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#### Abstract

The Hamiltonian potentials of the bending deformations of $n$-gons in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ studied in $[\mathrm{KM}]$ and $[\mathrm{Kly}]$ give rise to a Hamiltonian action of the Malcev Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ of the pure braid group $P_{n}$ on the moduli space $M_{r}$ of $n$-gon linkages with the side-lengths $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. If $e \in M_{r}$ is a singular point we may linearize the vector fields in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ at $e$. This linearization yields a flat connection $\nabla$ on the space $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ of $n$ distinct points on $\mathbb{C}$. We show that the monodromy of $\nabla$ is the dual of a quotient of a specialized reduced Gassner representation.


AMS subject classification: 53D30, 53D50.

## 1 Introduction

In [KM] and [Kly] certain Hamiltonian flows on the moduli space $M_{r}$ of $n$-gon linkages in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ were studied. In $[\mathrm{KM}]$ these flows were interpreted geometrically and called bending deformations of polygons. In [Kly], Klyachko pointed out that the Hamiltonian potentials of the bending deformations gave rise to a Hamiltonian action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$, the Malcev Lie algebra of the pure braid group $P_{n}$ (see $\S 3$ ), on $M_{r}$. It is a remarkable fact, see [K1, Lemma 1.1.4], that a representation $\rho: \mathcal{P}_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V), \operatorname{dim}(V)<\infty$, gives rise to a flat connection $\nabla$ on the vector bundle $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times V$ over $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$, the space of distinct points in $\mathbb{C}$. Accordingly the monodromy representation of $\nabla$ yields a representation $\hat{\rho}: P_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(V)$.

We see then that if we can find a finite dimensional representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B} \subset C^{\infty}\left(M_{r}\right)$ generated by the bending Hamiltonians under the Poisson bracket, i.e. if we can "quantize" $\mathcal{B}$, then we will obtain a representation of $P_{n}$. Klyachko suggested using a geometric quantization of $M_{r}$ to quantize $\mathcal{B}$. This appears to be difficult to carry out because the bending flows do not preserve a polarization. Note however that the problem of quantizing a Poisson subalgebra of $C^{\infty}\left(M_{r}\right)$ can be solved immediately if the functions in the subalgebra have a common critical point $x \in M_{r}$. For in this case we may simultaneously linearize all the Hamiltonian fields at $x$. We are fortunate that simultaneous critical points for the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ exist if $M_{r}$ is singular. Indeed, a degenerate $n$-gon (i.e. an $n$-gon which is contained in a line $L$ ) is a critical point of all bending Hamiltonians.

The point of this paper is to compute the representation $\hat{\rho}_{\epsilon, r}: P_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(T_{\epsilon, r}\right)$ associated to a degenerate $n$-gon $P$. Here $T_{\epsilon, r}=T_{P}\left(M_{r}\right)$ and $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right), \epsilon_{i} \in$ $\{ \pm 1\}$, and $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right), r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, are defined as follows. Fix an orientation on $L$. The number $r_{i}$ is the length of the $i$-th edge of $P$. Define $\epsilon_{i}$ to be +1 if the $i$-th edge is positively oriented and $\epsilon_{i}=-1$ otherwise. We call $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right)$ the vector of edge-orientation of $P$.

Our formula for $\rho_{\epsilon, r}: \mathcal{P}_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(T_{\epsilon, r}\right)$ is in terms of certain $n \times n$ matrices $J_{i j}(\lambda)$ which are called Jordan-Pochhammer matrices. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be an $n$-tuple of complex numbers. Define matrices $J_{i j}(\lambda)$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ by

$$
i-\text { th row } \quad\left(\right)=J_{i j}(\lambda) .
$$

Define $J_{i i}=0$ and $J_{i j}(\lambda)=J_{j i}(\lambda)$ for $i>j$. We have (as can be verified easily)
Lemma 1.1 The matrices $\left\{J_{i j}(\lambda)\right\}$ satisfy the infinitesimal braid relations:

- $\left[J_{i j}(\lambda), J_{k l}(\lambda)\right]=0$ if $\{i, j\} \cap\{k, l\}=\emptyset$.
- $\left[J_{i j}(\lambda), J_{i j}(\lambda)+J_{j k}(\lambda)+J_{k i}(\lambda)\right]=0, i, j, k$ are distinct.

Consequently the assignment $\rho_{\lambda}\left(X_{i j}\right)=J_{i j}(\lambda)$ (see Section 3 for the meaning of $X_{i j}$ ) yields a representation $\rho_{\lambda}: \mathcal{P}_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ and a flat connection $\nabla$ on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Here we realize $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ as the space of row vectors with $n$ components. It is immediate that the subspace $\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \sum_{i} z_{i}=0\right\}
$$

is invariant under $\rho_{\lambda}$, in fact $\rho_{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$. Now we assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=0$. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ and we see that $\rho_{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)(\lambda)=0$. Thus we have a $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-invariant filtration

$$
\mathbb{C} \lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

Define $W_{\lambda}=\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} / \mathbb{C} \lambda$. Now let $P$ be a degenerate $n$-gon with side-lengths $r=$ $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ and edge-orientations $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right)$. Our first main theorem is

Theorem A. There is a $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-invariant almost complex structure $J^{\epsilon}$ on $T_{\epsilon, r}$ such that there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-modules $T_{\epsilon, r}^{1,0} \cong W_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda:=\left(\sqrt{-1} \epsilon_{1} r_{1}, \ldots, \sqrt{-1} \epsilon_{n} r_{n}\right)$.

Here $T_{\epsilon, r}^{1,0}=\left\{w \in T_{\epsilon, r} \otimes \mathbb{C}: J^{\epsilon} w=\sqrt{-1} w\right\}$. We have
Corollary. The flat connection on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times T_{\epsilon, r}^{1,0}$ has the connection form

$$
\omega=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \otimes J_{i j}(\lambda)
$$

with $\lambda$ as above.
We then adapt the methods of [K1] to give formulae for multivalued parallel sections of $\nabla$ in terms of hypergeometric integrals and to compute the monodromy of $\nabla$.

Before stating our first formula for the monodromy of $\nabla$ we need more notation. Let $\gamma_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, be the free generators of the free group $\mathbb{F}_{n}$. Define the character $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by $\chi\left(\gamma_{j}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ (recall that $\lambda_{j}=\sqrt{-1} \epsilon_{j} r_{j}$ ). Let $\mathbb{C}_{\chi^{-1}}$ be the 1-dimensional module (over $\mathbb{C}$ ) in which the free group $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ acts by $\chi^{-1}$. The pure braid group $P_{n}$ acts by automorphisms on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ so that the character $\chi$ is fixed. Thus we have the associated action of $P_{n}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$. We let $\Gamma_{n}=\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}\right)$ be the fundamental group of the $n$ times punctured sphere. Hence $\Gamma_{n}$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ by the normal subgroup generated by $\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n}$. Since $\chi\left(\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n}\right)=1$, the character $\chi$ induces a character of $\Gamma_{n}$. The group $P_{n}$ fixes $\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n}$ and consequently acts on $\Gamma_{n}$ and on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$. We can now state

Theorem B. The monodromy representation of $\nabla$ is equivalent to the representation of $P_{n}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$.

In $\S 10$ we define the Gassner representation of the pure braid group, the reduced Gassner representation and their specializations via characters of the free group. Let $\mathcal{L}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of Laurent polynomials on $t_{1}, . ., t_{n}$.

Theorem C. The monodromy representation of $\nabla$ is dual to the quotient of the reduced Gassner representation $Z^{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ specialized at $t_{j}=e^{-2 \pi \epsilon_{j} r_{j}}$, where we quotient by the 1-dimensional subspace $B^{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)$ fixed by $P_{n}$.

