looking for critical points of the energy of a connection  $\nabla$  on a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ ,

$$\int_M \|\Theta\|^2 d\text{vol},$$

$\Theta$  the curvature 2-form.

# Gelfand-Naimark Duality

Basic ideas of noncommutative geometry:

Recall:  $X \rightsquigarrow C_0(X)$  is a contravariant equivalence of categories.

This sets up a **dictionary**:

Classical	Noncommutative
● locally compact space	$C^*$ -algebra
● compact space	unital $C^*$ -algebra
● vector bundle	f. g. projective module
● smooth manifold	$C^*$ -algebra with “smooth subalgebra”
● partial derivative	unbounded derivation

**But** it's pointless to go to the noncommutative world just “because it's there”—there should be a concrete motivation.

# Motivation from Physics

- More concrete motivation comes from quantum physics.
- Many of the classical elliptic PDEs are also the field equations of physical theories.
- But the *uncertainty principle* forces quantum observables to be noncommutative.
- There is also increasing evidence [Connes, Connes-Douglas-Schwarz, Seiberg-Witten, Mathai-Rosenberg] that quantum field theories should allow for the possibility of noncommutative space-times.
- *Noncommutative sigma-models* will require the noncommutative harmonic map equation.

# Connes' Noncommutative Differential Geometry

## Set-up:

- A unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $G$  a Lie group with action  $\alpha$  on  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ ,  $\delta$  the differentiated action,  $A^\infty = \{a \in A : t \mapsto \alpha_t(a) \in C^\infty\}$ ,  $\Xi^\infty$  f. g. projective (right)  $A^\infty$ -module,  $\Xi = \Xi^\infty \otimes_{A^\infty} A$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  a Hilbert  $C^*$ -inner product on  $\Xi$ .
- $\nabla$  a [unitary] connection on  $\Xi^\infty$ :

$$\nabla_X(\xi \cdot a) = \nabla_X(\xi) \cdot a + \xi \cdot \delta_X(a),$$

$$\delta_X(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \langle \nabla_X \xi, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, \nabla_X \eta \rangle.$$

- Curvature:

$$\Theta(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$$

# The Connes-Rieffel Theory of Noncommutative Yang-Mills

Suppose  $A$  has a  $G$ -invariant tracial state  $\tau$ , extended to  $\text{End}_A(\Xi)$  as usual, and suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an invariant inner product (e.g., if  $G$  abelian or compact). Define

$$E = -\tau(\langle \Theta, \Theta \rangle).$$

This is the **Yang-Mills action**. Critical points satisfy the noncommutative Yang-Mills equation.

## Example

•  $A_\theta$  generated by two unitaries  $U, V$  satisfying  $UV = e^{2\pi i\theta} VU$ .  $A_\theta$  is simple with unique trace  $\tau$  if  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .  $G = \mathbb{T}^2$  acts by

$$(z_1, z_2) \cdot U = z_1 U, \quad (z_1, z_2) \cdot V = z_2 V, \quad |z_1| = |z_2| = 1.$$

$$A_\theta^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{m,n} c_{m,n} U^m V^n \mid c_{m,n} \text{ rapidly decreasing} \right\}.$$



## The Connes-Rieffel Theory (cont'd)

### Theorem (Pimsner-Voiculescu)

*Assume  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\tau$  sets up an order isomorphism of  $K_0(A_\theta)$  with  $\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .*

### Theorem (Rieffel)

*Finitely generated projective  $A_\theta$  modules are classified by  $K_0(A_\theta)_+$ .*

### Theorem (Connes-Rieffel)

*Let  $A = A_\theta$  as above. Given a projective module  $\Xi^\infty$ , the minima of  $E$  are precisely the connections of constant curvature, and if  $\Xi$  is not a multiple of another projective module, then the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections on  $\Xi^d$  may be identified with  $(T^2)^d / \Sigma_d$ .*

# The Work of Dąbrowski, Krajewski, and Landi

We move now to the **noncommutative harmonic map equation**. A map  $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ , say with  $M$  and  $N$  compact, dualizes to a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $A = C(N)$  and  $B = C(M)$ . Case of Dąbrowski, Krajewski, and Landi:  $N = S^0$ . A unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $C(S^0) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B$  is the same as a nonunital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow B$ , i.e., a choice of

$$e = e^* = e^2 \in B.$$

When  $A = A_\theta$ ,  $G = \mathbb{T}^2$  as above, the natural “energy” analogous to (1) is

$$E(e) = \tau((\delta_1(e))^* \delta_1(e) + (\delta_2(e))^* \delta_2(e)). \quad (2)$$

## Result of Dąbrowski, Krajewski, and Landi

The “Euler-Lagrange equation” for critical points of (2) is nonlinear second order. But absolute minima occur when  $e$  satisfies the nonlinear first order equation for being *self-dual* or *anti-self-dual*. Dąbrowski, Krajewski, and Landi write down explicit solutions.

