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## Overview

In this talk, we discuss Euclidean embeddings of metric spaces induced by actions of the permutation group $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ on a linear space $V$. Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}, X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $A=A^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Family of actions:
(1) $V=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, X \mapsto \Pi X$
(2) $V=\operatorname{Sym}(n), A \mapsto \Pi A \Pi^{T}$
(3) $V=\operatorname{Sym}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d},(A, X) \mapsto\left(\Pi A \Pi^{T}, \Pi X\right)$

Problem: Construct (bi)Lipschitz embeddings of the metric space $\hat{V}=V / \sim$ of co-orbits, $\alpha: \hat{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
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## Similarity of Matrices

Consider two symmetric matrices $A, B \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$. When are they equivalent modulo an orthonormal change of coordinates? Specificaly, is there an orthogonal matrix $U \in O(n)$ so that $B=U A U^{\top}$ ?

An elementary derivation in linear algebra shows that $A \stackrel{O(n)}{\sim} B$ if and only if $A$ and $B$ have the same set of eigenvalues with exactly same multiplicities.

But what about other groups $G$ ? For instance what about the group of permutation matrices $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ ?
Find necessary and sufficient conditions so that $A \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_{n}}{\sim} B$. Recall:
$\mathcal{S}_{n}=\left\{P \in O(n): P_{i, j} \in\{0,1\}\right\}=O(n) \cap\left\{W \in[0,1]^{n \times n}: W 1=1, W^{\top} 1=1\right\}$

## The Graph Isomorphism Problem

Consider two graphs $G=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ and $\tilde{G}=(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ with $n$ nodes. The graph isomorphism problem is the computational problem of determining whether these graphs are identical after a relabeling of nodes.

If $A$ and $\tilde{A}$ denote their adjacency matrices, these graphs are isomorphic if and only if $\tilde{A}=\Pi A \Pi^{T}$ for some permutation matrix $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.

Current state-of-the-art (Wikipedia): Babai $(2015,2017)$ presented a quasi-polynomial algorithm with running time $2^{O\left((\log n)^{c}\right) \text {, for some fixed }}$ $c>0$. Helfgott (2017) claims that one can take $c=3$.

Similar problem can be stated for weighted graphs: $A, \tilde{A} \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ with nonnegative entries, isomorphic if and only if $\tilde{A}=\Pi A \Pi^{T}$ for some $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.

## Graph Alignment Problems

Consider two $n \times n$ symmetric matrices $A, B$. In the alignment problem for quadratic forms one seeks an orthogonal matrix $U \in O(n)$ that minimizes

$$
\left\|U A U^{T}-B\right\|_{F}^{2}:=\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(U A U^{T}-B\right)^{2}\right)=\|A\|_{F}^{2}+\|B\|_{F}^{2}-2 \operatorname{trace}\left(U A U^{T} B\right)
$$

The solution is well-known and depends on the eigendecomposition of matrices $A, B$ : if $A=U_{1} D_{1} U_{1}^{T}, B=U_{2} D_{2} U_{2}^{T}$ then

$$
U_{o p t}=U_{2} U_{1}^{T}, \quad\left\|U_{o p t} A U_{o p t}^{T}-B\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{k}-\mu_{k}\right|^{2},
$$

where $D_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ and $D_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{k}\right)$ are diagonal matrices with eigenvalues ordered monotonically.

## Quadratic Assignment Problem

The challenging case is when $U$ is constrained to the permutation group as is the case in the graph matching problem. In this case, the optimization problem becomes

$$
\min _{U \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|U A U^{T}-B\right\|_{F}
$$

turns into a QAP:

$$
\max _{U \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \operatorname{trace}\left(U A U^{T} B\right) .
$$

This is equivalent to computing the natural distance $d(\hat{A}, \hat{B})=\min _{P, Q \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|P A P^{T}-Q B Q^{T}\right\|_{F}$ between the equivalence classes $\hat{A}, \hat{B} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sym}(n)}$ induced by the group action $\mathcal{S}_{n} \times \operatorname{Sym}(n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(n)$, $(\Pi, A) \mapsto П A \Pi^{\top}$.

## Graph Learning Problems

Given a data graph (e.g., social network, transportation network, citation network, chemical network, protein network, biological networks):

- Graph adjacency or weight matrix, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$;
- Data matrix, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, where each row corresponds to a feature vector per node.
Contruct a map $f:(A, X) \rightarrow f(A, X)$ that performs:
(1) classification: $f(A, X) \in\{1,2, \cdots, c\}$
(2) regression/prediction: $f(A, X) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Key observation: The outcome should be invariant to vertex permutation: $f\left(P A P^{T}, P X\right)=f(A, X)$, for every $P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.

## Graph Convolutive Networks (GCN), Graph Neural Networks (GNN)

## General architecture of a GCN/GNN




GCN (Kipf and Welling ('16)) choses $\tilde{A}=I+A$; GNN (Scarselli et.al. ('08), Bronstein et.al. ('16)) choses $\tilde{A}=p_{l}(A)$, a polynomial in adjacency matrix. L-layer GNN has parameters $\left(p_{1}, W_{1}, B_{1}, \cdots, p_{L}, W_{L}, B_{L}\right)$.