Our results appear to be related to those of [DM] and [Lo] but there are significant differences. In [Lo], D. D. Long linearizes the action of $P_{n}$ on the moduli space of $n$-gon linkages in $S^{3}$ obtained from the action of $P_{n}$ on

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}\left(S^{2}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}\right), S U(2)\right) / S U(2)
$$

by precomposition. The corresponding action of $P_{n}$ on $M_{r}$ is trivial in our case, see [KM, Remark 5.1]. In [DM], Deligne and Mostow arrive at the Gassner representation by considering a variation of Hodge structure over $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} / P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_{r}$. They obtain the quotient (by the 1-coboundaries) of the reduced Gassner representation specialized at $\left(e^{2 \pi i r_{1}}, \ldots, e^{2 \pi i r_{n}}\right)$; we obtain the dual of the quotient of the reduced Gassner representation specialized at $\left(e^{-2 \pi \epsilon_{1} r_{1}}, \ldots, e^{-2 \pi \epsilon_{n} r_{n}}\right)$. Here we must assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=2$ to be consistent with [DM]. Our representation lies in $G L(n-2, \mathbb{R})$; their representation is in $U(n-3,1)$.

Acknowledgements. It is our pleasure to thank Ragnar Buchweitz and Richard Hain for helpful conversations.

## 2 The moduli space of $n$-gon linkages in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$.

Let $\operatorname{Pol}_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}^{3}\right)$ be the space of (closed) $n$-gons with distinguished vertices in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. An $n$-gon $P$ is defined to be an ordered $n$-tuple of points $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{E}^{3}\right)^{n}$. The point $v_{i}$ is called the $i$-th vertex of $P$. The vertices are joined in cyclic order by edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ where $e_{i}$ is the oriented segment from $v_{i}$ to $v_{i+1}$. We
think of $e_{i}$ as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Two polygons $P=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ and $Q=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ are identified if and only if there exists an orientation-preserving isometry $g$ of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ such that $g\left(v_{i}\right)=w_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ be an $n$-tuple of positive real numbers. Then $M_{r}$ is defined to be the moduli space of $n$-gons with the side-lengths $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ modulo isometries as above. An element of $M_{r}$ will be called a closed n-gon linkage.

We will also need the moduli space space $N_{r}$ of "open" $n$-gon linkages. To obtain $N_{r}$ we repeat the above construction of $M_{r}$ except we do not assume the end vertex $v_{n+1}$ of the edge $e_{n}$ is equal to $v_{1}$.

The starting point of [KM] was the observation that

$$
M_{r}=\left\{e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right): e_{1}+\ldots+e_{n}=0\right\} / S O(3)
$$

This equality exhibits $M_{r}$ as the symplectic quotient of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)$ and has many consequences. First $M_{r}$ is a complex analytic space with isolated (quadratic) singularities. The smooth part of $M_{r}$ is a Kähler manifold. The singular points of $M_{r}$ are the equivalence classes of degenerate $n$-gons. Thus $M_{r}$ is singular if and only if $r$ is the set of side-lengths of a degenerate $n$-gon.

In $[\mathrm{KM}]$ we introduced bending deformations of closed polygonal linkages in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, see also [Kly]. Suppose $P=e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$. Let $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a subset and define $f_{I} \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{r}\right)$ by

$$
f_{I}(e)=\left\|\sum_{i \in I} e_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

Then $f_{I}$ is the Hamiltonian potential of a Hamiltonian vector field $B_{I}$. The vector $e_{I}=\sum_{i \in I} e_{i}$ is constant along an integral curve of $B_{I}$. By [KM, Lemma 3.5], $B_{I}(e)=$ $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$, where $\delta_{i}=e_{I} \times e_{i}, i \in I$, and $\delta_{i}=0$ for $i \notin I$. The integral curves of $B_{I}$ are obtained as follows. Define an element $a d\left(e_{I}\right) \in s o(3)$ by

$$
a d\left(e_{I}\right)(v)=e_{I} \times v
$$

and a one-parameter group $R_{I}(t) \subset S O(3)$ by

$$
R_{I}(t)=\exp \left(t \operatorname{ad}\left(e_{I}\right)\right)
$$

Then the integral curve $e(t)$ of $B_{I}$ passing through $e$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{i}(t)=R_{I}(t) e_{i}, i \in I \\
e_{j}(t)=e_{j}, j \notin I .
\end{gathered}
$$

This motion of a polygon $P$ has a simple geometric interpretation if the elements of $I$ are consecutive. In this case $e_{I}$ is a diagonal and it divides the polygon into two parts. Keep one part fixed and bend the polygon by rotating the other part around the diagonal with the angular speed $\left\|e_{I}\right\|$. For this reason we call the above motion a bending deformation of the polygon. We will be specifically interested in the case $I=\{i, j\}, i<j$. We abbreviate $f_{\{i, j\}}$ to $f_{i j}$ and $B_{\{i, j\}}$ to $B_{i j}$. We have:

$$
f_{i j}(e)=\left\|e_{i}+e_{j}\right\|^{2}
$$

Lemma 2.1 Let $e \in M_{r}$ be a degenerate polygon. Then $B_{i j}(e)=0$ for all $i, j$.

Proof: The bending field $B_{i j}$ is given by
$B_{i j}(e)=\left(0, \ldots,\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right) \times e_{i}, 0, \ldots,\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right) \times e_{j}, 0, \ldots\right)=\left(0, \ldots, e_{j} \times e_{i}, 0, \ldots, e_{i} \times e_{j}, 0, \ldots\right)$.
If $e$ is degenerate then $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ are linearly dependent, so $e_{i} \times e_{j}=0$.
Remark 2.2 In fact $B_{I}(e)=0$ for all $I$ if $e$ is degenerate.
Define $\tilde{N}_{r}:=\prod_{i=1}^{n} S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)$ where $S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is the round 2 -sphere of the radius $r_{i}$. We also define $\tilde{M}_{r} \subset \tilde{N}_{r}$ by

$$
\tilde{M}_{r}=\left\{e \in \tilde{N}_{r}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}=0\right\} .
$$

Hence $N_{r}$ is the quotient of $\tilde{N}_{r}$ by $S O(3)$ and $M_{r}$ is the quotient of $\tilde{M}_{r}$ by $S O(3)$.

## 3 The Malcev Lie algebra of the pure braid group.

Let $P_{n}$ be the pure braid group on $n$ strands in $\mathbb{C}$ (see $[\mathrm{C}, \S 1]$ ). Let $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ denote the subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ consisting of distinct $n$-tuples. Then $P_{n}$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ be the Malcev Lie algebra of $P_{n}$, see [ABC]. Kohno found the following presentation for $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ in [K2] (see also [I, Proposition 3.2.1]).

Lemma 3.1 The Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is the quotient of the free Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $X_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, subject to the relations:

1. $X_{i i}=0,1 \leq i \leq n$.
2. $X_{i j}=X_{j i}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$
3. $\left[X_{i j}, X_{k l}\right]=0$ if $\{i, j\} \cap\{k, l\}=\emptyset$.
4. $\left[X_{i j}, X_{i j}+X_{j k}+X_{k i}\right]=0, i, j, k$ are distinct.

We will now see that any finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ induces a finite dimensional representation of $P_{n}$ on the same vector space. This remarkable fact is an immediate consequence of the following lemma of Kohno [K1, Lemma 1.1.4].

Lemma 3.2 Suppose $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space and $A_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, are elements of $\operatorname{End}(V)$ such that $A_{i i}=0$ and $A_{i j}=A_{j i}$. Let $\nabla$ be the connection on the trivial $V$ bundle over $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ with connection form

$$
\omega=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \otimes A_{i j} .
$$

Then $\nabla$ is flat if and only if the relations (3) and (4) for $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ are satisfied by the $A_{i j}$ 's.

Thus there is a 1-1 correspondence between Lie algebra homomorphisms $\rho: \mathcal{P}_{n} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{End}(V)$ and flat connections $\nabla$ on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times V$ of the above form. Suppose we are given $\rho$ as above. Since $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}, z\right) \cong P_{n}(z$ is a base-point $)$, the monodromy representation of $\nabla$ gives an induced representation of $P_{n}$ to $A u t(V)$.

Let $F: \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \rightarrow V$ be a smooth map. Then $F$ induces a parallel section of $\nabla$ if and only if $F$ satisfies the equation (of the $V$-valued 1-forms on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ )

$$
d F=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \otimes A_{i j}(F)
$$

## 4 A Hamiltonian action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on $M_{r}$.

We define the function $f_{i j}$ on $\tilde{N}_{r}$ by

$$
f_{i j}(e)=\left\|e_{i}+e_{j}\right\|^{2}
$$

The next proposition was proved in [Kly]. Since it is central to our paper we give a proof here.