# The Noncommutative Laplace Equation

A (periodic) harmonic function on a compact Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  is a harmonic map  $f: M^n \rightarrow S^1$ . This is dual to a unital map  $C(S^1) \rightarrow C(M)$ . **Noncommutative analogue:**  $\varphi: C(S^1) \rightarrow A$ , or equivalently, a unitary  $u \in A$ . Harmonicity amounts to looking for critical points of  $\tau((\nabla(u))^* \nabla(u))$ .

## Example

$A = M_2(C(S^3))$ ,  $u \in C(S^3, U(2))$ , want to minimize energy in homotopy class of the generator of  $K^1(S^3)$ . Solution is

$$u(z_1, z_2) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -\bar{z}_2 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1.$$

# The Noncommutative Laplace Equation on $A_\theta$

Let  $\bullet A = A_\theta$  with action of  $G = \mathbb{T}^2$  as before.  $K_1(A_\theta)$  is free abelian on the classes of  $U$  and  $V$ .

## Theorem

*The scalar multiples of  $U^m V^n$  are critical points of the energy*

$$E(u) = \tau((\delta_1(u))^* \delta_1(u) + (\delta_2(u))^* \delta_2(u)),$$

*and are local minima. Any critical point  $u$  depending on  $U$  alone is a power of  $U$ .*

# The Noncommutative Laplace Equation (cont'd)

## Sketch of Proof.

Since  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  generate one-parameter groups of automorphisms,  $\tau \circ \delta_j \equiv 0$ . We start by deriving the “Euler-Lagrange equations” from the formula for  $E$ . If  $u$  is unitary, then any nearby unitary is of the form  $ue^{ith}$ ,  $h = h^*$ , and

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E(ue^{ith}) = \tau \left( -i\delta_1(h)u^*\delta_1(u) + i\delta_1(u)^*u\delta_1(h) \right. \\ \left. + \text{similar expression with } \delta_2 \right).$$

So  $u$  is a critical point iff  $\forall h = h^*$ ,

$$\tau \left( \delta_1(h) \operatorname{Im}(\delta_1(u)^*u) + \delta_2(h) \operatorname{Im}(\delta_2(u)^*u) \right) = 0. \quad (3)$$

# The Noncommutative Laplace Equation (cont'd)

## Sketch of Proof (cont'd).

In (3), the  $\text{Im}$ 's can be omitted since  $u$  unitary  $\Rightarrow \delta_j(u)^* u$  skew-adjoint. If  $u = e^{i\lambda} U^m V^n$ , then  $\delta_1(u)^* u = -2\pi im$  and  $\delta_2(u)^* u = -2\pi in$ , so (3) becomes

$$\tau(m\delta_1(h) + n\delta_2(h)) = 0,$$

which is satisfied since  $\tau \circ \delta_j \equiv 0$ .

Furthermore, if  $u$  depends on  $U$  alone, then  $\delta_2(u) = 0$ . So if  $u$  is a critical point, then  $\tau(\delta_1(h) \cdot \delta_1(u)^* u) = 0 \forall h = h^*$ . Since the range of  $\delta_1$  contains  $U^m$  unless  $m = 0$  and  $\tau$  induces a nonsingular pairing,  $\delta_1(u)^* u$  is a scalar, and so  $u = e^{i\lambda} U^m$  for some  $m$ .

# The Noncommutative Laplace Equation (cont'd)

## Sketch of Proof (cont'd).

Finally let's show that  $u = e^{i\lambda} U^m V^n$  is a local *minimum* for  $E$ . For simplicity take  $m = 1$ ,  $n = 0$ . (The general case is similar.) Expanding shows that

$$E(Ue^{iht}) = 4\pi^2 + t^2\tau\left(\delta_1(h)^2 + \delta_2(h)^2\right) + O(t^3).$$

The term in  $t^2$  vanishes exactly when  $\delta_1(h) = \delta_2(h) = 0$ , i.e.,  $h$  is a constant, and in that case  $E(Ue^{iht}) = 4\pi^2$  (exactly). Otherwise, the coefficient of  $t^2$  is strictly positive and  $E(Ue^{iht})$  has a strict local minimum at  $t = 0$ . □



# Maps Between Noncommutative Tori

This section is joint work with **Mathai Varghese, Adelaide**.