## Graph Convolutive Networks (GCN), Graph Neural Networks (GNN)

## General architecture of a GCN/GNN




GCN (Kipf and Welling ('16)) choses $\tilde{A}=I+A$; GNN (Scarselli et.al. ('08), Bronstein et.al. ('16)) choses $\tilde{A}=p_{l}(A)$, a polynomial in adjacency matrix. L-layer GNN has parameters $\left(p_{1}, W_{1}, B_{1}, \cdots, p_{L}, W_{L}, B_{L}\right)$.

Note the covariance (or, equivariance) property: for any $P \in O(n)$ (including $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ ), if $(A, X) \mapsto\left(P A P^{T}, P X\right)$ and $B_{i} \mapsto P B_{i}$ then $Y \mapsto P Y$.

## Deep Learning with GCN

The approach for the two learning tasks (classification or regression) is based on the following scheme (see also Maron et.al. ('19)):

where $\alpha$ is a permutation invariant map (extractor), and SVM/NN is a single-layer or a deep neural network (Support Vector Machine or a Fully Connected Neural Network) trained on invariant representations. The purpose of this talk is to analyze the $\alpha$ component,
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## The metric space $\hat{V}$ when $V=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$

Recall the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $V=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ induced by the group of permutation matrices $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ acting on $V$ by left multiplication: for any $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$,

$$
X \sim X^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow X^{\prime}=P X, \text { for some } P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}
$$

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}}=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} / \sim$ be the quotient space endowed with the natural distance induced by Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_{F}$

$$
d\left(\hat{X}_{1}, \hat{X}_{2}\right)=\min _{P \in S_{n}}\left\|X_{1}-P X_{2}\right\|_{F}, \quad \hat{X}_{1}, \hat{X}_{2} \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} .
$$
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$$
X \sim X^{\prime} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X^{\prime}=P X, \text { for some } P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}
$$

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}}=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} / \sim$ be the quotient space endowed with the natural distance induced by Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_{F}$

$$
d\left(\hat{X}_{1}, \hat{X}_{2}\right)=\min _{P \in S_{n}}\left\|X_{1}-P X_{2}\right\|_{F}, \quad \hat{X}_{1}, \hat{X}_{2} \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}}
$$

The computation of the minimum distance is performed by solving the Linear Assignment Problem (LAP) whose convex relaxation is exact:

$$
\max _{P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \operatorname{trace}\left(P X_{2} X_{1}^{T}\right)=\max _{W \in D S(n)} \operatorname{trace}\left(W X_{2} X_{1}^{T}\right)
$$

where $D S(n)=\left\{W \in[0,1]^{n \times n}: W 1=1, W^{T} 1=1\right\}$ is the convex set of doubly stochastic matrices.

## The embedding problem

Problem 1: Construct a Lipschitz embedding $\hat{\alpha}: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, i.e., an integer $m=m(n, d)$, a map $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and a constant $L=L(\alpha)>0$ so that for any $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$,
(1) If $X \sim X^{\prime}$ then $\alpha(X)=\alpha\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) If $\alpha(X)=\alpha\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ then $X \sim X^{\prime}$.
(3) $\left\|\alpha(X)-\alpha\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq L \cdot d\left(\hat{X}, \hat{X}^{\prime}\right)=L \min _{P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|X-P X^{\prime}\right\|_{F}$.

Problem 2: Construct a bi-Lipschitz embedding, i.e., in addition to conditions 1-3 $\alpha$ should satisfy also
(9) $\exists a>0 \forall X, X^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, a \cdot d\left(\hat{X}, \hat{X}^{\prime}\right) \leq\left\|\alpha(X)-\alpha\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}$.

## The Universal Embedding

Consider the map

$$
\mu: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \quad, \quad \mu(X)(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta\left(x-x_{k}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ denotes the convex set of probability measures over $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $\delta$ denotes the Dirac measure.
Clearly $\mu\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\mu(X)$ iff $X^{\prime}=P X$ for some $P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Main drawback: $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is infinite dimensional!

## Finite Dimensional Embeddings

## Architectures

Two classes of extractors [Zaheer et.al.17' -'Deep Sets']:
(1) Pooling Map - based on Max pooling
(2) Readout Map - based on Sum pooling
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Intuition in the case $d=1$ :
Max pooling:

$$
\downarrow: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \downarrow(x)=x^{\downarrow}:=\left(x_{\pi(k)}\right)_{k=1}^{n}, x_{\pi(1)} \geq x_{\pi(2)} \geq \cdots \geq x_{\pi(n)}
$$

Sum pooling:

$$
\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad, \quad \sigma(x)=\left(y_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}, y_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu\left(a_{k}, x_{j}\right)
$$

where kernel $\nu: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, e.g. $\nu(a, t)=e^{-(a-t)^{2}}$, or $\nu(a=k, t)=t^{k}$.