Proposition 4.1 1. $f_{i j}=f_{j i}$.
2. $\left\{f_{i j}, f_{k l}\right\}=0$, if $\{i, j\} \cap\{k, l\}=\emptyset$.
3. $\left\{f_{i j}, f_{i j}+f_{j k}+f_{k i}\right\}=0$, if $i, j, k$ are distinct.

Proof: The assertions (1) and (2) are obvious. The third assertion will be a consequence of the following discussion. Since $\tilde{N}_{r}$ is a symplectic leaf of the Lie algebra $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \times\right)$ equipped with the Lie Poisson structure it suffices to prove (3) for the functions $f_{i j}$ extended to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{n}$ using the same formula. Let $g_{i j}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $g_{i j}(e)=e_{i} \cdot e_{j}$ and $h_{i j k}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $h_{i j k}(e)=e_{i} \cdot\left(e_{j} \times e_{k}\right)$.

Lemma $4.2\left\{g_{i j}, g_{j k}\right\}=-h_{i j k}$.

Proof: It suffices to prove the lemma for $i=1, j=2, k=3$. We use coordinates $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$, on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{n}$. Then

$$
\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\}=z_{i},\left\{y_{i}, z_{i}\right\}=x_{i},\left\{z_{i}, x_{i}\right\}=y_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{g_{12}, g_{23}\right\}=\left\{x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}+z_{1} z_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}+y_{2} y_{3}+z_{2} z_{3}\right\}= \\
=\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, y_{2} y_{3}\right\}+\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, z_{2} z_{3}\right\}+\left\{y_{1} y_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}\right\}+\left\{y_{1} y_{2}, z_{2} z_{3}\right\}+\left\{z_{1} z_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}\right\}+\left\{z_{1} z_{2}, y_{2} y_{3}\right\}= \\
=x_{1} y_{3} z_{2}-x_{1} y_{2} z_{3}-x_{3} y_{1} z_{2}+x_{2} y_{1} z_{3}+x_{3} y_{2} z_{1}-x_{2} y_{3} z_{1}=-e_{1} \cdot\left(e_{2} \times e_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary $4.3\left\{f_{i j}, f_{j k}\right\}=-4 e_{i} \cdot\left(e_{j} \times e_{k}\right)$.

Proof: $f_{i j}=f_{i i}+f_{j j}+2 g_{i j}$. But $f_{i i}$ and $f_{j j}$ are Casimirs.
We now prove the 3-rd assertion.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{f_{i j}, f_{i j}+f_{j k}+f_{k i}\right\}=\left\{f_{i j}, f_{j k}\right\}+\left\{f_{i j}, f_{k i}\right\}=\left\{f_{i j}, f_{j k}\right\}+\left\{f_{j i}, f_{i k}\right\}= \\
\left\{f_{i j}, f_{j k}\right\}+\left\{f_{j i}, f_{i k}\right\}=-4 e_{i} \cdot e_{j} \times e_{k}-4 e_{j} \cdot e_{i} \times e_{k}=-4 e_{i} \cdot e_{j} \times e_{k}+4 e_{i} \cdot\left(e_{j} \times e_{k}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the function $f_{i j}$ is $S O(3)$-invariant it induces a function (which is again denoted by $f_{i j}$ ) on $M_{r}$. The Poisson bracket of these functions remain the same and we obtain

Theorem 4.4 There exists a Hamiltonian action of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on the symplectic manifold $\tilde{N}_{r}$. This action induces an action on $M_{r}$.
¿From Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 we see that if we can find a finite-dimensional representation of the Lie subalgebra of $C^{\infty}\left(M_{r}\right)$ generated by $\left\{f_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$ then we will get a representation of $P_{n}$. As explained in the introduction we obtain such a representation on $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)$ for a degenerate $n$-gon $e$.

## 5 Linearization of the bending fields at degenerate polygons.

This section is the heart of the paper. We compute $A_{i j} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)\right)$, the linearization of the bending field $B_{i j}$ at a degenerate polygon $e \in M_{r}$. Now assume that $e$ is degenerate, so we may write

$$
e=\left(r_{1} \epsilon_{1} u, \ldots, r_{n} \epsilon_{n} u\right)
$$

for some vector $u \in S^{2}$ and $\epsilon_{i}= \pm 1$.
Let $M$ be a manifold, $m \in M$. We recall the definition of the linearization $A_{X} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{m}(M)\right)$ of a vector field $X$ at a point $m$ where $X(m)=0$. Choose a connection $\nabla$ on $T(M)$. Let $u \in T_{m}(M)$, then

$$
A_{X}(u):=\left(\nabla_{u} X\right)(m)
$$

Since $X(m)=0, A_{X}$ is independent of the choice of connection.
For the case in hand the above definition must be modified since $M_{r}$ is singular at $e$. There is a commutative algebra version of the above construction that goes as follows. Assume $M$ is a real affine variety, $m \in M$ and $X$ is a vector field on $M$ satisfying $X(m)=0$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $m$. Then (since $X(m)=0$ ) we have $X \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ whence $X \mathfrak{m}^{2} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ and $X$ induces an element of $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right)=$ $\operatorname{End}\left(T_{m}^{*}(M)\right)$. By duality we obtain $A_{X} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{m}(M)\right)$. The reader will verify that if $m$ is a smooth point of $M$ then the two definitions coincide.

We now compute the linearization of $B_{i j}$ at $e$ in $M_{r}$. Recall that we have a diagram

where $\tilde{N}_{r}=S^{2}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times S^{2}\left(r_{n}\right)$ and $\tilde{M}_{r}=\left\{e \in \tilde{N}_{r}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}=0\right\}$. Define $g_{i j}$ : $\tilde{N}_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{i j}(e)=\left\|e_{i}+e_{j}\right\|^{2}$. Hence $g_{i j} \mid \tilde{M}_{r}$ is $S O(3)$-invariant and descends to the function $f_{i j}$ on $M_{r}$. Let $\tilde{B}_{i j}$ be the Hamiltonian vector field of $g_{i j}$. Then

$$
\tilde{B}_{i j}(e)=\left(0, \ldots, e_{j} \times e_{i}, 0, \ldots, e_{i} \times e_{j}, 0, \ldots\right)
$$

and hence $\tilde{B}_{i j}$ vanishes at $e$ and is tangent to $\tilde{M}_{r}$. The induced field on $\tilde{M}_{r}$ will be denoted $B_{i j}^{\prime}$. Then $B_{i j}^{\prime}$ projects to $B_{i j}$ on $M_{r}$. We note $\operatorname{dim} T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)=2 n$, $\operatorname{dim} T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)=$ $2 n-2$ and $\operatorname{dim} T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)=2 n-4$.

Remark 5.1 Since $e$ is a singular point of $M_{r}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)=2 n-4>\operatorname{dim} M_{r}=2 n-6 .
$$

We will first compute the linearization of $\tilde{B}_{i j}$ at $e$ in $\tilde{N}_{r}\left(e\right.$ is a smooth point on $\tilde{N}_{r}$ so we use the first procedure) to obtain $\tilde{A}_{i j} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)\right)$. Then $\tilde{A}_{i j}$ will preserve the subspace $T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) \subset T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$ whence we obtain an induced element $A_{i j}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)\right)$. But there is an exact sequence

$$
V_{e} \rightarrow T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) \rightarrow T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)
$$

where $V_{e}=\left\{\delta: \exists v \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.$ such that $\left.\delta_{i}=e_{i} \times v, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$, we note that $\operatorname{dim} V_{e}=2$. We will verify that $A_{i j}^{\prime}\left(V_{e}\right) \subset V_{e}$ (in fact $A_{i j}\left(V_{e}\right)=0$ ). Hence $A_{i j}^{\prime}$ will descend to $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)$. The resulting element of $\operatorname{End}\left(T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)\right)$ will be $A_{i j}$, the linearization of $B_{i j}$ at $e$.

Accordingly we begin by computing the linearization $\tilde{A}_{i j}$ of $\tilde{B}_{i j}$ on $T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$. Thus $\tilde{A}_{i j}$ will be $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix (instead of a $2 n-4 \times 2 n-4$ matrix).