## Theorem

*Fix  $\Theta$  and  $\theta$  in  $(0, 1)$ , both irrational, and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . There is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi: A_\Theta \rightarrow M_n(A_\theta)$  if and only if  $n\Theta = c\theta + d$  for some  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Such a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$  can be chosen to be an isomorphism onto its image if and only if  $n = 1$  and  $c = \pm 1$ .*

For simplicity let's take  $n = 1$ . Denote the canonical generators of  $A_\Theta$  and  $A_\theta$  by  $U$  and  $V$ ,  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively. The natural analogue of  $E(f)$  in our situation is

$$E(\varphi) = \tau \left( \delta_1(\varphi(U))^* \delta_1(\varphi(U)) + \delta_2(\varphi(U))^* \delta_2(\varphi(U)) \right. \\ \left. + \delta_1(\varphi(V))^* \delta_1(\varphi(V)) + \delta_2(\varphi(V))^* \delta_2(\varphi(V)) \right). \quad (4)$$

# Harmonic Maps Between Noncommutative Tori

For the automorphism  $\varphi_A: u \mapsto u^p v^q, v \mapsto u^r v^s$ , with

$A = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , we obtain


$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi_A) &= \text{Tr} \left( \delta_1(u^p v^q)^* \delta_1(u^p v^q) + \delta_2(u^p v^q)^* \delta_2(u^p v^q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_1(u^r v^s)^* \delta_1(u^r v^s) + \delta_2(u^r v^s)^* \delta_2(u^r v^s) \right) \quad (5) \\ &= 4\pi^2 (p^2 + q^2 + r^2 + s^2). \end{aligned}$$

## Conjecture

The value (5) of  $E(\varphi_A)$  is minimal among all  $E(\varphi)$ ,  $\varphi: A_\theta^\infty \curvearrowright$  a  $*$ -endomorphism inducing the matrix  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $K_1(A_\theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

# Results on the Minimum Energy Conjecture

## Theorem

The Conjecture  is true if  $\varphi: A_\theta^\infty \circlearrowleft$  maps  $u$  to a scalar multiple of itself. (In this case,  $p = s = 1$  and  $q = 0$ .) The minimum is achieved precisely when  $\varphi(v) = \lambda u^r v$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ .

## Theorem

Each  $\varphi_A$  is a critical point for  $E$ , and the Conjecture is “locally true” at the critical point  $\varphi_A$ . In other words, there is no continuous family of deformations of  $\varphi_A: A_\theta^\infty \circlearrowleft$  which decreases the energy functional  $E$ , and  $E$  remains constant in a continuous family of deformations of  $\varphi_A$  only in the case of gauge transformations (multiplication by the images of  $u$  and  $v$  each by a scalar of modulus 1).

## Results on the Minimum Energy Conjecture (cont'd)

## Theorem

*The Conjecture is true for automorphisms, at least assuming  $\theta$  satisfies a Diophantine condition (known to hold for almost all  $\theta$ ). In other words, for generic  $\theta$ , if  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $A_\theta^\infty$  inducing the map given by  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $K_1(A_\theta)$ , then*

$$E(\varphi) \geq E(\varphi_A),$$

*with equality if and only if  $\varphi(U) = \lambda\varphi_A(U)$ ,  $\varphi(V) = \mu\varphi_A(V)$ , for some  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$ .*

# Summary

- The important geometric elliptic PDE's, like the harmonic map equation, have noncommutative analogues.
- The noncommutative Euler-Lagrange equations are usually very messy. Usually easier to work directly with variational problems.
- Even irrational rotation algebras provide lots of interesting examples.
- **Unsolved problems** for  $A_\theta$ :
  - Show the only minimizers for  $E(u)$  are  $e^{i\lambda} U^m V^n$ .
  - Complete study of energy of  $*$ -automorphisms.
  - What about  $*$ -endomorphisms, especially when  $\theta$  a quadratic irrational?
  - What about variation of the metric?