## Pooling Mapping Approach

Fix a matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$. Consider the map:

$$
\Lambda: \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times D} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n D} \quad, \quad \Lambda(X)=\downarrow(X R)
$$

where $\downarrow$ acts columnwise (reorders monotonically decreasing each column). Since $\Lambda(\Pi X)=\Lambda(X)$, then $\Lambda: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}$. Let $R=\left[r_{1}, \cdots, r_{D}\right]$.

## Theorem

The map $\wedge$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $L=\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left\|r_{k}\right\|_{2}$, i.e.

$$
\|\downarrow(X R)-\downarrow(Y R)\|_{2} \leq L \min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|X-\Pi Y\|_{2}
$$

Proof For any $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$,

$$
\|\downarrow(X R)-\downarrow(Y R)\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left\|\downarrow\left(X r_{k}\right)-\downarrow\left(Y r_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left\|X_{r_{k}}-\Pi Y_{r_{k}}\right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left\|r_{k}\right\| 2\|X-\Pi Y\|
$$

Take the minimum over $\Pi$ and the result follows.

## Readout Mapping Approach

Kernel Sampling

Consider:

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m} \quad, \quad(\Phi(X))_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu\left(a_{j}, x_{k}\right) \text { or }(\Phi(X))_{j}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \nu\left(a_{j}, x_{k}\right)
$$

where $\nu: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a kernel, and $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ denote the rows of matrix $X$.
Known solutions: For $m=\infty$, the measure-valued representation is globally injective and stable. For $m<\infty$, one can construct Lipschitz embeddings of compacts.
The challenge is to construct $\nu$ so that: (1) the map is defined over entire metric space; (2) the map is bi-Lipschitz.

## Readout Mapping Approach

## The RKHS Point of View

Remark: If the kernel $\nu$ defines a Reproducing Kernel Hilberts Spaces (RKHSs), and a spectral theorem is applicable (e.g., Mercer's theorem) then:

$$
(\Phi(X))_{j}=\sum_{p \geq 1} \sigma_{p} f_{p}\left(a_{j}\right) g_{p}(X)
$$

This result suggests a tow-stage embedding:

$$
X \mapsto \xi=\left(g_{p}(X)\right)_{p \geq 1} \mapsto \Phi(X)=A \xi .
$$

Special case: when $g_{p}(X)$ are monomials, then $\Phi(X)$ is a family of polynomials.
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## Polynomial Expansions - Quadratics

In the case $d=1$ recall Vieta's formulas, Newton-Girard identities
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$$
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$$

For $d>1$, consider the quadratic $d$-variate polynomial:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{d}\right) & =\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(Z_{1}-x_{k, 1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(Z_{d}-x_{k, d}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}=0}^{2 n} a_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}} Z_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots Z_{d}^{p_{d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Encoding complexity:

$$
m=\binom{2 n+d}{d} \sim(2 n)^{d}
$$

## Polynomial Expansions - Quadratics (2)

A more careful analysis of $P\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right)$ reveals a form:
$P\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right)=t^{n}+Q_{1}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right) t^{n-1}+\cdots+Q_{n-1}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right) t+Q_{n}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right)$
where $t=Z_{1}^{2}+\cdots+Z_{d}^{2}$ and each $Q_{k}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{k}\left[Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right]$ is a (non-homogeneous) polynomial of degree $k$. Hence one needs to encode:

$$
m=\binom{d+1}{1}+\binom{d+2}{2}+\cdots+\binom{d+n}{n}=\binom{d+n+1}{n}-1
$$

number of coefficients.
A significant drawback: Inversion is numerically unstable and embedding is not Lipschitz.

## Readout Mapping Approach

## Polynomial Expansion - Linear Forms

A stable embedding can be constructed as follows (see also Gobels' algorithm (1996) or [Derksen, Kemper '02]).
Consider the $n$ linear forms $\lambda_{k}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right)=x_{k, 1} Z_{1}+\cdots x_{k, d} Z_{d}$. Construct the polynomial in variable $t$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}\left[Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(t-\lambda_{k}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right)\right)=t^{n}-e_{1}\left(Z_{1}, . ., Z_{d}\right) t^{n-1}+\cdots(-1)^{n} e_{n}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right) \\
=t^{n}+\begin{array}{c}
\sum c_{p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{d}} t^{p_{0}} Z_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots Z_{d}^{p_{d}} \\
p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{d} \geq 0 \\
p_{0}+p_{1}+\cdots+p_{d}=n, p_{0}<n
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

The elementary symmetric polynomials $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ are in 1-1 correspondence (Newton-Girard theorem) with the moments: $\mu_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{d}\right), 1 \leq p \leq n$.