Another advantage in passing to $\tilde{N}_{r}$ is that $T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$ is now a direct sum of the tangent bundles of the factors

$$
T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} T_{e_{i}}\left(S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

The Riemannian connection on $\tilde{N}_{r}$ is a direct sum of the Riemannian connections on the summands. Thus we may write (for $\delta \in T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$ )

$$
\tilde{A}_{i j}(\delta)=\left(0, \ldots, \nabla_{\delta}\left(e_{j} \times e_{i}\right), 0, \ldots, \nabla_{\delta}\left(e_{i} \times e_{j}\right), 0, \ldots\right)
$$

We will suppress the zeroes in the above row vectors henceforth.

## Lemma 5.2

$$
\tilde{A}_{i j}(\delta)=\left(u \times \delta_{i}, u \times \delta_{j}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon_{j} r_{j} & -\epsilon_{j} r_{j} \\
-\epsilon_{i} r_{i} & \epsilon_{i} r_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof: In the above formula for $\tilde{A}_{i j}(\delta)$ we use the Riemannian connection $\nabla$ on $S^{2}$. We will compute using the flat connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $T\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \mid S^{2}$ and then project back into $T\left(S^{2}\right)$ to get $\nabla$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_{\delta}\left(e_{j} \times e_{i}\right) & =\delta_{j} \times e_{i}+e_{j} \times \delta_{i} \\
\bar{\nabla}_{\delta}\left(e_{i} \times e_{j}\right) & =\delta_{i} \times e_{j}+e_{i} \times \delta_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating at $e$ we obtain

$$
\left.\bar{\nabla}_{\delta}\left(e_{j} \times e_{i}\right)\right|_{e}=\epsilon_{i} r_{i} \delta_{j} \times u+\epsilon_{j} r_{j} u \times \delta_{i}=\epsilon_{j} r_{j} u \times \delta_{i}-\epsilon_{i} r_{i} u \times \delta_{j} .
$$

Since the right-hand side is in $T_{e}\left(S^{2}\right)$ we have also

$$
\left.\nabla_{\delta}\left(e_{j} \times e_{i}\right)\right|_{e}=\epsilon_{j} r_{j} u \times \delta_{i}-\epsilon_{i} r_{i} u \times \delta_{j} .
$$

Finally $\left.\nabla_{\delta}\left(e_{i} \times e_{j}\right)\right|_{e}=-\left.\nabla_{\delta}\left(e_{j} \times e_{i}\right)\right|_{e}$ and the lemma follows.
We now relate the action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on $T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$ we have just computed to the action on $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)$. We recall that $\tilde{M}_{r}=\left\{e \in \tilde{N}_{r}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}=0\right\}$ whence $T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)=\left\{\delta \in T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)\right.$ : $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}=0\right\}$. We have the 2-dimensional subspace $V_{e}$ of tangents to the $S O(3)$-orbit through $e$ described above. Thus we have a filtration $F_{\bullet}$ given by

$$
V_{e} \subset T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) \subset T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)
$$

and a canonical isomorphism

$$
T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) / V_{e} \cong T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)
$$

We now show that $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ preserves the above filtration.
Lemma $5.3 \quad$ 1. $\mathcal{P}_{n} T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \subset T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)$.
2. $\mathcal{P}_{n} V_{e}=0$.

Proof: (1) is immediate. We prove (2). Suppose $\delta \in V_{e}$. We claim

$$
\delta_{j} \times e_{i}+e_{j} \times \delta_{i}=0, \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq n .
$$

Indeed,
$\delta_{j} \times e_{i}+e_{j} \times \delta_{i}=\left(e_{j} \times v\right) \times e_{i}+e_{j} \times\left(e_{i} \times v\right)=\left(e_{j} \times v\right) \times e_{i}+\left(e_{j} \times e_{i}\right) \times v+e_{i} \times\left(e_{j} \times v\right)$.
But $e$ is degenerate, so $e_{i} \times e_{j}=0$.
We collect our results in
Theorem 5.4 1. There is a $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-stable filtration

$$
V_{e} \subset T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) \subset T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)
$$

2. $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right) \cong T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) / V_{e}$.
3. There is an isomorphism

$$
\phi: T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \rightarrow T_{u}\left(S^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

such that $\phi \rho \phi^{-1}\left(X_{i j}\right)=a d u \otimes J_{i j}\left(\epsilon_{i} r_{i}, \epsilon_{j} r_{j}\right)$.
4. $\phi\left(T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)\right)=T_{u}\left(S^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}_{0}^{n}$ and $\phi\left(V_{e}\right)=T_{u}\left(S^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R} v(\epsilon, r)$. Here $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{n}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.$ : $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=0\right\}$ and $v(\epsilon, r)=\left(\epsilon_{1} r_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n} r_{n}\right)$.

Here $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is realized as the space of row vectors with $n$ components.

## 6 The action on the holomorphic tangent space.

The point of this section is that $T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$ has a $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-invariant almost complex structure that descends to $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)$. We will compute the corresponding action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on the holomorphic tangent space.

Define an almost complex structure $J \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)\right)$ by

$$
J(\delta)=\eta \text { such that } \eta_{i}=u \times \delta_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

Lemma 6.1 1. J is $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-invariant.
2. The filtration $F_{\bullet}$ is invariant under $J$.

Proof: The first assertion is immediate. It is also clear that $T_{t}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)$ is invariant under $J$. It remains to check that $V_{e}$ is invariant under $J$. Suppose $\delta \in V_{e}$. Hence there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\delta_{i}=\epsilon_{i} r_{i} u \times v, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $J \delta_{i}=u \times\left(\epsilon_{i} r_{i} u \times v\right)=\epsilon_{i} r_{i} u \times(u \times v)$. Hence if we put $w=u \times v$ then

$$
J \delta_{i}=\epsilon_{i} r_{r} u \times w, 1 \leq i \leq n .
$$

Therefore $J \delta \in V_{e}$.
Remark 6.2 The almost complex structure $J$ is not the one induced by the complex structure on $\tilde{N}_{r}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)$. We have changed the complex structure on $S^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)$ to its conjugate for each $i$ such that $e_{i}$ is a back-track (i.e. $\epsilon_{i}=-1$ ).

We can decompose $T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ into the $+i$-eigenspace of $J$ denoted by $T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$ and the $-i$-eigenspace denoted by $T_{e}^{-\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$. Accordingly we have

$$
T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)=\left\{\delta \in T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}: u \times \delta_{j}=\sqrt{-1} \delta_{j}\right\}
$$

Similarly we denote the $+i$-eigenspaces of $J$ acting on $T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $V_{e} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by $T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)$ and $V_{e}^{\epsilon}$ respectively. We denote the quotient $T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right) / V_{e}^{\epsilon}$ by $T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(M_{r}\right)$. Clearly the latter space is the $+i$-eigenspace of $J$ acting on $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Now we recall that we have an isomorphism

$$
\phi: T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \rightarrow T_{u}\left(S^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

complexifying we obtain

$$
\phi: T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T_{u}\left(S^{2}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

We see that $\phi$ conjugates $J$ to $a d u \otimes 1$ and we have an induced isomorphism (again denoted by $\phi$ )

$$
\phi: T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \rightarrow T_{u}^{1,0}\left(S^{2}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

Under $\phi$ the action of $X_{i j}$ transforms to $\sqrt{-1} I \otimes J_{i j}\left(\epsilon_{i} r_{i}, \epsilon_{j} r_{j}\right)$. We note that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T_{u}^{1,0}\left(S^{2}\right)=1$ and we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$
\psi: T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

This isomorphism has the property:

$$
\psi\left(T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)\right)=\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}, \quad \psi\left(V_{e}^{\epsilon}\right)=\mathbb{C} v(\epsilon, r)
$$

We have completed our computation of the action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$.

