## Stat 401, section 8.4 Tests Concerning a Population Proportion

notes by Tim Pilachowski

Do you remember binomial distributions and proportions? (See Stat 400 Lecture 6.1c.) Section 8.4 [8<sup>th</sup> edition 8.3] addresses hypothesis tests used for investigating population **proportions** (as opposed to means).

Vocabulary:

The point estimate for a population proportion will be a sample proportion  $\hat{p} = \frac{\text{number of successes}}{\text{total number tested}} = \frac{X}{n}$ .

Remember the *z*-score formula for proportions? We'll do a little mathematical finagling with the the *z*-score formula for binomial distributions.

$$Z = \frac{X - np}{\sqrt{npq}} = \frac{\frac{X}{n} - \frac{np}{n}}{\frac{\sqrt{npq}}{n}} = \frac{\frac{X}{n} - \frac{np}{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{npq}{n^2}}} = \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}}$$

For the population proportion p in the numerator, when we do a hypothesis test, we'll use the value from the null hypothesis,  $p_0$ . Likewise, for the standard error (in the denominator), since we're assuming the population parameter is  $p_0$ , we'll use the null value  $p_0$ , then  $q_0 = 1 - p_0$ .

Example A – sample proportion: A pharmaceutical company has developed a new drug, which a research hospital tests by treating 50 patients. Of those tested, 28 report positive results. What is the sample proportion?

point estimate of p =

For the hypothesis test involving proportions, we'll use the same rule of thumb as we did for using a normal distribution to approximate a binomial distribution. If both  $n * p_0 \ge 10$  and also  $n * q_0 \ge 10$ , then the hypothesis test is considered a large-sample test.

Here are the steps as outlined in the text.

- 1. Identify the population parameter under scrutiny and describe it in the context of the described situation.
- 2. Determine the null value and state the null hypothesis,  $H_0$ .
- 3. State the appropriate alternate hypothesis,  $H_{a}$ .



4. Conduct the test to verify a large-sample situation, and give the formula for the computed value of the test statistic:  $Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 q_0}{p_0 q_0}}}$ .

5. Compute any necessary sample statistics, and use them to compute the value of the test statistic. 6. Determine the *P*-value:  $p = 2(1 - \Phi(|z|))$  for a two-tailed test,  $p = 1 - \Phi(|z|) = \Phi(-|z|)$  for a one-tailed test. 7. If  $p \le \alpha$ , reject  $H_0$ . Otherwise, fail to reject  $H_0$ . State the conclusion in the context of the problem. Example A – hypothesis test: A pharmaceutical company says a new drug is more than 70% successful. A research hospital tests this by treating 50 patients. Of those treated, 28 report positive results. Is there sufficient evidence to challenge the company's claim? ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )

When the given situation doesn't meet the large-sample rule of thumb (i.e. we have either  $n * p_0 < 10$  or  $n * q_0 < 10$ ), hypothesis tests involving proportions will be based directly on the binomial distribution rather than on a normal approximation. In a small-sample case, the determination of the *P*-value changes from the formula used above. For example, for a one-sided upper bound test,  $H_a : p > p_0$ ,

notes on the derivation:

 $P\text{-value} = P(X \ge x \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is true})$ =  $P(X \ge x \text{ when } X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_0))$ =  $1 - P(X \le x - 1 \text{ when } X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_0))$ =  $1 - B(x - 1; n, p_0)$ 

For a one-sided lower bound test,  $H_a: p < p_0$ , *P*-value =  $B(x; n, p_0)$ . For a two-sided test, the *P*-value will equal 2 times the smaller of  $1 - B(x - 1; n, p_0)$  and  $B(x; n, p_0)$ .

Because a binomial distribution is discrete, if we use the binomial cumulative distribution tables, we may not be able to compare the *P*-value to exactly the desired significance level. Instead, we'll compare to the closest achievable level found in the table. It will be preferable to use a calculator/computer utility or software package such as Minitab to calculate the *P*-value.

Example B (text ch 8 supplementary exercise #80). The National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) says that at most 2 out of 100 purchases at a store should have incorrectly scanned prices. In a 2005 article, researchers reported scanners at a Wal-Mart coming up with the wrong price 8.5% of the time. Suppose this was based on 200 purchases. Carry out a test (level of significance 0.05) to decide whether or not the NIST benchmark is satisfied.

Example C. Suppose that quality control standards require that no more than 5% of manufactured components are defective. In a sample of 25, how many observed defective components would result in questioning the quality of a production run ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )?

As with the small-sample hypothesis test of a mean, we won't be covering the probability of a Type II error  $\beta$  and determination of sample size in this class.