## Polynomial Expansions - Linear Forms (2)

Each $\mu_{p}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $p$ in $d$ variables. Hence to encode each of them one needs $\binom{d+p-1}{p}$ coefficients. Hence the embedding dimension is

$$
m_{0}=\binom{d}{1}+\binom{d+1}{2}+\cdots+\binom{d+n-1}{n}=\binom{d+n}{n}-1
$$
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$$
m_{0}=\binom{d}{1}+\binom{d+1}{2}+\cdots+\binom{d+n-1}{n}=\binom{d+n}{n}-1
$$

The map $\alpha_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{0}}, X \mapsto\left(c_{p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{d}}\right)_{p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{d}}$ is injective modulo $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ but it is not Lipschitz. However a simple modification as suggested by Cahill et.al. ('19) makes it Lipschitz.

## Polynomial Lipschitz embedding

Denote by $L_{0}$ the Lipschitz constant of $\alpha_{0}$ when restricted to the closed unit ball $B_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}\right):\left\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d},\|X\| \leq 1\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, i.e. $\left\|\alpha_{0}(X)-\alpha_{0}(Y)\right\| \leq L_{0}\|X-Y\|$ for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ with $\|X\|,\|Y\| \leq 1$. Let $\varphi_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1], \varphi_{0}(x)=\min \left(1, \frac{1}{x}\right)$ be a Lipschitz monotone decreasing function with Lipschitz constant 1.

## Theorem

The map:

$$
\alpha_{1}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, \alpha_{1}(X)=\binom{\alpha_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}(\|X\|) X\right)}{\|X\|}
$$

with $m=\binom{n+d}{d}=m_{0}+1$ lifts to an injective and globally Lipschitz map $\hat{\alpha}_{1}: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with Lipschitz constant $\operatorname{Lip}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{1}\right) \leq \sqrt{1+L_{0}^{2}}$.

## Minimality

For $d=1, m=n$ which is minimal.
For $d=2, m=\frac{n^{2}+3 n}{2}$. Is this minimal?

## Algebraic Embedding

## Encoding using Complex Roots

Idea: Consider the case $d=2$. Then each $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ can be replaced by $n$ complex numbers $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, z_{k}=x_{k, 1}+i x_{k, 2}$.
Consider the complex polynomial:

$$
Q(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)=z^{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k} z^{n-k}
$$

which requires $n$ complex numbers, or $2 n$ real numbers.
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## Encoding using Complex Roots

Idea: Consider the case $d=2$. Then each $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ can be replaced by $n$ complex numbers $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, z_{k}=x_{k, 1}+i x_{k, 2}$.
Consider the complex polynomial:

$$
Q(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)=z^{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k} z^{n-k}
$$

which requires $n$ complex numbers, or $2 n$ real numbers.

Open problem: Can this construction be extended to $d \geq 3$ ? Remark: A drawback of polynomial (algebraic) embeddings: [Cahill'19] showed that polynomial embeddings of translation invariant spaces cannot be bi-Lipschitz.
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## The Embedding Problem

## Notations

Recall the equivalence relation, for $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$,

$$
X \sim Y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}, Y=\Pi X
$$

that induces a quotient space $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}}=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} / \sim$ and the natural distance

$$
d: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad, \quad d(X, Y)=\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|X-\Pi Y\|_{F}
$$

In the following we look for an Euclidean embedding of the form

$$
\alpha: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times D} \quad, \quad \alpha(X)=[\downarrow(X), \quad \downarrow(X A)]
$$

where $\downarrow(\cdot)$ sorts decreasingly each column of $\cdot$, independently. The matrix $R=\left[I_{d} A\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$ is called the key of encoder $\alpha$.

## The Embedding Problem

Notations (2)

## Definition

Fix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$ is called admissible for $X$ if $\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(X))=\hat{X}$. In other words, if $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ so that $\downarrow(X A)=\downarrow(Y A)$ then there is $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ sot that $Y=\Pi X$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{d, D}(X)$ (or $\left.\mathcal{A}(X)\right)$ the set of admissible keys for $X$.

## Definition

Fix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$. A data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is said separated by $A$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.

We let $\mathcal{S}(A)$ denote the set of data matrices separated by $A$.
A key $A$ is said universal if $\mathcal{S}(A)=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$.
The Problem: Design universal keys.

## Max pooling is isometric embedding when $d=1$

## Proposition

In the case $d=1, \downarrow: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \hat{x} \mapsto \downarrow(x)$ is an isometric embedding:

$$
\|\downarrow(x)-\downarrow(y)\|=\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|, \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Proof

Claim is equivalent to: $\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|=\left\|x^{\downarrow}-y^{\downarrow}\right\|$.
First note:

$$
\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|=\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|x^{\downarrow}-\Pi y^{\downarrow}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{\downarrow}-y^{\downarrow}\right\|
$$

Hence $\downarrow$ is Lipschitz with constant 1 .
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In the case $d=1, \downarrow: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \hat{x} \mapsto \downarrow(x)$ is an isometric embedding:

$$
\|\downarrow(x)-\downarrow(y)\|=\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|, \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Proof

Claim is equivalent to: $\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|=\left\|x^{\downarrow}-y^{\downarrow}\right\|$.
First note:

$$
\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|=\min _{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|x^{\downarrow}-\Pi y^{\downarrow}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{\downarrow}-y^{\downarrow}\right\|
$$

Hence $\downarrow$ is Lipschitz with constant 1.
WLOG: Assume $x=x^{\downarrow}, y=y^{\downarrow}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{argmin}_{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\|x-\Pi y\|=\operatorname{argmin}_{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|x-x_{n} \cdot 1-\Pi\left(y-y_{n} \cdot 1\right)\right\|
$$

Therefore assume $x_{n}=y_{n}=0$ and $x, y \geq 0$. The conclusion follows by induction over $n$.