Theorem 6.3 1. There is a canonical isomorphism $\psi: T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$
2. $\psi$ induces the action of $X_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by $\sqrt{-1} J_{i j}\left(\epsilon_{i} r_{i}, \epsilon_{j} r_{j}\right)$.
3. $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ admits a $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-invariant filtration by $\psi\left(T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)\right)=\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}, \psi\left(V_{e}^{\epsilon}\right)=\mathbb{C} v(\epsilon, r)$.
4. There is an $\mathcal{P}_{n}$-invariant complex structure $J$ on $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)$. The induced action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on the $+i$-eigenspace of $J$ in $T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to the action of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on the quotient $\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} / \mathbb{C} v(\epsilon, r)$.

Here $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is realized as the space of row vectors with $n$ components.

## 7 The associated hypergeometric equation.

As discussed in the introduction we use the linear operators $A_{i j} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ to obtain a flat holomorphic connection $\nabla$ on the trivial $T_{e}^{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$. The connection form $\omega$ of $\nabla$ is

$$
\omega=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \otimes A_{i j} .
$$

A (multivalued) holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}$ corresponds to a row vector $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ of (multivalued) holomorphic functions. The hypergeometric equation comes from the condition that $F$ be parallel for the connection $\nabla$ :

$$
d F=F \omega
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
d F_{i}=\sum_{j, j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{j} F_{i}-\lambda_{i} F_{j}\right) \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{j}=\sqrt{-1} \epsilon_{j} r_{j}$. We will refer to (1) as the hypergeometric equation.
We observe that the operators $A_{i j}$ leave invariant the subspace $\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ and annihilate the line $V_{\lambda}=\mathbb{C}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$. We obtain a diagram of flat bundles over $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ :


The monodromies of these bundles will be the representations of $P_{n}$ corresponding to the actions of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ on $T_{e}\left(\tilde{N}_{r}\right), T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right), T_{e}\left(M_{r}\right)$.

## 8 Solving the hypergeometric equation by hypergeometric integrals.

Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be complex numbers with $\lambda_{j} \notin \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\left(\xi, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right)_{*}$ and $\Phi\left(\xi, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ be the hypergeometric integrand

$$
\Phi\left(\xi, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right):=\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} .
$$

Let $\chi:=\chi_{\lambda}: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be the character defined by $\chi\left(\gamma_{j}\right)=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \lambda_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n$. Recall that $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right\}$ is a generating set for $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, the free group of rank $n$. Here we identify $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ with the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M, b)$, where $M=\mathbb{C}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$, so that the conjugacy class of $\gamma_{j}$ is represented by a sufficiently small loop which goes once around $z_{j}$ in the counterclockwise direction. Note that $\chi\left(\gamma_{j}\right) \neq 1,1 \leq j \leq n$. For any character $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ we let $L_{\chi}$ be the local system over $M$ given by

$$
L_{\chi}=\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{C} /((x, z) \sim(\gamma x, \chi(\gamma) z))
$$

We define a multivalued parallel section $\sigma$ of $L_{\chi}$ by $\sigma(x)=[x, 1]$ (where $[x, z]$ denotes the equivalence class of $(x, z))$. Note that the lift of $\sigma$ to the universal cover satisfies

$$
\sigma(\gamma x)=[\gamma x, 1]=\left[x, \chi(\gamma)^{-1}\right]=\chi(\gamma)^{-1} \sigma(x)
$$

The following lemma is obvious:
Lemma 8.1 The $L_{\chi}$-valued 1-forms $\zeta_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, defined by

$$
\zeta_{j}(\xi)=\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{j}} \otimes \sigma
$$

are single-valued on $M$.
Hence $\zeta_{j}$ gives rise to a class $\left[\zeta_{j}\right]$ in the de Rham cohomology group $\mathrm{H}_{d R}^{1}\left(M, L_{\chi}\right)$.
Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$. Let $G_{j}$ be the Kronecker pairing $\left\langle\zeta_{j}, \gamma\right\rangle$ considered as a function of $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. This Kronecker pairing is traditionally represented as an integral. To make this precise let $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \otimes \tau_{i}$, where each $a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, is a 1 -simplex and $\tau_{i}$ is a parallel section of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mid a_{i}$. Then $\left\langle\zeta_{j}, \gamma\right\rangle$ is given by

$$
G_{j}\left(z_{1}, . ., z_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{a_{i}}\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}}\left\langle\sigma, \tau_{i}\right\rangle \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{j}} .
$$

We will use the following more economical notation:

$$
G_{j}\left(z_{1}, . ., z_{n}\right)=\int_{\gamma}\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{j}} \otimes \sigma .
$$

Now we let $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ vary. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ be the map that forgets the first component. Then $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$. By [DM, 3.13], the flat line bundle $L_{\chi}$ on $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is the restriction of a flat line bundle $\tilde{L}_{\chi}$ on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1}$. As $z$ varies, the forms $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}$ give rise to relative holomorphic 1 -forms on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1}$ with coefficients in $\tilde{L}_{\chi}$. We recall that a relative holomorphic form on the total space $E$ of a holomorphic fiber bundle $p: E \rightarrow B$ is an element of the quotient differential graded algebra

$$
\Omega^{\bullet}(E) /\left(p^{*} \Omega^{\bullet}(B)^{+}\right)
$$

Here $\Omega^{q}$ denotes the holomorphic $q$-forms and $\left(p^{*} \Omega^{\bullet}(B)^{+}\right)$denotes the differential ideal in $\Omega^{\bullet}(E)$ generated by the pull-backs to $E$ of holomorphic forms on $B$ of positive degree. A relative holomorphic $q$-form $\eta$ is relatively closed if $d \eta$ is in the above ideal.

The forms $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}$ are relatively closed, hence they induce holomorphic sections $\left[\zeta_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\zeta_{n}\right]$ of the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ with fiber over $z$ given by

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\pi^{-1}(z), \tilde{L}_{\chi} \mid \pi^{-1}(z)\right)
$$

Precisely, $\left[\zeta_{i}\right](z)$ is the class of the 1-form $\zeta_{i}(z)$ on $\pi^{-1}(z)$ in the above cohomology group. The bundle $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ has a flat connection, the Gauss-Manin connection, whose definition we now recall. Note first that a local trivialization of $\pi$ induces a local trivialization of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$. Then a smooth section of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ is parallel for the Gauss-Manin connection if it is constant when expressed in terms of all such induced local trivializations. The bundle $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ of the first homology groups with coefficients in $\tilde{L}_{\chi^{-1}}$ admits an analogous flat connection. Now let $p: \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ denote the universal cover of $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$. We obtain a pull-back fiber bundle $\tilde{\pi}: E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ of $n$-punctured complex lines over $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ and pull-back flat vector bundles $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{1}$. Choose a base-point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$. We use $M$ to denote $\mathbb{C}-\left\{z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right\}$ henceforth. Choose a base-point $\tilde{z}^{0}$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ lying over $z^{0}$. We may identify the fiber of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{1}$ over $\tilde{z}^{0}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$. Hence given $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$ there is a unique parallel section $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{1}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}\left(\tilde{z}^{0}\right)=\gamma$. We can now define a global holomorphic function $G_{j}(z)$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ by

$$
G_{j}(z)=\int_{\tilde{\gamma}}\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{j}} \otimes \sigma
$$

Here we have used the same notation for corresponding (under pull-back) objects on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$. We may also write

$$
G_{j}(z)=\left\langle\left[\zeta_{j}(z)\right], \tilde{\gamma}\right\rangle
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle is the fiberwise pairing between \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{1}$. We have

## Lemma 8.2

$$
d G_{i}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\left(\frac{\Phi}{\xi-z_{i}}\right) d \xi \otimes \sigma\right) d z_{j}
$$

Proof: We have

$$
d G_{i}(z)=\left\langle\nabla\left[\zeta_{i}(z)\right], \tilde{\gamma}\right\rangle
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Gauss-Manin connection. We will need another formula for the GaussManin connection, see [KO] or Remark 8.3 below. Before stating the formula we need more notation. Let $F^{q} \Omega^{q}(E)$ denote the subspace of holomorphic $q$-forms on $E$ that are multiples of pull-backs of $q$-forms from the base $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ by elements of $\mathcal{O}(E)$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism (because the fibers of $\tilde{\pi}$ have complex dimension 1)

$$
\frac{\Omega^{2}(E)}{d F^{1} \Omega^{1}(E)+F^{2} \Omega^{2}(E)} \cong \Omega^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{1}\right)
$$

Now the formula for $\nabla$ is

$$
\nabla\left[\zeta_{i}\right]=\left[d \zeta_{i}\right]
$$

Here $d \zeta_{i}$ denotes the exterior differential of $\zeta_{i}$ where $\zeta_{i}$ is considered as a 1-form on $E$ (modulo $F^{1} \Omega^{1}(E)$ ) with values in the line bundle $p^{*} \tilde{L}_{\chi}$. The symbol $\left[d \zeta_{i}\right]$ denotes the class of $d \zeta_{i}$ modulo $d F^{1} \Omega^{1}(E)+F^{2} \Omega^{2}(E)$. The lemma follows from the formula

$$
d \zeta_{i} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\left(\frac{\Phi}{\xi-z_{i}}\right) d z_{j} \wedge d \xi \otimes \sigma
$$

together with the observation that integration over $\tilde{\gamma}$ factors through [ ].
Remark 8.3 The above formula for $\nabla$ can be proved as follows. First note that the formula does indeed define a connection, to be denoted $\nabla^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{1}$. To show that $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ agree it suffices to show they agree locally. Since they are both invariantly defined it suffices to prove that they agree on trivial bundles. But it is clear that in this case a section of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ is parallel for $\nabla^{\prime}$ if and only if it is constant.

The proof of the next lemma is a modification of [K1, Proposition 2.2.2].
Lemma 8.4 The functions $G=\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right)$ satisfy

$$
d G_{i}=\sum_{j, j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{j} G_{i}-\lambda_{j} G_{j}\right) \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \otimes \sigma \quad \text { or } \quad d G^{T}=\omega G^{T}
$$

Proof: We will drop the $\otimes \sigma$ for the course of the proof:

$$
G_{i}(z)=\int_{\gamma} \Phi \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{i}}
$$

Whence by Lemma 8.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d G_{i}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\gamma} \lambda_{j} \Phi\left(\xi-z_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1} d \xi\right] d z_{j}+\left[\int_{\gamma} \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi\right] d z_{i} \\
= & -\sum_{j \neq i}\left[\int_{\gamma} \lambda_{j} \Phi\left(\xi-z_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1} d \xi\right] d z_{j}-\left[\int_{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right) \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi\right] d z_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We simplify the first term using

$$
\frac{1}{\xi-z_{i}} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi-z_{j}}=\frac{1}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{\xi-z_{i}}-\frac{1}{\xi-z_{j}}\right)
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
=-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left[\int_{\gamma} \Phi \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{i}}-\int_{\gamma} \Phi \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{j}}\right] d z_{j}-\left[\int_{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right) \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi\right] d z_{i}= \\
=-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{j} G_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} d z_{j}+\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{j} G_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} d z_{j}-\left[\int_{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right) \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi\right] d z_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we have

$$
d\left(\Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right) \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi+\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{j} \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi-z_{j}\right)^{-1} d \xi
$$

Thus by Stokes' Theorem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\int_{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right) \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi=\int_{\gamma} \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{j} \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi-z_{j}\right)^{-1} d \xi= \\
\int_{\gamma} \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{j} \Phi \frac{1}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{\xi-z_{i}}-\frac{1}{\xi-z_{j}}\right) d \xi= \\
\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} G_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} G_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

hence

$$
-\left[\int_{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right) \Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-2} d \xi\right] d z_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\lambda_{j} G_{i}-\lambda_{j} G_{j}\right)
$$

We obtain

$$
d G_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\lambda_{j} G_{i}-\lambda_{j} G_{j}\right)
$$

Remark 8.5 The simplification using Stokes' Theorem above is equivalent to observing that

$$
\Phi\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1} d z_{i} \otimes \sigma \in F^{1} \Omega^{1}(E), 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

and we work modulo $d F^{1} \Omega^{1}(E)$ in computing $\nabla$.
We now define $F_{i}:=\lambda_{i} G_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
Lemma 8.6 $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ is a solution of the hypergeometric equation (1).

Proof:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d F_{i}=\lambda_{i} d G_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} G_{i}-\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} G_{j}\right)= \\
=\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{j}\left(\lambda_{i} G_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{j} G_{j}\right) \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}= \\
=\sum_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{j} F_{i}-\lambda_{i} F_{j}\right) \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \cdot
\end{gathered}
$$

We have proved
Theorem 8.7 Let $\gamma$ be an element of $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$ and $\sigma$ a flat multivalued section of $L_{\chi}$. For $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ define a holomorphic function on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ by

$$
F_{i}:=\lambda_{i} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}}\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{i}} \otimes \sigma
$$

Then $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ is a solution of the hypergeometric equation.

## 9 The monodromy representation of the hypergeometric equation and the action on homology.

We have seen that for $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$ we obtain a solution $S=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ of the hypergeometric equation by the formula

$$
F_{i}:=\lambda_{i} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}}\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{i}} \otimes \sigma .
$$

It is important to recall that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}=0$. The differential forms

$$
\eta_{j}=\lambda_{j}\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{d \xi}{\xi-z_{i}} \otimes \sigma
$$

are de Rham representatives of the cohomology classes $\left[\eta_{j}\right], 1 \leq j \leq n$, in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)$. Note that

$$
d\left(\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \otimes \sigma\right)=\eta_{1}+\ldots \eta_{n}
$$

hence we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\eta_{1}\right]+\ldots+\left[\eta_{n}\right]=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9.1 The span of the cohomology classes $\left[\eta_{j}\right], 1 \leq j \leq n$, has dimension $n-1$.

Proof: First since $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}=0$ we have $\chi\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{n}\right)=1$. Thus $L_{\chi}$ extends to a flat line bundle over $\mathbb{C P}^{1}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$. Also, $\eta_{j}$ extends meromorphically over infinity with a simple pole at infinity.

Next we extend the flat line bundle $L_{\chi}$ to a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\text {hol }}$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ so that $\left(\xi-z_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}} \otimes \sigma$ is a local basis around $z_{j}$. Then $\left(\xi-z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi-z_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{n}} \otimes \sigma$ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L}^{\text {hol }}$ which has no zeroes or poles.

We can now prove the lemma. We have a flat line bundle $L_{\chi}$ over $M$ (with trivial monodromy around $\infty$ ). The argument of [DM, §2.7] proves that we can compute the group $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M, L_{\chi}\right)$ as the 1-st cohomology group of the complex $\left(\Omega^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, * D, L_{\chi}\right), d\right)$ of holomorphic $L_{\chi}$-valued forms on $M$ which have at worst poles at $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}, \infty$. Here the (additive) divisor $D$ is defined by $D=z_{1}+\ldots+z_{n}+\infty$. Now $\eta_{j} \in \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, * D, L_{\chi}\right)$ and

$$
\Omega^{0}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, * D, L_{\chi}\right)=\{f \Phi \otimes \sigma: \text { so that } f \text { has at worst poles at } D\} .
$$

First note that $\operatorname{Span}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right) \subset \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, * D, L_{\chi}\right)$ has dimension $n$ since the forms $\eta_{j}$ have singularities at distinct points of $\mathbb{C}$.

Suppose that there exists $f \Phi \otimes \sigma \in \Omega^{0}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, * D, L_{\chi}\right)$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ such that

$$
d(f \Phi \otimes \sigma)=c_{1} \eta_{1}+\ldots+c_{n} \eta_{n} .
$$

We claim that $f$ cannot have any poles. Indeed, assume $f$ has a pole of order $k \geq 1$ at $z_{i}$. Then

$$
f(\xi)=\frac{c}{\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{k}}+\ldots
$$

We are assuming

$$
d f \Phi+f d \Phi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \eta_{i}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f \Phi+\left(f \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\xi-z_{i}} d \xi\right) \Phi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \eta_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-k-1}$ in the equation (3) from each side we obtain $-k c+\lambda_{i} c=0$, or $\lambda_{i}=k$. This contradicts the assumption that each $\lambda_{i}$ is pure imaginary. It remains to check that $f$ is not a polynomial. Assume $f$ has a pole of order $k \geq 1$ at $\infty$, whence $f(\xi)=a_{0}+a_{1} \xi+\ldots+a_{k} \xi^{k}$. We equate the coefficients at $\xi^{k-1} d \xi$ on each side of (3) to obtain $k a_{k}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right) a_{k}=0$ or $k a_{k}=0$. This contradiction proves the claim. Hence $f \equiv c$ and hence

$$
d f=c \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_{i}
$$

which means that the dimension of the subspace of coboundaries in $\operatorname{Span}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right)$ is 1 .