## Genericity Results for $d \geq 2$

Admissible keys

## Theorem

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. For any $D \geq d+1$ the set $\mathcal{A}_{d, D}(X)$ of admissible keys for $X$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$ with respect to Euclidean topology, and it is generic with respect to Zariski topology. In particular, $\mathbb{R}^{d \times D} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{d, D}(X)$ has Lebesgue measure 0, i.e., almost every key is admissible for $X$.

## Proof

It is sufficient to consider the case $D=d+1$. Also, it is sufficient to analyze the case $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & b\end{array}\right]$ and to show that a generic $b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ defines an admissible key. The vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ does not define an admissible key if there are $\bar{\Xi}, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d} \in S_{n}$ so that for $Y=\left[\Pi_{1 x_{1}}, \cdots, \Pi_{d} x_{d}\right]$,

$$
Y b=\equiv X b \text { but } Y-\Pi X \neq 0, \forall \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}
$$

Define the linear operator

## Genericity Results for $d \geq 2$

## Admissible keys

## Proof - cont'd

Let

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(\Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}\right)^{d} \quad \forall \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}, \exists k \in[d] \text { s.t. }\left(\Pi-\Pi_{k}\right) x_{k} \neq 0\right\}
$$

Then
$\left\{b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\left[I_{d} b\right]\right.$ not admissible for $\left.X\right\}=\quad \bigcup \quad \operatorname{ker}\left(B\left(\equiv ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi\right.\right.$

$$
\left(\equiv ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{n} \times \mathcal{P}
$$

It is now sufficient to show that each null space has dimension less than $d$. Indeed, the alternative would mean $B\left(\equiv ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right)=0$ but this would imply $\left(\Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) \notin \mathcal{P}$. $\square$

## Non-Universality of vector keys

Insufficiency of a single vector key
The following is a no-go result, which shows that there is no universal single vector key for data matrices tall enough.

## Proposition

If $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$,

$$
\bigcup_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}}\left\{b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{d} & b] \text { not admissible for } X\}=\mathbb{R}^{d} .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Consequently,

$$
\bigcap_{\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \mathcal{A}_{d, d+1}(X)=\emptyset .
$$

On the other hand, for $n=2, d=2$, any vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $b_{1} b_{2} \neq 0$ defines a universal key $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}l_{2} & b\end{array}\right]$.

## Non-Universality of vector keys

Insufficiency of a single vector key - cont'd

## Proof

To show the result, it is sufficient to consider a counterexample for $n=3$, $d=2$, with key $b=[1,1]^{T}$.

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad Y=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $X b=[0,-1,1]^{T}$ and $Y b=[1,0,-1]^{T}$, yet $X \nsim Y$. Thus $\left[I_{2} b\right]$ is not admissible for $X$.
Then note if $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ so that $\left[I_{d} a\right]$ is admissible for $X$ then for any $P \in S_{d}$ and $L$ an invertible $d \times d$ diagonal matrix, $L^{-1} P^{T} A \in \mathcal{A}_{d, 1}(X P L)$. This shows how for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, one can construct $X \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}$ so that $b \notin \mathcal{A}_{2,1}(X)$.
For $n>3$ or $d>2$, proof follows by embedding this example.

## Genericity Results for $d \geq 2$

## Admissible Data Matrices

## Theorem

Assume $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a vector with non-vanishing entries, i.e., $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{d} \neq 0$. Then for any $n \geq 1, \mathcal{S}\left(\left[l_{d} a\right]\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and includes an open dense set with respect to Zariski topology. In particular, $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d}\right.\right.$ a] $]$ has Lebesgue measure 0 , i.e., almost every data matrix $X$ is separated by the vector key a.
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## Admissible Data Matrices

## Theorem

Assume $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a vector with non-vanishing entries, i.e., $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{d} \neq 0$. Then for any $n \geq 1, \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d} a\right]\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and includes an open dense set with respect to Zariski topology. In particular, $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d} a\right]\right)$ has Lebesgue measure 0, i.e., almost every data matrix $X$ is separated by the vector key a.

## Corollary

Assume $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times(D-d)}$ is a matrix such that at least one column has non-vanishing entries. Then for any $n \geq 1, \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d} A\right]\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and is generic with respect to Zariski topology. In particular, $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d} A\right]\right)$ has Lebesgue measure 0, i.e., almost every data matrix $X$ is separated by the matrix key $\left[\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & A\end{array}\right]$.