In the group cohomology computations that follow $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ will be a generating set of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ will be its image under abelianization in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Here the loop representing $\gamma_{i}$ is obtained by connecting the small circle $a_{i}$ going around $z_{i}$ to the base-point $* \in \mathbb{C}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$. We recall that $P_{n}$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ preserving the conjugacy classes of the generators $\gamma_{j}$. Hence the induced action on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is trivial and $P_{n}$ fixes any character $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Hence $P_{n}$ acts on $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)$. Here we let $\mathbb{C}_{\chi}$ denote the 1-dimensional space on which $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ acts via $\chi$. We next need

Lemma 9.2 Suppose that $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ satisfies $\chi\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \neq 1$ for all $i$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)=n-1$.

Proof: The Euler characteristic $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{1}\right)=1-n$. Hence $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)=1-n$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)=0$.

Corollary $9.3 \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi^{-1}}\right)=n-1$ and the classes $\left[\eta_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\eta_{n-1}\right]$ form a basis for $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M, L_{\chi}\right)$

We can construct an explicit basis $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n-1}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)$ following [DM, $\S 2$ ] as follows. We write $w_{i}=\gamma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}+\gamma_{i+1} \otimes \sigma_{i+1}$, where $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i+1}$ are multivalued flat sections along $\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i+1}$ respectively and the jump experienced by $\sigma_{i}$ (at the base-point) after parallel translating along $\gamma_{i}$ cancels that of $\sigma_{i+1}$ along $\gamma_{i+1}$.

Define flat sections $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ by

$$
S_{i}:=\left(S_{i 1}, \ldots, S_{i n}\right), \text { where } S_{i j}=\lambda_{j} \int_{\tilde{w}_{i}} \eta_{j} .
$$

We see then that $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}$ are multivalued parallel sections of $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$.

The desired representation $\rho: P_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}\right)$ is obtained by parallel translation of $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}$ along loops in $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$. The resulting automorphisms leave invariant the line $\mathbb{C} \lambda$ where $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$.

Before stating the main result of this section we need to define a special class $w_{\infty}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$. Let $a_{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a circle whose interior contains all the punctures $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Since $\lambda_{1}+\ldots \lambda_{n}=0$, the monodromy of $L_{\chi}^{-1}$ around $a_{\infty}$ is trivial. Hence there is a nonzero parallel section $\sigma^{\vee}$ of $L_{\chi}^{-1} \mid a_{\infty}$. We let $w_{\infty}$ be the homology class represented by $a_{\infty} \otimes \sigma^{\vee}$.

Let $\tau: P_{n} \rightarrow A u t \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$ be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $P_{n} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$ (recall that $P_{n}$ acts trivially on the sheaf of parallel sections of $\left.L_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$.

Lemma 9.4 (1) $\int_{w_{\infty}} \eta_{i}=-\lambda_{i}$, in particular $w_{\infty} \neq 0$.
(2) The class $w_{\infty}$ is fixed by $P_{n}$.

Proof: To prove (1) we apply the residue theorem and note that

$$
\left.\Phi(\xi, z)\right|_{\xi=\infty}=1
$$

and the residue of $\left(\xi-z_{i}\right)^{-1} d \xi$ at $\xi=\infty$ is -1 . To verify (2) we identify $P_{n}$ with a subgroup of the mapping class group of $M$. Then we choose representatives for the elements of $P_{n}$ so that they act by the identity on the closure of the exterior of the circle $a_{\infty}$.

We now have
Theorem 9.5 (i) The monodromy representation of the flat bundle $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ is equivalent to $\tau$.
(ii) Under the above equivalence the invariant line $V_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ corresponds to the line $\mathbb{C} w_{\infty} \subset \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, \mathcal{L}_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$.
(iii) We obtain an induced equivalence of the monodromy representation of $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n} \times$ $\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} / V_{\lambda}$ and the induced action of $P_{n}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}, L_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$.

Proof: We have an isomorphism $\Psi$ from $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, L_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$ onto the space of parallel sections on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ given by $\Psi(w)=S_{w}$ where

$$
S_{w}=\left(\int_{\tilde{w}} \eta_{1}, \ldots, \int_{\tilde{w}} \eta_{n}\right)=\left(\left\langle\left[\eta_{1}\right], \tilde{w}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\left[\eta_{n}\right], \tilde{w}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

We claim that $\Psi$ intertwines the representations $\tau$ and $\rho$ (see above) of $P_{n}$. The monodromy representation $\rho: P_{n} \rightarrow A u t\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}\right)$ is defined by

$$
S_{w}\left(g^{-1} z\right)=S_{w}(z) \rho(g)
$$

In order to go further we will need to lift the $P_{n}$ action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$ to the total space of $\tilde{\pi}: E \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n}$. We note that from the fiber bundle $\pi: \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n}$ we get an exact sequence $\mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n}$. We may split this sequence by mapping $P_{n}$ to the subgroup of $P_{n+1}$ which consists of those elements that do not involve the first string of a braid - recall that $\pi$ forgets the first point. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n+1}$ be the universal cover of $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1}$. Then $P_{n+1}$ acts on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n+1}$. But $E=\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{*}^{n+1} / \mathbb{F}_{n}$, whence $P_{n}=P_{n+1} / \mathbb{F}_{n}$ acts on $E$ as the group of deck transformations of the cover $E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1}$, and we obtain the
required lift $\tilde{g}$ of elements $g \in P_{n}$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$. We now can give a formula for the monodromy representation $\tau$, namely

$$
\tilde{w}(g z)=\tilde{g}_{*} \tau(g)^{-1} \tilde{w}(z)
$$

or

$$
\tilde{w}\left(g^{-1} z\right)=\tilde{g}_{*}^{-1} \tau(g) \tilde{w}(z) .
$$

We can now prove the claim. Observe that since $\eta_{i}$ is an invariantly defined 1-form with values in $L_{\chi}$ on $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n+1}$ we have

$$
\eta_{i}(g z)=\left(\tilde{g}^{-1}\right)^{*} \eta_{i}(z)
$$

or

$$
\eta_{i}\left(g^{-1} z\right)=(\tilde{g})^{*} \eta_{i}(z) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{w}(z) \rho(g)=S_{w}\left(g^{-1} z\right)=\left(\int_{\tilde{w}\left(g^{-1} z\right)} \eta_{1}\left(g^{-1} z\right), \ldots, \int_{\tilde{w}\left(g^{-1} z\right)} \eta_{n}\left(g^{-1} z\right)\right)= \\
\left(\int_{g_{*}^{-1} \tau(g) \tilde{w}(z)} \tilde{g}^{*} \eta_{1}(z), \ldots, \int_{g_{*}^{-1} \tau(g) \tilde{w}(z)} \tilde{g}^{*} \eta_{n}(z)\right)= \\
\left(\int_{\tau(g) \tilde{w}(z)} \eta_{1}(z), \ldots, \int_{\tau(g) \tilde{w}(z)} \eta_{n}(z)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and the claim is proved. Hence (i) follows.
To verify (ii) it suffices to observe that $S_{w_{\infty}}=\left(-\lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}\right)$, which follows from Lemma 9.4. From (i) and (ii) we deduce that the monodromy representation of $\nabla$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n} / V_{\lambda}$ is equivalent to the action of $P_{n}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, \mathcal{L}_{\chi}^{-1}\right) / \mathbb{C} w_{\infty}$. But it is clear from the exact sequence of the pair $\left(M, \mathbb{C P}^{1}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}\right)$ that we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, \mathcal{L}_{\chi}^{-1}\right) / \mathbb{C} w_{\infty} \cong \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}-\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi}^{-1}\right)$.

Remark 9.6 Since we have seen that $T_{e}\left(\tilde{M}_{r}\right)$ contains an invariant line, the corresponding representation of $P_{n}$ must be on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)$, not on $\mathrm{H}^{1}(M, \mathcal{L})$ (the latter has an invariant hyperplane).