## Proof that $\mathcal{S}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & A\end{array}\right]\right)$ is generic

The case $D>d$
Assume $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times(D-d)}$ satisfies $A_{1, k} A_{2, k} \cdots A_{d, k} \neq 0$ for some $k \in[D-d]$. The set of non-separated data matrices $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ (i.e., the complement of $\left.\mathcal{S}\left(\left[l_{d} A\right]\right)\right)$ factors as follows:

$$
\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d} A\right]\right)=\bigcup_{\left(\Xi_{1}, \cdots, \Xi_{D-d} ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}\right)^{D}}\left(\operatorname { k e r } L \left(\bar{\Xi}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\Xi}_{D-d} ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d} ;\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\backslash \bigcup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \operatorname{ker} M\left(\Pi, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right)\right) \quad(*)
$$

where, with $A=\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{D-d}\right], X=\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}\right]$ :
$L\left(\Xi_{1}, \cdots, \Xi_{D-d} ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d} ; A\right): \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times D-d} \quad, \quad(L(\ldots) X)_{k}=\left[\left(\Xi_{k}-\Pi_{1}\right) x_{1}, \cdots,\left(\Xi_{k}-\Pi_{d}\right) x_{d}\right] a_{k}, k \in[D-$

$$
M\left(\Pi, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right): \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \quad, \quad M\left(\Pi, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) X=\left[\left(\Pi-\Pi_{1}\right) x_{1}, \cdots,\left(\Pi-\Pi_{d}\right) x_{d}\right]
$$

## Proof that $\mathcal{S}(A)$ is generic

## cont'd

1. The outer union can be reduced by noting that on the "diagonal" $\Delta$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta=\left\{\left(\Xi_{1}, \cdots, \Xi_{D-d} ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}\right)^{D} \quad, \quad \Pi_{1}=\Pi_{2}=\cdots=\Pi_{d}\right\} \\
M\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right)=0 \rightarrow \bigcup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \operatorname{ker} M\left(\Pi, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. If $\left(\Xi_{1}, \cdots, \Xi_{D-d} ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}\right)^{D} \backslash \Delta$ then for every $k \in[D-d]$ there is $j \in[d]$ such that $\Xi_{k}-\Pi_{j} \neq 0$. In particular choose the $k$ column of $A$ that is non-vanishing. Let $x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ so that $\left(\Xi_{k}-\Pi_{j}\right) x_{j} \neq 0$. Consider the matrix $X=\left[0, \cdots, 0, x_{j}, 0, \cdots, 0\right]$ where $x_{j}$ is the only non identically 0 column. Claim: $X \notin \operatorname{ker} L\left(\Xi_{1}, \ldots, \Pi_{d} ; A\right)$. Indeed, the resulting $k$ column of $L() X$ is $A_{j, k}\left(\Xi_{k}-\Pi_{j}\right) x_{j} \neq 0$. It follows that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L\left(\Xi_{1}, \cdots, \Xi_{D-d} ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d} ; A\right)<n d$
Hence $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\left[I_{d} A\right]\right)$ is a finite union of subsets of closed linear spaces properly included in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. This proves the theorem.

## Additional Relations

Note the following relationship and matrix representation of $X$ when matrices are column-stacked:

$$
M\left(\Pi, \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d}\right)=L\left(\Pi, \cdots, \Pi ; \Pi_{1}, \cdots, \Pi_{d} ; I\right)
$$

$L \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cccc}A_{1,1}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{1}-\Pi_{1}\right) & A_{2,1}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{1}-\Pi_{2}\right) & \cdots & A_{d, 1}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{1}-\Pi_{d}\right) \\ A_{1,2}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{2}-\Pi_{1}\right) & A_{2,2}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{2}-\Pi_{2}\right) & \cdots & A_{d, 2}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{2}-\Pi_{d}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{1, D-d}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{D-d}-\Pi_{1}\right) & A_{2, D-d}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{D-d}-\Pi_{2}\right) & \cdots & A_{d, D-d}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{D-d}-\Pi_{d}\right.\end{array}\right.$
a $n(D-d) \times n d$ matrix.

## Universal keys

## Theorem

Consider the metric space $\left(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}}, d\right)$.
There exists a bi-Lipschitz map

$$
\hat{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times D} \sim \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

with $D=1+(d-1) n$ ! and $m=(1+(d-1) n!) n$. This map is given explicitly by $\hat{\beta}(\hat{X})=\downarrow(X A)$ for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times(1+(d-1) n!)}$ whose columns form a full spark frame, and where $\downarrow$ acts column-wise.