## 10 The Gassner Representation.

We will follow [Bi] and [Mo] for our treatment of the Gassner representation. We begin with a quick review of the Fox calculus.

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $M$ a $G$-module. Let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ be the group ring.

Definition 10.1 $A$ derivation $D: \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow M$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map satisfying

$$
D(f h)=(D(f)) \epsilon(h)+f D(h)
$$

where $\epsilon: \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the augmentation. We let $\operatorname{Der}(G, M)$ denote the space of derivations.

Remark 10.2 The restriction of each derivation $D$ to $G$ is a 1-cocycle $\delta \in Z^{1}(G, M)$. Conversely, given a 1-cocycle $\delta \in Z^{1}(G, M)$ we define a derivation $D$ by

$$
D\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} g_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \delta\left(g_{i}\right) .
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Der}(G, M)$ and $Z^{1}(G, M)$ are canonically isomorphic. We will identify them henceforth.

In the case $G$ is the free group $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ on the generators $\left\{x_{1}, . ., x_{n}\right\}$ there is a unique derivation $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}\left[F_{n}\right]\right)$ given by

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n .
$$

Then $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}\left[F_{n}\right]\right)$ is free over $\mathbb{C}\left[F_{n}\right]$ with the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}$. Note that the projection $p: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ induces a ring-homomorphism $p: \mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{F}_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)\right]$ and a push-forward map on derivations

$$
p_{*}: \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{F}_{n}\right]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)\right]\right)
$$

We may identify $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)\right]$ with the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{L}$ of Laurent polynomials in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$. The space $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is free over $\mathcal{L}$ with the basis $p_{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, p_{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}$. We will drop $p_{*}$ henceforth.

The main point in the construction of the Gassner representation is that there is a homomorphism $\sigma: P_{n} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$. This homomorphism is described in terms of formulas in [Bi, Corollary 1.8.3]. There is an elementary description of $\sigma$ in terms of "pushing a loop along the braid", see [Mo, Page 87]. In both cases the action of $P_{n}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is a right action, i.e. there is $\bar{\sigma}$ such that $\bar{\sigma}\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)=\bar{\sigma}\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{\sigma}\left(p_{1}\right)$. Therefore, the homomorphism $\sigma$ is actually given by $\sigma(p):=\bar{\sigma}\left(p^{-1}\right)$. Next we note that we have an action of $P_{n}$ on $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ :

$$
g \cdot D(x)=D\left(\sigma(g)^{-1} x\right)
$$

Since $P_{n}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{L}, g \cdot D$ is still a derivation and the operator $g \cdot$ is $\mathcal{L}$-linear.
Remark 10.3 In [Bi] and [Mo] the action of $P_{n}$ on $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is defined by $g *$ $D(x)=D(\bar{\sigma}(g) x)$. But $\bar{\sigma}(g)=\sigma(g)^{-1}$ and hence $g \cdot D=g * D$. The composition of two right actions is a homomorphism!

We can now define the Gassner representation.
Definition 10.4 The Gassner representation $\rho: P_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)\right)$ assigns to each $g \in P_{n}$ the operator $g$. on $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is considered as a free $\mathcal{L}$-module of rank $n$.

It is traditional to represent $\rho(g)$ as an element $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of $G L_{n}(\mathcal{L})$ using the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}$, see [Bi, Page 119], [Mo, Page 194]:

$$
a_{i j}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \bar{\sigma}(g) x_{i}\right|_{x_{i}=t_{i}} .
$$

The Gassner representation is reducible. We will see shortly that $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ contains the $P_{n}$-fixed line $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ and the $P_{n}$-invariant hyperplane $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$. The line does not intersect the hyperplane, nor it is complementary to it ( $\mathcal{L}$ is not a field). We begin by describing the line.

We have seen that $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right) \cong Z^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$. Consequently, $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ contains $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$, the Eilenberg-MacLane 1-coboundaries. Since $C^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}$ and $P_{n}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{L}, P_{n}$ will also act trivially on $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$.

Lemma $10.5 B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is a free rank 1 submodule of $Z^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ with the basis $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$.

Proof: Recall that the coboundary $\delta: C^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right) \rightarrow C^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is given by

$$
\delta \ell\left(x_{i}\right)=\ell-x_{i} \ell=\ell-t_{i} \ell=\left(1-t_{i}\right) \ell
$$

But $\left(1-t_{i}\right) \ell=\ell \delta 1\left(x_{i}\right)$, thus $\delta$ is $\mathcal{L}$-linear and $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)=\mathcal{L}(\delta 1)$. We conclude by observing that

$$
\delta 1=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

We now describe the hyperplane. The element $x_{\infty}=x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{n}$ is fixed by $P_{n}$. We define

$$
\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)^{\infty}:=\left\{D \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right): D x_{\infty}=0\right\}
$$

Lemma 10.6 (i) $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)^{\infty}$ is a free summand of $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ of rank $n-1$.
(ii) The quotient map $\mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \Gamma_{n}$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)^{\infty}$ of $P_{n}$-modules.

Proof: Let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ be the basis for $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ given by $y_{i}=x_{1} \ldots x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is free on $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}$ and $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)^{\infty}$ is free on $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n-1}}$. The statement (ii) is clear.

Definition 10.7 The reduced Gassner representation is the restriction of the action of $P_{n}$ from $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ :

$$
\rho: P_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)\right)
$$

We may represent $\rho(g), g \in P_{n}$ as elements of $G L_{n-1}(\mathcal{L})$ relative to the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n-1}}$. Observe that $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ does not intersect $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$, indeed

$$
\ell \delta 1\left(x_{\infty}\right)=\ell\left(1-t_{1} \ldots t_{n}\right) \neq 0
$$

Remark 10.8 We will see below that there exist homomorphism images of $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ such that the image of $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is contained in the image of $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$. Hence $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is not a complement to $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$.

Note also that there is a representation of $P_{n}$ on $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)=Z^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right) / B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$. We do not know whether or not $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is a free $\mathcal{L}$-module.

We now have

Definition 10.9 Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ with $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{L}$ module. Then the specialization $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ at $\alpha$ is defined by $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$. Here $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$ is the complex line equipped with the $\mathcal{L}$-module structure $t_{i} z=\alpha_{i} z, z \in \mathbb{C}$.

More concretely, $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{M}$ by the submodule of elements $\left\{\left(t_{j}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha_{j}\right) m, 1 \leq j \leq n, m \in \mathcal{M}\right\}$.

Suppose that $T \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{M})$. Then $T$ induces an element $T_{\alpha}=T \otimes 1$ of $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\right)$. Now assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is free on $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$. Then $m_{1} \otimes 1, \ldots, m_{n} \otimes 1$ is a vector space basis for $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. The matrix of $T_{\alpha}$ relative to this basis is obtained from a matrix of $T$ relative to $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ by substituting $\alpha_{j}$ for $t_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Now we return to the case in hand. We have $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ with $\lambda_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{n}=0$. Define $\alpha_{j}:=e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq n$; whence $\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}=1$.

Lemma 10.10 Suppose that $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ satisfies $\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}=1$. Then in the specialization $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)_{\alpha}$ the image of the fixed line $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is contained in the image of the invariant hyperplane $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)$.

Proof: $\delta 1\left(x_{\infty}\right)=1-\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}=0$.
Corollary 10.11 The specialization $\operatorname{Der}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)_{\alpha}$ contains a $P_{n}$-fixed line $B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)_{\alpha}$.

Now we observe that $Z^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)_{\alpha}=Z^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)$, the group of 1-cocycles with values in the 1-dimensional module defined by $\chi\left(x_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover

$$
Z^{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)_{\alpha}=Z^{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)
$$

the group of $\mathbb{C}_{\chi}$-valued 1-cocycles that annihilate $x_{\infty}$ and

$$
B^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \mathcal{L}\right)_{\alpha}=B^{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)
$$

We obtain
Proposition 10.12 Suppose $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ satisfies $\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}=1$. Then the specialization of the reduced Gassner representation at $\alpha$ contains a $P_{n}$-invariant line. The quotient of the representation of $P_{n}$ by this line is $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi}\right)$.

Theorem C follows.
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