## Towards universal keys

Relation $\left(^{*}\right)$ from the proof of previous theorem provides an algorithm to check if a matrix $A$ is a universal key. It is likely that if a universal key exists for a triple $(n, d, D)$ then universal keys are generic in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times(D-d)}$. Open Problem: Given $(n, d)$ find the smallest dimension $D$ (or $D-d$ ) so that there exists a universal key $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times(D-d)}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$.
So far we obtained:

| n | d | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 | $?$ |
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## The metric space $\widehat{\operatorname{Sym}(n)}$

The real vector space $V=\operatorname{Sym}(n)=\left\{A=A^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right\}$ is of dimension $N=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. The permutation group $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ acts on $V$ by the similarity transformation $(P, A) \in \mathcal{S}_{n} \times \operatorname{Sym}(n) \mapsto P A P^{T}$. The metric space $\widehat{\operatorname{Sym}(n)}=\operatorname{Sym}(n) / \sim$ of equivalence classes admits the natural metric:

$$
d(\hat{A}, \hat{B})=\min _{P \in \mathcal{S}_{n}}\left\|A-P B P^{T}\right\|_{F}
$$

induuced by the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_{F}$.
Problem: Construct a (bi)Lipschitz map $\hat{\beta}:(\widehat{\operatorname{Sym}(n)}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Specifically, construct $\beta: \operatorname{Sym}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, a_{0}, b_{0}>0$ so that for any $A, B \in \operatorname{Sym}(n):$
(1) $\hat{A}=\hat{B}$ if and only if $\beta(A)=\beta(B)$;
(2) $\operatorname{ard}(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \leq\|\beta(A)-\beta(B)\|_{2} \leq b_{0} d(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$

Then $\hat{\beta}(\hat{A})=\beta(A)$ and $\hat{\beta}$ lifts $\beta$ to $\widehat{\operatorname{Sym}(n)}$.

## The group representation point of view

The same action can be viewed as a representation of a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ where $N=n(n+1) / 2$. Specifically, let $i: \operatorname{Sym}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $j: \operatorname{Sym}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$ be the linear maps:

$$
\begin{gathered}
i(A)=\left(A_{1,1}, \cdots, A_{n, n}, A_{1,2}, \cdots, A_{1, n}, A_{2,3}, \cdots, A_{2, n}, \cdots, A_{n-1, n}\right)^{T} \\
j(A)=\operatorname{vect}(A)=\left(A_{1,1}, \cdots, A_{n, 1}, A_{1,2}, \cdots, A_{n, 2}, \cdots, A_{1, n}, \cdots, A_{n, n}\right)^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note $i$ is an isomorphism, whereas $j$ is injective but not surjective. Let $E=\operatorname{Ran}(j) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}} \sim \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
The action $A \mapsto P A P^{T}$ is implemented by the linear map $L_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$, $L_{P}(\xi)=(P \otimes P) \xi$. Each subspace $E$ is invariant to the action of $L_{P}$. This invariance induces a pull-back $T_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ which remains a permutation matrix on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Thus we obtain a linear representation of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ seen as a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, via $(\Pi, v) \mapsto T_{P} v$.

## Polynomial Invariants

Based on joint work with Efstratios Tsukanis.

The main task is to find a characterization of the algebra of invariant symmetric polynomials in $n^{2}$ variables $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{R}\left[X_{1,1}, \cdots, X_{n, n}\right]^{\mathcal{S}_{n}}$. For easiness of notation, we shall collect into a matrix denoted by $A$ the $n^{2}$ variables of these polynomials. Thus we are interested in finding polynomials $Q(A)$ in entries of $A$ that satisfy:
(1) $Q(A)=Q\left(A^{T}\right)$ for all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$;
(2) $Q\left(\Pi A \Pi^{T}\right)=Q(A)$ for all $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.

The algebra $\mathbb{A}$ is graded: $\mathbb{A}=\oplus_{d \geq 0} H_{d}$, where each $H_{d}$ denotes the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{A}$.

## Polynomial Invariants (2)

An homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in entries of $A$ can be compactly written as $Q(A)=\operatorname{trace}(W \cdot(A \otimes A \otimes \cdots \otimes A))$ for some $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n d \times n d}$. Each invariant symmetric polynomial $Q \in H_{d}$ should satisfy:

$$
W^{T}=W \quad, \quad W(\Pi \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi)=(\Pi \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi) W, \forall \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}
$$

Thus $H_{d}$ can be identified with the self-adjoint elements of the commutant of the algebra generated by $\left\{\Pi^{\otimes d}, \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{d}=\left\{\Pi^{\otimes d}, \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}\right\}^{\prime}$ denote this commutant.

## Proposition (see also Schneider et.al ('17))

For $d=1, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}_{1}=2$ with a basis provided by $W_{1}=I_{n}$ and $W_{2}=11^{T}$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} H_{1}=2$ and a basis is provided by:

$$
Q_{1}(A)=\operatorname{trace}(A)=\sum_{i} A_{i, i}, \quad Q_{2}(A)=1^{T} A 1=\sum_{i, j} A_{i, j}
$$

## Polynomial Invariants (3)

Let $D=\operatorname{diag}(A \cdot 1)$ be the diagonal matrix that collects the row-sums of $A$. Note the graph Laplacian is defined as $\Delta=D-A$, and, if $A^{\prime}=\Pi A \Pi^{T}$ then $D^{\prime}=\Pi D \Pi^{T}$. This covariance property provides us with a large class of invariant symmetric polynomials:

$$
Q_{p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}, q_{2}, \cdots, p_{L}, q_{L}}(A)=\operatorname{trace}\left(A^{p_{1}} D^{q_{1}} A^{p_{2}} D^{q_{2}} \cdots A^{p_{L}} D^{q_{L}}\right) .
$$

With this notation, the previous basis for $H_{1}$ is provided by $\left\{Q_{1,0}, Q_{0,1}\right\}$.
A plausible conjecture: The system $Q_{p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}, q_{2}, \cdots, p_{L}, q_{L}}$ defines a complete system of invariant polynomials.

## Polynomial Invariants (3)

Let $D=\operatorname{diag}(A \cdot 1)$ be the diagonal matrix that collects the row-sums of $A$. Note the graph Laplacian is defined as $\Delta=D-A$, and, if $A^{\prime}=\Pi A \Pi^{T}$ then $D^{\prime}=\Pi D \Pi^{T}$. This covariance property provides us with a large class of invariant symmetric polynomials:

$$
Q_{p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}, q_{2}, \cdots, p_{L}, q_{L}}(A)=\operatorname{trace}\left(A^{p_{1}} D^{q_{1}} A^{p_{2}} D^{q_{2}} \cdots A^{p_{L}} D^{q_{L}}\right)
$$

With this notation, the previous basis for $H_{1}$ is provided by $\left\{Q_{1,0}, Q_{0,1}\right\}$.

A plausible conjecture: The system $Q_{p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}, q_{2}, \cdots, p_{L}, q_{L}}$ defines a complete system of invariant polynomials.

However this is not true: for $d=2$ we obtained $\operatorname{dim} H_{2}=7$, whereas only 3 generators are of this form $\left\{Q_{2,0}, Q_{1,1}, Q_{0,2}\right\}$.
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## The Protein Dataset

This section is based on joint work with Naveed Haghani and Maneesh Singh.

Protein Dataset: selection of 450 enzymes and 450 non-enzymes out of 1113 proteins. Each graph associated to one protein: nodes represent amino acids and edges represent the bonds between them. Number of nodes: varying between 10 and capped at 50 .
Task: the task is classification of each protein into enzyme or non-enzyme.

## The Deep Network Architecture

Architecture: ReLU activation and

- GCN with $L=3$ layers and 29 input feature vectors, and 50 hidden nodes in each layer; no dropouts, no batch normalization. output of GCN: $d=1,10,100$.
- Mid-layer component: $\alpha$
- Fully connected NN with dense 3-layers and 150 internal units; no dropouts, with batch normalization.



## The Network

Training has been done over 3000 epochs with a batch size of 100. Loss function: cross-entropy.
The following $5 \alpha$ blocks have been tested:
(1) Identity: $\alpha(X)=X$; no permutation invariance.
(2) Identity $\times$ 5: $\alpha(X)=X$ BUT the training data set has been augmented with 4 random permutatons of each graph.
(3) ordering: $\alpha(X)=\downarrow(X A), A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
(9) kernels: $\alpha(X)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp \left(-\left\|x_{k}-a_{j}\right\|^{2} / \sigma_{j}^{2}\right)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m=n d}$
(9) sumpooling: $\alpha(X)=1^{T} X$

## Enzyme Classification Example

## Training Loss: X Entropy




## Enzyme Classification Example

## Training Accuracy




## Enzyme Classification Example

## Validation Accuracy





## Enzyme Classification Example

## Validation Accuracy with Random Permutations





## The QM9 Dataset

Dataset: Consists of 134,000 isomers of organic molecules made up of CHONF, each containing 10-29 atoms. see http://quantum-machine.org/datasets/ Nodes corresponds to atoms; each feature vector containins geometry ( $x, y, z$ coordinates), partial charge per atom (Mulliken charge), and atom type.
Task: the task is regression: predict a physical feature (electron energy gap) computed for each molecule.
Architecture: ReLU activation and

- GCN with $L=3$ layers and 50 hidden nodes in each layer; no dropouts, no batch normalization; zero padding to $m=29$ number of rows. output of GCN: $d=1,10,100$.
- Mid-layer component: $\alpha$
- Fully connected NN with dense 3-layers and 150 internal units in each of the two hidden layers; no dropouts, with batch normalization.


## The Network

Training has been done over 3000 epochs with a batch size of 100. Loss function: Mean-Square Error (MSE).
The following $5 \alpha$ blocks have been tested:
(1) Identity: $\alpha(X)=X$; no permutation invariance.
(2) Identity $\times$ 5: $\alpha(X)=X$ BUT the training data set has been augmented with 4 random permutatons of each graph.
(3) ordering: $\alpha(X)=\downarrow(X A), A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
(9) kernels: $\alpha(X)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp \left(-\left\|x_{k}-a_{j}\right\|^{2} / \sigma_{j}^{2}\right)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m=n d}$
(9) sumpooling: $\alpha(X)=1^{T} X$

## QM9 Regression Example

## Training MSE




Loss Training, $d=100$, seed $=1$


## QM9 Regression Example

## Validation MSE




## QM9 Regression Example

## Validation MSE with Random Permutations
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